$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}$

XMM Users' Handbook

Issue 1.1

Edited by: M. Dahlem Simulations by: N. Schartel

Based on input from the entire \mathbf{XMM} SOC Team

18.01.1999

Extensive contributions from the members of the **XMM** Science Working Team, the SSC, the Instrument Teams and NASA's **XMM** Guest Observer Facility are gratefully acknowledged.

Revision history

Revision number	Date	Revision author	Comments
Draft 3.0	August 1998	M. Dahlem	Complete draft
Issue 1.0	23.09.1998	M. Dahlem	Official release
Issue 1.1	18.01.1999	M. Dahlem	Release of Cal/PV/GT target tables

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	ii

Contents

1	Glos	ssary		1
2	Intr	oducti	on	4
	2.1	Scope	of this document	4
	2.2	Struct	ure and contents of the Users' Handbook	4
	2.3	Locatio	on	5
	2.4	Source	s of information	5
	2.5	Update	es on this document	5
	2.6	How to	o obtain further help and information	5
3	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{M}$	М – а	concise overview	6
	3.1	Basic o	characteristics	7
	3.2	X-ray	telescopes and mirrors	10
		3.2.1	X-ray point-spread function	11
			3.2.1.1 On-axis PSF	11
			3.2.1.2 Off-axis PSF	12
		3.2.2	Effective area of the XMM mirrors	17
			3.2.2.1 On-axis effective area	17
			3.2.2.2 Off-axis effective area	20
		3.2.3	Straylight rejection	20
	3.3	EURO	PEAN PHOTON IMAGING CAMERA (EPIC)	22
		3.3.1	Two types of EPIC cameras: MOS and pn	23
			3.3.1.1 EPIC MOS chip geometry	23
			3.3.1.2 EPIC pn chip geometry	23
		3.3.2	Science modes of the EPIC cameras	25
		3.3.3	EPIC imaging – angular resolution	27
		3.3.4	Intrinsic energy resolution of EPIC	28
		3.3.5	EPIC quantum efficiencies	28
		3.3.6	EPIC filters	28
		3.3.7	EPIC background	33
			3.3.7.1 EPIC internal background	33
			3.3.7.2 EPIC external background	33
		3.3.8	Imaging effective area	34
		3.3.9	EPIC's sensitivity limits	34
		3.3.10	EPIC photon pile-up	39
		3.3.11	EPIC event grade selection	39
		3.3.12	EPIC-specific proposal submission information	42
			3.3.12.1 EPIC spectral quality	42
			3.3.12.2 EPIC flux to count rate conversion	45
			3.3.12.3 Count rate conversion from other X-ray satellite missions .	45
	3.4	REFL	ECTION GRATING SPECTROMETER (RGS)	52
		3.4.1	RFC chip arrays	53
		3.4.2	RGS grating orders	54
		3.4.3	RGS spectral resolution	54
			3.4.3.1 Components of the RGS LSF	55
			3.4.3.2 RGS spectral resolution for extended sources	57
			-	

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Aimini Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	iii

		3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6 3.4.7 3.4.8	RGS effective area for dispersive spectroscopy	$ \begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 61 \\ 63 \\ 64 \\ 65 \end{array} $
		0.1.0	3.4.8.1 RGS avoidance angles 3.4.8.2 RGS spectral quality 3.4.8.3 RGS flux to count rate conversion 3.4.8.4 Count rate conversion from other X-ray satellite missions	66 66 66 67
	3 5	OPTIO	CAL MONITOR (OM)	71
	0.0	351	OM telescope	71
		352	OM detector	71
		0.0.2	3.5.2.1 Imaging with OM	72
		353	OM windows and field of view	73
		0.0.0	3 5 3 1 OM default configurations	74
		354	Optical/UV point spread function of the OM and tracking	76
		355	OM operating modes	76
		356	OM optical elements	78
		0.0.0	3.5.6.1 OM filter handpasses	78
			3.5.6.2 OM micer bandpasses	78
			3.5.6.3 OM magnifier	80
		3.5.7	OM sensitivity and detection limits	80
		3.5.8	OM brightness limit	83
		3.5.9	OM specific proposal submission information	83
		0.0.0	3.5.9.1 OM star tracking windows	83
			3.5.9.2 Choice of non-default OM science windows	84
	3.6	XMM	support instruments	88
		3.6.1	Attitude & Orbit Control Subsystem (AOCS)	88
		3.6.2	EPIC Radiation Monitor Subsystem (ERMS)	88
		3.6.3	Data from the XMM support instruments	89
			3.6.3.1 Data from the EPIC Radiation Monitor Subsystem (ERMS)	89
			3.6.3.2 Data from the Attitude & Orbit Control Subsystem (AOCS)	89
	3.7	Compa	arison with other X-ray satellites	90
		$3.7.1^{-1}$	A comparison of XMM vs. AXAF	90
			3.7.1.1 Effective area for dispersive spectroscopy	91
			3.7.1.2 Non-dispersive spectroscopy: an example	91
			3.7.1.3 XMM EPIC vs. AXAF ACIS-I pile-up comparison	92
	~ 1			
4	Obs	erving	with XMM	94
	4.1			94 05
	4.2		observing constraints	95 05
		4.2.1	Radiation belts	95 05
		4.2.2	Pright course avoid a nee	90 02
		4.2.3	Colostial constraints	90 90
		4.2.4 195	Duration of observations	90 90
		4.2.0 196	Duration of observations	90 90
		4.2.0 197	Postor observing mode	99 99
		4.4.1		99

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
ANIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	iv

		4.2.8 Dithering mode
	4.3	Sky visibility during the XMM mission
	4.4	Position angle determination for XMM observations
	4.5	XMM observation overheads
		4.5.1 Operational overheads
		4.5.2 Instrument and calibration overheads
		4.5.3 Special science exposures
	4.6	Instrument alignment
		4.6.1 Choice of primary instrument
	4.7	Absolute and relative pointing accuracy
	4.8	Points of concern
	4.9	Linked, pilot/follow-up and concatenated observations
		4.9.1 Concatenated observations
		4.9.2 Pilot/follow-up observations
		4.9.3 Linked observations
	4.10	XMM slew observations
5	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{M}$	M Guest Observer Program 108
	5.1	XMM calendar of events
	5.2	Mission overview
	5.3	XMM proposal preparation and submission
		5.3.1 XMM proposal preparation
		5.3.2 XMM proposal submission
		5.3.2.1 XMM Remote Proposal Submission (XRPS)
		5.3.2.2 How to fill out the RPS online forms
	5.4	Technical proposal optimisation
~		
6	Ana	lysing XMM data 114
	0.1	XMM analysis software – the Science Analysis Subsystem (SAS) 114
		6.1.1 Interactive XMM data analysis with the SAS
		6.1.2 Pipeline processing of AMM data: the Pipeline Processing Subsys-
		$\operatorname{tem}(\operatorname{PPS}) \qquad \dots \qquad $
		$0.1.3 \text{AMM Science data} \dots \dots$
	<i>c</i> 0	$0.1.4 \text{what is an ODF} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
	0.2	$\begin{array}{c} \text{AMM calibration data} \\ \text{call} \\ c$
		6.2.1 Current Calibration Files (CCFs)
7	хм	M data archive 118
•	7 1	Contents of the XMM archive 118
		7.1.1 Pipeline data products contained in the XMM data archive 118
	72	Proprietary rights 119
		7.2.1 GO and GTO observations
		7.2.2 Calibration and performance verification data 119
	7.3	Access to the XMM data archive
8	Doc	umentation 120

X	MM	Science Operations Team	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 v
\mathbf{A}	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{M}$	M Science Simulator (SciSim)		121
	A.1	SciSim – a brief general introduction		121
	A.2	SciSim Graphical User Interface		122
		A.2.1 SciSim configuration GUI		123
	A.3	SciSim components		124
		A.3.1 CSim – The Cosmic Simulator		124
		A.3.1.1 The GSim GUI		125
		A.3.2 MSim – The Mirror Simulator		126
		A.3.3 ESim – The EPIC Simulator		127
		A.3.4 RSim – The RGS Simulator		128
		A.3.5 OSim – The OM Simulator		128
	A.4	SciSim tools		129
	A.5	Examples for the use of SciSim for proposal prep	aration	130
		A.5.1 Example for simulating EPIC observation	IS	130
		A.5.2 Example for an RGS simulation		132
	A.6	SciSim performance issues		133
В	XM	M Survey Science Centre (SSC)		135
	B.1	SSC Follow-up Programme		135
	B.2	Science analysis software development		136
	B.3	Pipeline processing of XMM science data		137
\mathbf{C}	XM	M calibration and performance verification	program	138
	C.1	XMM calibration program		138
	C.2	List of XMM PV targets		143
D	XM	M Guaranteed Time Program		146
	D.1	List of XMM GTO targets		146

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	vi

List of Figures

1	Sketch of the XMM payload. The mirror modules, two of which are equipped with Reflection Grating Arrays, are visible at the lower left. At the right end of the assembly the focal X-ray instruments are shown: The EPIC	
	MOS cameras with their radiators (black/areen "horns"), the radiator of	
	the EPIC pn camera (violet) and those of the (light blue) RGS detectors (in	
	pink). The OM telescope (orange) is obscured by the lower mirror module.	
	Figure courtesy of Dornier Satellitensysteme GmbH.	6
2	The light path in XMM 's open X-ray telescope (not to scale)	10
3	The light path in the two XMM telescopes with grating assemblies (not to scale). Note that the actual fraction of the non-intercepted light that passes	
	to the primary (EPIC) focus is 42%, while 40% of the incident light is	4.4
	intercepted by grating plates of the RGA	11
4	The on-axis PSF of one XMM mirror module at an energy of 1.49 keV as	
	measured at the Panter facility. The radial pattern created by the mirror	
	intensities are easily logarithmically	19
5	Radial average of one XMM mirror module's on aris PSF from a SciSim	12
0	madel simulation	13
6	Curves of fractional encircled energy as a function of angular radius (on-	10
0	axis) at several different energies (linear scale)	14
7	Curves of fractional encircled energy as a function of angular radius (on-	**
•	axis). at several different energies (logarithmic scale).	14
8	The dependence of the X-ray PSF's shape on the position in the field of	
	view. This image was made from Panter measurements, thus not under	
	flight conditions, but the PSFs are still representative. Measurements were	
	taken at off-axis angles of 7' and 14', at $4(6)$ different azimuthal positions.	
	The intensity scale is logarithmic	15
9	Curves of radii encircling 90% of the total energy of a point source at various	
	off-axis angles. Beyond an off-axis angle of about 10' part of the source flux	
	is lost off the edges of the CCD chips, especially at high energies, where	
	the wings of the XMM PSF are most prominent. This loss of part of the	
10	photons in the PSF wings leads to the apparent decrease of W90 above 3 keV.	16
10	The effective area of all XMM mirror modules, in comparison with those	4 17
1 1	of other X-ray satellites (linear scale).	17
11	The effective area of all XMM mirror modules, in comparison with those	10
10	of other A-ray satellites (logarithmic scale).	18
12	ine net effective area of all XIVINI mittor modules, comothed with the re-	
	ecole)	18
13	The net effective area of all XMM mirror modules combined with the re-	10
10	sponse characteristics of the focal X-ray instruments. EPIC and RGS (log-	
	arithmic scale).	19
14	The total effective area, A_e , of all XMM mirror modules, at a few selected	
	energies, as a function of off-axis angle $(0'-15')$. The numbers shown here	
	do not include any reductions due to detector responses	20

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	vii

15	The field of view of the two types of EPIC cameras; EPIC MOS (left) and EPIC pn (right). The shaded circle depicts a 30' diameter area. For the alignment of the different cameras with respect to each other in the XMM	
	focal plane refer to the text.	22
16	Sketch of the numbering and coordinate system definitions within the EPIC	
	MOS camera.	24
17	Sketch of the numbering and coordinate system definitions within the EPIC	
	pn camera.	24
18	The EPIC nn and MOS energy resolution (FWHM) as a function of energy.	
	The data points come from SciSim simulations.	28
19	Quantum efficiency of the two types of EPIC CCD chins (nn and MOS) as	
10	a function of nhoton energy	29
20	The EPIC MOS effective area for each of the ontical blocking filters and the	
20	"onen" (no filter) nosition	30
21	The EPIC nn effective area for each of the ontical blocking filters and the	00
21	"onen" (no filter) nosition	31
22	The effective area of XMM with various combinations of EPIC detectors	01
22	(total nn only 2 MOS cameras 1 MOS only) compared with AXAF ACIS-	
	I and $\Delta X \Delta F$ HRC I (linear scale)	3/
93	The effective area of XMM with various combinations of EPIC detectors	94
20	$(total nn only 2 MOS cameras 1 MOS only) compared with \Delta X \Delta F ACIS$	
	Land AXAF HRC-1 (logarithmic scale)	35
24	EPIC on sensitivity limits for a point source with an $\alpha_{\rm p} = 0.7$ nower law	00
21	spectrum for different energy hands see Table 5	37
25	EPIC nn sensitivity limits for a point source with an $\alpha_{\rm p} = 3.0$ power law	01
20	spectrum for different energy hands see Table 5	37
26	EPIC nn sensitivity limits for a $30''$ extended source with a $kT = 0.3 \text{ keV}$	01
20	Mekal thermal plasma spectrum	38
$\overline{27}$	SciSim simulation of the EPIC MOS PSF with increasing photon count rate	00
21	ner frame The namels are arranged clockwise with the lowest count rate	
	(and thus nile-up rate) in the upper left and the highest in the lower left	
	The simulated count rates are 0.37 5.92 12.6 and 23.7 counts/s respectively.	40
28	The best-fitting nower law slope α for an $\alpha = 1.7$ input spectrum into	10
20	SciSim with different input count rates leading to different levels of nile-up	41
29	Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a tem-	11
	nerature of 0.1 keV From the bottom to the ton the total number of counts	
	in the XMM passband $(0.1-15 \text{ keV})$ increases from 500 to 20000	42
30	Series of EPIC nn model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temper-	12
00	ature of 0.2 keV From the bottom to the ton the total number of counts in	
	the XMM masshand $(0.1-15 \text{ keV})$ increases from 500 to 20000	43
31	Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a tem-	10
<u>.</u> .	nerature of 0.5 keV. From the bottom to the ton the total number of counts	
	in the XMM passband $(0.1-15 \text{ keV})$ increases from 500 to 20000	43
32	Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a tem-	10
<u> </u>	nerature of 2.0 keV. From the bottom to the ton the total number of counts	
	in the XMM passband (0.1–15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000	44
	the set	тт

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	viii

33	Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a tem-	
	perature of 10.0 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts	
	in the XMM passband (0.1–15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000	44
34	EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various power law spectra	
	and different values for the absorbing column density, N_{H} (thin filter).	45
35	EPIC on flur to count rate conversion factors for various nover law spectra	10
00	and different values for the absorbing column density $N_{\rm rec}$ (medium filter)	46
26	EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS commers for various	40
30	EFIC jux to count fall conversion jactors for the shear in a scheme density. N	
	power law spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H	10
~ -		40
37	EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various	
	power law spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H	
	$(medium filter). \ldots \ldots$	47
38	EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various Raymond-Smith	
	spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter).	47
39	EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various Raymond-Smith	
	spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium	
	filter).	48
40	EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for vari-	
10	ous Raymond-Smith spectra and different values for the absorbing column	
	density N_{rr} (thin filter)	48
/1	EPIC flur to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for vari	10
41	El 10 juit lo count luce conversion juicions jor one words camera jor vari-	
	density N (modium filter)	40
49	EDIC = Constant (mean filter) for the constant of the c	49
4Z	EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various black body spectra	40
10	and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter)	49
43	EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various black body spectra	
	and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium filter).	50
44	EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various	
	black body spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H	
	$(thin filter). \ldots \ldots$	50
45	EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various	
	black body spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H	
	(medium filter)	51
46	Sketch of an RFC chip array, with 9 MOS CCDs. The half of each CCD at	
	large camera-y coordinates is exposed to the sky, the other half is used as a	
	storage area. The -1. order spectrum of a source observed on-axis starts on	
	the left chin The dispersion direction is along the "Z" axis so that higher	
	energies are dispersed to higher values in Z	53
$\overline{47}$	The dispersion along the dispersion coordinate Z (in mm) us CCD PH4.	00
ТІ	channel output of an BCS spectrum in 1 and θ arating orders onto the	
	DCC food compares accurate actual actual actual CCD subout nodes. This	
	RGS focul cumeras, assuming equal gains of all CCD output nodes. This	۲۲
40	uiso niusiraites the mechanism usea for separating spectral orders	00 50
48	The resolving power (HEW) of both KGS in the -1 . and -2 . grating orders.	56
49	The resolving power (FWHM) of both RGS in the -1 . and -2 . grating orders.	56
50	The resolving power $(\lambda/\Delta\lambda = E/\Delta E)$ of both RGS in -1. and -2. grating	
	order	57

	0	-
	Page:	ix
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue/Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

51	A close-up view of the Al K line at 1.49 keV, from an RGS model spectrum	
	produced with SciSim, along the dispersion direction.	58
52	A close-up view of the Al K line at 1.49 keV, from an RGS model spectrum	
	produced with SciSim, along the cross-dispersion direction.	58
53	Response of RGS-1 to Ma K α radiation (E = 1.25 keV: λ = 9.89 Å), in	
00	orders $m = -1$ -2 respectively as measured at Panter. The excess seen on	
	the right side of the profile is due to the presence of fainter slightly higher	
	anarou lines in the amission spectrum of the calibration source (which was	
	also included in the input to the simulations). The second order model	
	also included in the input to the simulations). The second order profile	
	shows that the outer wings of the scattering distribution are currently un-	
	aerpreaictea. For reference, 1 mm in the focal plane equals 0.104 A at Mg	50
~ 1	$K\alpha, m = -1, ana \ 0.0005 \ A \ at \ Mg \ K\alpha, m = -2. \dots \dots \dots \dots$	59
54	The effective area of both RGS units combined and RGS-1 (linear scale).	
	Seam losses between the CCDs are not taken into account	60
55	The RGS sensitivity limits of one RGS for a 5- σ detection on the OVII	
	emission line complex at 0.57 keV of a point source. \ldots \ldots \ldots	61
56	The RGS sensitivity limits of one RGS for a 5- σ detection on the NeX	
	emission line at 1.022 keV of a point source.	62
57	The RGS sensitivity limits of one RGS for a 5- σ detection on the SiXIII	
	1.86 keV emission line of a point source. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	62
58	RGS avoidance angles for sources brighter than 4 (5) optical magnitudes	
	(right/left panel)Z is the dispersion direction of RGS , Y is the cross-	
	dispersion direction.	66
59	Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature	
	of 0.1 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases	
	from 500 to 10000	67
60	Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature	
	of 0.5 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases	
	from 500 to 10000	68
61	Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature	
	of 2.0 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases	
	from 500 to 10000.	68
62	Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature	
	of 5 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases	
	from 500 to 10000	69
63	RGS flux to count rate conversion factors for various power law spectra and	
00	different values for the absorbing column density $N_{\rm H}$ All numbers were	
	abj obtained for the -1 arating order only	69
64	BGS flur to count rate conversion factors for various black body spectra and	05
01	different values for the absorbing column density $N_{\rm H}$ All numbers were	
	obtained for the -1 arating order only	70
65	BCS flux to count rate conversion factors for various Makal thermal plasma	10
05	spectra and different values for the absorbing column density N All num	
	spectra and affective values for the 1 arating order only	70
66	The light math in XMM 's ontigal/IIV to become OM	70 79
00 67	Shatah of the OM miano abannal plate intensified CCD (MIC) detector	14 79
07	Skeich of the OM micro-channel plate intensified COD (MIC) detector	13

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	x

68	Setup of OM imaging mode default mode observations consisting of a se- quence of 5 exposures. The science windows are indicated by solid lines, the detector windows by dashed lines. A 16 in-memory pixel margin around the	
69	science window is allocated to accommodate spacecraft drifts	74
	(the cutoff in the throughput curve of the UVW2 filter is an artifact due to	70
70	a lack of measured data below 180 nm)	79
70	OM count rates vs filter selection for stars of different spectral type with	19
• -	$m_v = 20 maq.$	81
72	When the boundaries of OM science windows are defined in detector pixel coordinates, the relative location of the windows with respect to each other does not change. However, different areas on the sky are imaged under	
	different position angles	85
73	Defining the locations of OM science windows in sky coordinates one makes sure that (approximately) the same area of the sky is imaged under different	
	position angles. Now, nowever, the OM science windows can change their relative locations. Windows 3 and 5 (which used to be in the upper left	
	corner of window 3. see Fig. 72) are now partially overlapping, which is not	
	allowed and window 4 is now partly outside the OM FOV (which is also not	
	allowed)	86
74	The effective area of both RGS units combined and RGS-1 (linear scale), compared with AXAF's ACIS-S instrument with various transmission grat-	
	ings.	91
75	Comparison of a 30 ks observation of a cluster with a 6 keV thermal plasma spectrum with AXAF ACIS-I (bottom) and XMM EPIC (top). Normalised	
76	counts are counts per spectral bin	92
70	different total frame count rates The frame times are 3.3.9.8 and 0.07	
	seconds for ACIS-I. MOS and pn. respectively.	93
77	Comparison of AXAF ACIS-I vs. XMM EPIC (pn and MOS) pile-up for	
	different incident source fluxes, after conversion of counts per frame to flux	
	units, adopting an $\alpha = -1.7$ power law spectrum with an absorbing hydrogen	
-	column density of 3×10^{20} cm ⁻²	93
78	Sketch of the highly elliptical XMM orbit. Figure provided by Dornier	0.4
70	Satellitensysteme Gm0H	94 05
79 80	Location of the XMM around station telemetry gap with respect to orbital	90
00	position, apogee being the position at $24 h$.	96
81	Approximate sky visibility (in % of the total theoretically available time)	
	during orbits 3-430 of \mathbf{XMM} operation. Coordinates are equatorial, in	
	units of degrees, centred on $(180,0)$	100
82	Sky areas for which a given maximum target visibility is not reached during	101
09	orbits 3-430 of XMM operation. Same coordinates as in Fig. 81.	101 109
00 84	The avoision of Avivi observing time autring the first two years	109
04	field of view on the sky that will display any emitting sources that can be	
	chosen from catalogues or defined by the user	122

XMM	Science Operations Team	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18 01 1000
		Page:	xi
85	The configuration GUI of SciSim displayi	na which parts of \mathbf{XMI}	M will be

85	The configuration GUI of SciSim, displaying which parts of XMM will be
	modeled. In the setup shown, all instruments will be modeled and the data
	will be stored in the files tempo (OM), tempe (EPIC) and tempf (RGS) 123
86	The GUI of SciSim's Ray Generator

Page: xii	XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 xii	
-----------	-----------------------------	--	--	--

List of Tables

1	XMM characteristics – an overview	8
2	The on-axis 1.5 keV X-ray PSFs of the different mirror modules	12
3	The science data acquisition modes of EPIC	25
4	Basic numbers for the science modes of EPIC	26
5	5- σ point source sensitivity of the EPIC pn camera ¹ $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	35
6	EPIC pn O VII 0.57 keV line sensitivity ¹ $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	36
7	EPIC pn Fe XXV 6.63 keV line sensitivity ¹ $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	36
8	The effect of pile-up on spectral fits	41
9	The wavelength and energy ranges covered by the chips of RGS for an on-	
	axis source, for the design instrument geometry	53
10	The energy ranges covered by the RGS in different grating orders \ldots .	54
11	The RGS science data acquisition modes	64
12	OM characteristics – an overview	71
13	The science data acquisition modes of OM	77
14	OM optical elements	78
15	OM count rates $[10^{-4} \text{ counts/s}]$ as function of spectral type for stars with	
	$m_V = 20$ mag under the assumption of a zero deadtime detector $\ldots \ldots$	81
16	Required exposure times for different types of stars with $m_V = 23 \ mag^1$	82
17	Limiting magnitudes for a 5- σ detection in 1000 s ¹ \ldots \ldots \ldots	82
18	Levels of different OM background contributors	83
19	The m_V brightness limits for all OM filters $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	84
20	Comparison of XMM with other X-ray satellites	90
21	XMM calibration target list	138
22	XMM performance verification target list	143
23	XMM Guaranteed Time targets	146

	Document	t No.: AMA	1-PS-GM-14
		Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
XMM Science Operations Team		Date:	18.01.1999
		Page:	1

1 Glossary

For more information on frequently used technical terms, see also the \mathbf{XMM} Glossary.

Acronym	Explanation
ADU	Analogue to Digital Unit
ACIS	AXAF CCD Imaging Spectrograph
ADU	Analogue to Digital Unit
AHF	Attitude History File
AMA	Absolute Measurement Accuracy
AO	Announcement of Opportunity (AO-1)
AOCS	Attitude and Orbit Control and Measurement Subsystem
AMS	Archive Management Subsystem
AXAF	Advanced X-ray Astrophysics Facility
CAL	Calibration Access Layer
CCD	Charge Coupled Device
CCF	Current Calibration File
CTE	Charge Transfer Efficiency
CVZ	Continuous Viewing Zone
DEC	Declination, $\delta(J2000)$
DPU	Digital Processing Unit
EPIC	European Photon Imaging Camera
ERMS	EPIC Radiation Monitor Subsystem
ESTEC	European Space Research and Technology Centre
EW	Equivalent Width
FAQ	Frequently Asked Question
FITS	Flexible Image Transport System
FM	Flight Module
FOV	Field Of View
FWHM	Full Width at Half Maximum
GO	Guest Observer
GT(O)	Guaranteed Time (Observer)
GTI	Good Time Interval
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HEASARC	(NASA) High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center
HED	High Energy Detector (of the ERMS)
HEW	Half Energy Width
HER	RGS high event rate (selectable mode)
HETG	(AXAF) High Energy Transmission Grating
HRC	(AXAF) High Resolution Camera
HTR	High Time Resolution (mode of the RGS)
LED	Low Energy Detector (of the ERMS)
LETG	(AXAF) Low Energy Transmission Grating
LSF	Line-spread Function
METG	(AXAF) Medium Energy Transmission Grating

	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
XMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	2

MOC	XMM Mission Operation Centre
MOS	Metal Oxide Semi-conductor
OBDH	Onboard Data Handler
OCB	On-Chip Binning
ODF	Observation Data File
ODS	Observation Data Subsystem
OGIP	(NASA's) Office of the Guest Investigator Program
OM	Optical Monitor
OTAC	Observatory Time Allocation Committee
PHA	Pulse Height Analyser
\mathbf{PHS}	Proposal Handling Subsystem
ΡI	Principal Investigator
PPS	Pipeline Processing Subsystem
\mathbf{PSF}	Point-Spread Function
PV	Performance Verification
QE	Quantum Efficiency
$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A}$	Right Ascension, $\alpha(J2000)$
RFC	RGS Focal Camera
\mathbf{RFS}	Refreshed Frame Store mode of EPIC MOS
RGA	Reflection Grating Assembly (of the RGS)
RGS	Reflection Grating Spectrometer
RPE	Relative Pointing Error
XRPS	Remote Proposal Submission
SAS	Science Analysis Subsystem
SDF	Slew Data File
SER	RGS split event reconstruction (selectable mode)
SES	RGS single event selection (selectable mode)
\mathbf{SGS}	Sequence Generator Subsystem
SMP	Science Management Plan
SOC	XMM Science Operations Centre
\mathbf{SSC}	Survey Science Centre
SSD	Software Specification Document
TBC	To Be Confirmed
TBD	To Be Determined
TOC	Table of contents
ToO	Target of Opportunity
UHB	XMM Users' Handbook
URD	User Requirements Document
URL	Unique Resource Location
W90	90% energy width
WWW	World Wide Web
XDA	XMM Data Archive
XID	X-ray source identification (by the SSC)
$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}$	X-ray Multi-Mirror Mission

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	3

	0	
	Page:	4
XMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

2 Introduction

2.1 Scope of this document

This document is designed to be the starting point for **XMM** users in the search for information on the satellite. Users working with a printed (postscript or PDF) version of this document, please note that the online **XMM** Users' Handbook (UHB) is full of links to other components of our web-based information system (and other, external servers). Also, most of the figures are colour based and some do not reproduce well in black and white. Various appendices also provide introductions and links to other online services of the **XMM** Science Operations Centre (SOC) and the Survey Science Centre (SSC).

The **XMM** UHB is primarily intended to serve as a proposal preparation document, based on which potential **XMM** users will be able to assess the technical feasibility of their planned observations and the expected data quality. Details on how to fill out the proposal submission forms of the **XMM** Remote Proposal Submission (XRPS) software will be described in a separate document, the XRPS Users' Manual, which will become available at the time of the software release.

In addition, this document provides an overview of all aspects of \mathbf{XMM} data calibration and analysis.

2.2 Structure and contents of the Users' Handbook

This document contains, in the order listed here:

- 1. A concise summary of **XMM**'s properties and the instruments onboard, intended for use as a guideline for observers writing **XMM** proposals, and a short description of how to conduct observations with **XMM**. Most of the information needed for this purpose is contained in § 3 and § 4 and some of the appendices (the necessary links are provided in §§ 3 and 4).
- 2. The next chapter (§ 5) describes the **XMM** Guest Observer (GO) program.
- 3. A brief description of the XMM Data Archive (XMM Data Archive; § 7) and of the data calibration and analysis, (§ 6), which also introduces the XMM Science Analysis Subsystem (SAS) software. Only users whose observations have been conducted successfully will need to read these chapters.
- 4. Appendices on related issues, like e.g., the **XMM** Science Simulator (SciSim; Appendix A) and the **XMM** Survey Science Centre (SSC; Appendix B), and lists of **XMM** Calibration & Performance Verification (Cal/PV) targets (Appendix C) and the **XMM** Guaranteed Time (GT) programme (Appendix D).

CAVEAT: The information contained in this document represents the best *current* understanding of **XMM** at the time of release. Since no in-flight data (and no in-flight calibration) are available yet, no guarantee can be given for the correctness of the information provided below. Updates, if necessary, will be made as soon as possible after evaluation of incoming new information.

The information contained in §§ 3, 4 and 5 and appendices A, C and D should supply sufficient detail to enable the reader to prepare the submission of an XMM observing proposal via the XMM Remote Proposal Submission software (XRPS). Note: The XRPS Users' Manual will be available on 1999 January 4.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	5

2.3 Location

This document forms part of the online XMM SOC documentation server on the WWW, at the URL http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/. The URL of the interface document leading to the XMM Users' Handbook is

http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/user/uhb_top.html.

2.4 Sources of information

If not indicated otherwise (either in the text or in the captions accompanying the figures), information presented in this document comes from model simulations using the **XMM** Science Simulator (SciSim).

Only some figures display actual (ground-based) measurements.

Information on flux to count rate conversions comes from the HEASARC PIMMS software provided by the **XMM** Guest Observer Facility at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, based on datafiles provided by the **XMM** SOC, which were generated using SciSim 2.0.1.

2.5 Updates on this document

While the **XMM** SOC is being developed, this document will undergo updates and expansions. Updates will always be posted in the **XMM** Latest News section of our web server and the version number of the latest release of the UHB is always visible in the **XMM** Users' Handbook introduction page leading to this document.

No changes will be made to this document during a call for proposals, except possibly for the addition of previously unavailable information.

2.6 How to obtain further help and information

The Users' Handbook is an integral part of the SOC's web-based online information system and it contains lots of links to other components of the web server. All links can also always be reached via the SOC home page.

Additionally, in order to facilitate the search for more information, \S 8 of this document contains a compilation of relevant links and references.

The contact address for users who need help or additional information, or who want to send suggestions to improve our system, is **xmmhelp@astro.estec.esa.nl**. All questions relating to AO and observing matters should be directed to this account. The SOC plans to collect users' questions and list them in a file with Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs). A link to this file will then be added here.

De	ocument no	AMM-1 0-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	ssue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Da Da	ate:	18.01.1999
Pa	age:	6

3 XMM – a concise overview

XMM is the second of ESA's four "cornerstone" missions defined in the Horizon 2000 Programme. Its scheduled launch date is 2000 January 21. It will carry two distinct types of telescopes: three Wolter type-1 X-ray telescopes, with different X-ray detectors in their foci (which are described in this chapter), and a 30-cm optical/UV telescope with a microchannel-plate pre-amplified CCD detector in its focal plane (see also below). Thus, XMM offers simultaneous access to two windows of the electromagnetic spectrum: X-ray and optical/UV.

XMM provides the following three types of science instruments:

1. European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC)

3 CCD cameras for X-ray imaging, moderate resolution spectroscopy, and X-ray photometry; the two different types of EPIC cameras, MOS and pn, are described in § 3.3. XMM will carry 2 MOS cameras and one pn.

2. Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS)



Figure 1: Sketch of the XMM payload. The mirror modules, two of which are equipped with Reflection Grating Arrays, are visible at the lower left. At the right end of the assembly, the focal X-ray instruments are shown: The EPIC MOS cameras with their radiators (black/green "horns"), the radiator of the EPIC pn camera (violet) and those of the (light blue) RGS detectors (in pink). The OM telescope (orange) is obscured by the lower mirror module. Figure courtesy of Dornier Satellitensysteme GmbH. 2 essentially identical spectrometers for high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy and spectro-photometry (§ 3.4).

3. Optical Monitor (OM)

for optical/UV imaging and grism spectroscopy; § 3.5 provides an overview.

The three EPIC cameras and the two detectors of the RGS spectrometers reside in the focal planes of the X-ray telescopes, while the OM has its own telescope. A sketch of the XMM payload is displayed in Fig. 1. There are in total six science instruments onboard XMM, which are operated simultaneously (unless prohibited by constraints, like e.g., excessive target brightness). The instruments can be operated independently and each in different modes of data acquisition. Observers will receive data from all science instruments.

3.1 Basic characteristics

The most important characteristics of **XMM** are compiled in Table 1. More detailed numbers will follow in the chapters on the individual instruments (below) and a comparison with other X-ray satellites is provided in § 3.7. The basic characteristics of **XMM** are:

• Simultaneous operation of all science instruments

If not prohibited by target brightness constraints, all six **XMM** science instruments operate simultaneously. They work independently (i.e., exposures of the individual instruments do not necessarily start and end at the same time).

• High sensitivity

XMM carries the X-ray telescopes with the largest effective area of a focussing telescope ever: the total mirror geometric effective area at 1 keV energy is ca. 1550 cm^2 for each telescope, i.e., 4650 cm^2 in total.

• Good angular resolution

XMM's high sensitivity is achieved by using 58 thin nested mirror shells in each X-ray telescope. The achieved point-spread function (PSF) has a full width at half maximum (*FWHM*) on the order of 6'' and a *HEW*, at which 50% of the total energy are encircled, of ca. 15".

• Moderate and high spectral resolution

The EPIC CCD cameras have moderate spectral resolution (with a resolving power, $E/\Delta E$, of ca. 20–50). The RGS spectrometers offer much higher spectral resolution, with a resolving power in the range of 200–800.

• Simultaneous optical/UV observations

Observations with the co-aligned OM optical/UV telescope render possible the monitoring and identification of X-ray sources seen by the X-ray telescopes as well as imaging of the surrounding field.

XMM	Science	Operations	Team	
	Science	operations	LOum	

Instrument	EPIC MOS	EPIC pn	RGS	ОМ
Bandpass	$0.1{-}15~{ m keV}$	$0.1{-}15~{ m keV}$	$0.35 extrm{}2.5~\mathrm{keV^1}$	160–600 nm
Orbital target vis. ²	5145 ks	$5145~\mathrm{ks}$	$5145 \mathrm{ks}$	$5145~\mathrm{ks}$
${ m Sensitivity}^3$	$\sim 10^{-14} \ ^{(4)}$	$\sim 10^{-14} \ ^{(4)}$	$\sim 8 \times 10^{-5}$ ⁽¹⁵⁾	$24 \mathrm{~mag^{13}}$
Field of view (FOV)	$30'$ 5	$30'^{-5}$	$\sim 5'$	$17'^{-6}$
PSF $(FWHM/HEW)^7$	$6^{\prime\prime}/15^{\prime\prime}$	$6^{\prime\prime}/15^{\prime\prime}$	N/A	$\sim 1^{\prime\prime}$
Pixel size	$40 \ \mu m \ (1.1'')$	150 μm (4.1")	$81 \ \mu { m m} \ (9 imes 10^{-3} \ { m \AA})^{14}$	$0.5^{\prime\prime-8}$
Timing resolution ⁹	$1 \mathrm{ms}$	$0.03~\mathrm{ms}$	$16 \mathrm{ms}$	$50 \mathrm{\ ms}$
Spectral resolution ¹⁰	$57 \mathrm{eV}$	$67 \mathrm{eV}$	$0.04/0.025~{ m \AA^{11}}$	$0.5/1.0 \ \mathrm{nm^{12}}$

Table 1: XMM	characteristics - an	overview
--------------	----------------------	----------

Notes to Table 1:

1) In the -1. grating order (wavelength range: 5–35 Å; λ [Å] × E [keV] = 12.3984).

2) Total visibility per orbit; minimum of 5 ks in order to ensure observatory efficiency.

Maximum **continuous** visibility is ca. 70 ks.

- 3) After 10 ks; cf. overview tables on the individual instruments.
- 4) In the range 0.1–15.0 keV, in units of erg s⁻¹ cm⁻², see § 3.3.9 for details.
- 5) See Fig. 15 for the detailed shape of the FOV.
- 6) Maximum window size that can be read out at a time: $8' \times 8'$.
- 7) See Fig. 4 for a ground-calibraton point source measurement.

8) 1" with default 2×2 binning. A higher resolution is achieved with the OM magnifier.

9) In the fastest data acquisition mode (i.e., fast mode for OM and EPIC, high time resolution mode for RGS, reading out only one of nine CCDs).

10) At 1 keV energy. At the energy of Fe K α (6.4 keV), the energy resolution of both EPIC cameras is ca. 130 eV.

11) In -1. and -2. order, resp.; at 1 keV, this corresponds to 3.2/2.0 eV (*HEW*).

12) With grism 1 (UV) and grism 2 (optical), respectively.

13) For a 1000 s white light filter observation of a B0 star; dependent on pointing direction (celestial background).

14) In spectroscopy mode (standard 3×3 pixel on-chip binning applied).

15) O VII 0.57 keV line flux in photons cm⁻² s⁻¹, for an integration time of 10 ks and a background of 10^{-4} photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹. More details are provided in § 3.4.5.

• Long continuous target visibility

A highly elliptical orbit offers continuous target visibility of up to more than 40 hours, with a minimum height for science observations of 40,000 km. This is very favourable for studies of source variability and also in order to achieve a high overall observatory efficiency. Due to the required off time near perigee and the telemetry gap near apogee, combined with the absence of onboard data storage devices, these 40 hours will be split into two visibility periods of ca. 70 ks each, with a short gap (of order one hour) in between.

For a comparison of these basic characteristics with those of other past or contemporaneous X-ray satellite missions, see § 3.7.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	9

More detailed information on the mirrors and on the instruments listed in Table 1 and their observing modes is provided in the following sections (§§ 3.2-3.5).

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	10

3.2 X-ray telescopes and mirrors

XMM's three X-ray telescopes are co-aligned with an accuracy of better than about 1'. One telescope has a light path as shown in Fig. 2; the two others have grating assemblies in their light paths, diffracting part of the incoming radiation onto their secondary focus. Fig. 3 shows the light path in the latter two X-ray telescopes onboard XMM. Ca. 42% of the incoming light focused by the multi-shell grazing incidence mirrors is directed onto the camera at the prime focus, while 40% of the radiation is dispersed by a grating array onto a linear strip of CCDs. The remaining light is absorbed by the support structures of the RGAs.

The focal instruments are described in $\S\S$ 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. First we explain the most important properties of the mirror assemblies.

The performance of each mirror module is characterised by:

- 1. the image quality,
- 2. the effective area and
- 3. the straylight rejection efficiency,

which will be described in the following.



Figure 2: The light path in XMM's open X-ray telescope (not to scale).



Figure 3: The light path in the two XMM telescopes with grating assemblies (not to scale). Note that the actual fraction of the non-intercepted light that passes to the primary (EPIC) focus is 42%, while 40% of the incident light is intercepted by grating plates of the RGA.

3.2.1 X-ray point-spread function

The first critical parameter determining the quality of an X-ray mirror module is its ability to focus photons. Here lies one of **XMM**'s major strong points: the core of its on-axis point-spread function (PSF) is narrow and varies little over a very wide energy range (0.1–10 keV).

3.2.1.1 On-axis PSF

Each of the three Wolter-type X-ray telescopes onboard **XMM** has its own point-spread function (PSF). As an example, Fig. 4 shows the on-axis PSF of one of the X-ray telescopes, as measured on-ground at the Panter test beam facility, at an energy of 1.49 keV. The PSFs of the two other telescopes are similar and therefore not displayed here.

Note that the on-ground PSF measurements will probably differ slightly, but measurably from the in-flight performance, because they are not fully illuminated by the source due to its finite distance. Fig. 4 is primarily provided to show the shape of the PSF, with for example the radial substructures caused by the spiders holding the mirror shells. Values for the PSF's FWHM and HEW, which come from SciSim modeling and fits of the modeled profiles to data, are listed in Tab. 2.

Fig. 5 displays the radially averaged profile of the PSF of one mirror module, from a SciSim model run.

Figs. 6 and 7 show the fractional encircled energy as a function of radius from the centre

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999
	Page:	12



Figure 4: The on-axis PSF of one XMM mirror module at an energy of 1.49 keV as measured at the Panter facility. The radial pattern created by the mirror support spiders is clearly visible. To enhance the visibility of the wings, intensities are scaled logarithmically.

of the PSF for several different energies. One can see that the PSF wings become more prominent with increasing photon energy. At 14–15 keV the effective area, A_e , is very small, which makes simulations and the calibration of the PSF at such high energies unreliable.

3.2.1.2 Off-axis PSF

The PSF of the X-ray telescopes depends on the source off-axis angle, i.e., its distance from the centre of the field of view (FOV). It also depends slightly on the source azimuth within the FOV. In Fig. 8 the dependence of the shape of the **XMM** X-ray PSF on the position within the FOV is presented, based on actual measurements during ground calibration. One can see that the PSF at large off-axis angles is asymmetric, having a kind of "bean"

Mirror module	1	2	3
Instr. chain ¹	\mathbf{pn}	MOS-1+RGS-1	MOS-2+RGS-2
<i>FWHM</i> ["]	6.6	6.0	4.5
HEW["]	15.1	13.6	12.8
$W90^2 ['']$	53.3	50.7	58.4

Table 2: The on-axis 1.5 keV X-ray PSFs of the different mirror modules

Notes to Table 2:

1) The instruments located behind the mirror module.

2) Diameter of area encircling 90% of the total energy.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 13
	0	

shape. The exact shape of the PSFs needs to be determined in orbit, because full mirror illumination by the X-ray source is required for an accurate measurement (which is not the case on-ground due to finite source distance). The calibration files will be updated in due time to include the azimuthal shape of the mirror module's PSF.

For the two EPIC MOS cameras, the PSF is also affected at a level of a few times 10^{-4} (integral relative intensity) by scattering off the RGA rib structures.

It is important to know the shape of the PSF at different positions in the FOV, e.g., to use the correct extraction areas for source photons. In Fig. 9 we show the dependence of the radius at which 90% of the total energy is encircled, W90, on the off-axis angle. Note that beyond an off-axis angle of about 10' part of the source flux is lost off the edges of the CCD chips, especially at high energies, where the wings of the **XMM** PSF are most prominent. This loss of part of the photons in the PSF wings leads to the apparent decrease of W90 above 3 keV in Fig. 9.

The off-axis vignetting in the two telescopes with an RGA has a dependence on angle with respect to the RGAs' dispersion direction. A source at an off-axis position perpendicular to the dispersion direction will be vignetted by a different amount to one at a position parallel to the dispersion direction. Detailed in-orbit measurements will be performed to quantify this effect.



Figure 5: Radial average of one XMM mirror module's on-axis PSF, from a SciSim model simulation.



Figure 6: Curves of fractional encircled energy as a function of angular radius (on-axis), at several different energies (linear scale).



Figure 7: Curves of fractional encircled energy as a function of angular radius (on-axis), at several different energies (logarithmic scale).

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	15



Figure 8: The dependence of the X-ray PSF's shape on the position in the field of view. This image was made from Panter measurements, thus not under flight conditions, but the PSFs are still representative. Measurements were taken at off-axis angles of 7' and 14', at 4(6) different azimuthal positions. The intensity scale is logarithmic.

		VNIN Science Operations Team	
Page:	Date:	Issue/Rev.:	Document No.:
16	18.01.1999	Issue 1.1	XMM-PS-GM-L



most prominent. This loss of part of the photons in the PSF wings leads to the apparent decrease of W90 above 3 keV. Figure 9: Curves of radii encircling 90% of the total energy of a point source at various off-axis angles. Beyond an off-axis angle of about 10' part of the source flux is lost off the edges of the CCD chips, especially at high energies, where the wings of the XMM PSF are

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	17

3.2.2 Effective area of the XMM mirrors

The second critical measure of the mirror performance is their effective area, A_e , which reflects the ability of the mirrors to collect radiation at different photon energies.

3.2.2.1 On-axis effective area

XMM's on-axis effective area of all three mirror modules combined, in comparison with the total effective mirror area of a few other X-ray missions, is shown in Figs. 10 and 11. One can see that the **XMM** mirrors are most efficient in the energy range from 0.1 to 10 keV, with a maximum at about 1 keV and a pronounced edge near 2 keV (the Au M edge). A tabular comparison of the **XMM** mirrors' properties with those of other X-ray satellites is provided in Tab. 20 (§ 3.7).

The most important information for XMM users is the mirror effective area, folded through the response of the different focal instruments. These are shown in Figs. 12 and 13. Note that **throughout the UHB we show EPIC effective area calculations with the thin filter in place**, which will probably be the most commonly used filter (except for stellar observations). The effective areas of the two MOS cameras are lower than that of the pn, because only part of the incoming radiation falls onto these detectors, which are partially obscured by the RGAs (Fig. 3).



Figure 10: The effective area of all XMM mirror modules, in comparison with those of other X-ray satellites (linear scale).





Figure 11: The effective area of all **XMM** mirror modules, in comparison with those of other X-ray satellites (logarithmic scale).



Figure 12: The net effective area of all XMM mirror modules, combined with the response characteristics of the focal X-ray instruments, EPIC and RGS (linear scale).

	Page:	19
XIMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue/Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14



Figure 13: The net effective area of all XMM mirror modules, combined with the response characteristics of the focal X-ray instruments, EPIC and RGS (logarithmic scale).

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	20
	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:

3.2.2.2 Off-axis effective area

Not only the shape of the X-ray PSF, but also the effective area of the mirrors is a function of off-axis angle within the mirrors' 30' FOV. With increasing off-axis angle, less of the photons entering the telescopes actually reach the focal plane. This effect is called "vignetting". The vignetting of the **XMM** telescopes, which is reflected by the decline of the mirror module's effective area as a function of off-axis angle, is displayed for a few energies in Fig. 14.



Figure 14: The total effective area, A_e , of all **XMM** mirror modules, at a few selected energies, as a function of off-axis angle (0'-15'). The numbers shown here do not include any reductions due to detector responses.

3.2.3 Straylight rejection

The third critical parameter of the mirror performance, as listed above, is the efficiency of the straylight rejection.

Without X-ray baffles, the **XMM** mirror modules would suffer from X-ray straylight entering the tubes. The effect is that the image of a 30' area of the sky (the EPIC FOV) would be contaminated by a diffuse light background produced by X-ray sources located outside this field. X-ray straylight in EPIC is produced by rays which are singly reflected by the mirror hyperbola and which reach the sensitive area of the camera unfocused. An X-ray baffle was implemented to shadow those single reflected rays. It consists of two sieve plates made of concentric annular aperture stops located in front of the mirrors at 85 mm and 145 mm, respectively. The design is such that the entrance annular aperture of each mirror remains unobstructed for on-axis rays. Most of the rays with large off-axis angle are vignetted and cannot reach the detectors anymore via a single hyperbola reflection.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue/Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
Amm Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	21

The straylight collecting area in the EPIC detector as a function of off-axis angle of a point source is anticipated to be lower than 3 cm² for stray sources located between 20' and 1.4° from the optical axis. At higher off-axis angles it is completely negligible. The ratio of the X-ray straylight collecting area to the on-axis effective area is smaller than 0.2% at 1.5 keV for a point source located at off-axis angles of 0.4–1.4° and negligible at higher off-axis angles. This ratio, expressed in surface brightness values, is even smaller since the stray-image is unfocused at detector position.

Assuming a 10 counts/s source, for EPIC MOS on-axis observations half of the incoming photon flux is distributed over ca. 150 pixels (adopting a 15'' HEW), with a central peak. The light of the same source, observed at a large off-axis angle, is distributed over about 1/4 or 1/5 of the total EPIC FOV (of order 10^6 pixels), leading to a *mean* count rate of 10^{-5} counts s⁻¹ pixel⁻¹ of diffuse straylight. However, this is only a rough approximation, because the stray radiation due to an off-axis point source is not uniformly distributed in the EPIC FOV, but produces near-annular structures in the image.

This illustrates the high straylight rejection efficiency of the **XMM** mirror modules. EPIC observations of isolated sources and RGS spectra are hardly affected. For bright extended sources (which are larger than the EPIC FOV, such as some supernova remnants, clusters of galaxies, stellar clusters and star forming regions), on the contrary, there might be a degradation in the ability to perform spatially resolved spectroscopy.

Simulations using SciSim (Appendix A) are strongly recommended prior to proposing observations in case the target is within 1.5° of a bright X-ray source, or in case imaging is foreseen of an extended object that is larger than the EPIC 30' FOV. Which level of straylight is problematic for a given proposal depends largely on the scientific objectives and on the ratio of the flux of the off-axis source and the required minimum detectable source flux within the FOV.

	Document No.:	AMM-P5-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	22

3.3 EUROPEAN PHOTON IMAGING CAMERA (EPIC)

Principal Investigator: Dr. M. J. L. Turner, Leicester University

Two of **XMM**'s X-ray telescopes are equipped with EPIC MOS (Metal Oxide Semiconductor) CCD arrays, the third carries a different CCD camera called EPIC pn. In a nutshell, the **XMM** EPIC cameras offer the possibility to perform extremely sensitive imaging observations over a field of view of 30' and the energy range from 0.1 to 15 keV, with moderate spectral $(E/\Delta E \sim 20-50)$ and angular resolution (6" FWHM; 15" HEW). The pn type camera can be operated with very high time resolution (down to 0.03 ms in the timing mode, albeit with a very low duty cycle).

The detector layout and the baffled X-ray telescope FOV of both types of EPIC cameras are shown in Fig. 15. In both cases the sensitive area of the detector is about 30' across. Note that Fig. 15 is just a rough sketch. It does **not** show details, such as:

• The pn chip array is slightly offset with respect to the optical axis of its X-ray telescope so that the nominal, on-axis observing position does not fall on the central chip boundary. The offset of the chip array amounts to about 1.5' both parallel and perpendicular to the readout direction. This ensures that $\geq 90\%$ of the energy of an on-axis point source are collected on one pn CCD chip.

Comparison of focal plane organisation of EPIC MOS and pn cameras



Figure 15: The field of view of the two types of EPIC cameras; EPIC MOS (left) and EPIC pn (right). The shaded circle depicts a 30' diameter area. For the alignment of the different cameras with respect to each other in the **XMM** focal plane refer to the text.

- Onboard **XMM** there will be two EPIC MOS cameras, which will be rotated by 90° with respect to each other.
- The size of the gaps between the individual chips is exaggerated.
- The dead spaces between the MOS chips are not gaps, but unuseable areas due to detector edges (the MOS chips physically overlap each other, the central one being located slightly behind the ones in the outer ring).

All EPIC CCDs operate in photon counting mode, producing event lists.¹ This allows for simultaneous imaging and non-dispersive spectroscopy due to the intrinsic energy resolution of the pixels.

Note: If for any reason a user should decide to observe a target with EPIC not on-axis, but instead off-axis, for off-axis angles in excess of 2.5' the RGS spectrum might slip off the detector array (because the RGS FOV is about 5' across in the cross-dispersion direction).

3.3.1 Two types of EPIC cameras: MOS and pn

The two types of EPIC cameras are fundamentally different. This does not only hold for the geometry of the pn chip array and the MOS chip array (Fig. 15), but other properties as well, like e.g., their readout times. The readout of the pn chips is much faster than that of the MOS cameras, because each pixel column has its own readout node. Another important difference is that the MOS chips are front-illuminated, while the pn CCDs are back-illuminated, which affects the detector quantum efficiencies decisively (§ 3.3.5).

3.3.1.1 EPIC MOS chip geometry

The MOS chip arrays consist of 7 individual identical, front-illuminated chips. The individual CCDs are not co-planar, but offset with respect to each other, following closely the slight curvature of the focal surface of the Wolter telescopes. Technically, this leaves space for the connections to the central CCD. The numbering scheme for the EPIC MOS chip array, the individual chip coordinate frames and the directions of the detector coordinates are displayed in Fig. 16. The figure also shows that the MOS chips have a frame store region which serves as a data buffer for storage before they are read out through the readout nodes, while the rest of the chip is obtaining the next exposure.

As indicated above, the MOS cameras are mounted on those X-ray telescopes that also carry RGS instruments. Therefore, they receive only 42% of the incident light.

3.3.1.2 EPIC pn chip geometry

The pn chip array is a camera based on a single wafer with 12 CCD chips on it. The pn chip array numbering scheme, the individual chip coordinate frames and the directions of the detector coordinates are displayed in Fig. 17.

 $^{^{1}}$ An event list is a table with one line per received photon, listing (among others) attributes of the photons such as the x and y position at which they were registered, their arrival time and their energy.



Figure 16: Sketch of the numbering and coordinate system definitions within the EPIC MOS camera.



Figure 17: Sketch of the numbering and coordinate system definitions within the EPIC pn camera.
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Or mations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	25

3.3.2 Science modes of the EPIC cameras

The EPIC cameras allow several modes of data acquisition. Note that in the case of MOS the outer ring of 6 CCDs remain in standard imaging mode while the central MOS CCD can be operated separately. The pn camera CCDs can be operated in common modes in all quadrants, or a single CCD in one quadrant can be operated independently. Thus all CCDs are gathering data at all times, independent of the choice of operating mode.

1. "full window",

In this mode, all pixels of all CCDs are read out and thus the full FOV is covered.

2. "partial window"

In a partial window mode one of the CCDs of both types of EPIC cameras can be operated in a different mode of science data acquisition, reading out only part of the CCD chip.

3. "timing"

In the timing mode no two-dimensional imaging is performed, but data from a predefined area on one CCD chip are collapsed into a one-dimensional row to be read out at high speed.

4. "burst" (pn only)

A special flavour of the timing mode of the EPIC pn camera, with very high time resolution, but very low duty cycle.

The most important characteristics of the EPIC science modes and the allowed choice of parameters for astronomical observations are tabulated in Table 3. Table 4, especially

EPIC MOS Mode	Description
Full window	Full field of view on all seven chips; low time resolution (ca. 3 s).
Dential window	Only part of the central chip is read out as a 2-D image; several
Partial willdow	partial window sizes are possible.
	The central chip is not read out in a 2-D mode, but data are
Timing	collapsed into a single 1-D row over a user-defined pixel area for
	fast photometry (down to 1.5 ms).
EPIC pn Mode	Description
	Full field of view on all chips; relatively low time resolution (70 to
Full window	$250 \mathrm{\ ms}$).
Dentiel minde	2-D imaging readout over part of the array with increased time
Partial window	resolution. Several sizes of partial windows are allowed.
Timing	The information from one chip is collapsed into a 1-D row before
1 mmg	readout. Max. time resolution of 30 μ s.
	A special flavour of the timing mode, with extremely high time
Burst	resolution (down to 7 μ s), but also a very low duty cycle with
	only ca. 3% live time.

 Table 3: The science data acquisition modes of EPIC

	Page:	26
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1 18.01.1000
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

MOS (central CCD; pixels)	Time res- olution	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Max. count} \\ {\rm rate}^1 {\rm diffuse} \\ {\rm (total) \ [s^{-1}]} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm Max. \ \ count \ rate}^1 \\ {\rm (flux) \ point \ source} \\ {\rm [s^{-1}] \ ([mCrab])} \end{array}$
Full window (600×600)	$2.5 \mathrm{~s}$	700	0.70 (0.24)
Full window 2 nodes (600×600)	1.4 s	1250	1.30 (0.45)
Partial window $(100 \times 100)^2$	$0.4 \mathrm{s}$	125	5(1.7)
Partial window (300×300)	$0.9 \mathrm{s}$	500	1.8 (0.6)
Partial window (600×600)	$0.2 \mathrm{s}$	9000	10 (3.5)
Refreshed frame store ⁴	$1 \mathrm{~ms} - 2.8 \mathrm{~s}$		
Partial Window $(100 \times 100)^3$	$0.2 \mathrm{s}$	250	10 (3.5)
Partial Window $(300 \times 300)^3$	$0.7 \mathrm{s}$	600	2.5 (0.9)
Timing	$1.5 \mathrm{ms}$	N/A	100 (35)
	Time res	Max. count	Max. count rate
pn (array or 1 CCD; pixels)	olution	rate diffuse	(flux) point source
	olution	$(total) [s^{-1}]$	$[s^{-1}]$ ([mCrab])
Full window (400×384)	$73.4 \mathrm{\ ms}$	1000(total)	8 (0.9)
Full window $extended^5$	$0.28 \mathrm{s}$	300	2 (0.26)
Large partial window (200×256)	$48 \mathrm{ms}$	1500	$20\ (2.1)$
Small partial window (64×64)	$6 \mathrm{\ ms}$	1500	$130 \ (14)$
Timing	$0.03~\mathrm{ms}$	N/A	$1500\ (200)$
Burst	$7~\mu{ m s}$	N/A	60000 (6300)

 Table 4: Basic numbers for the science modes of EPIC

Notes to Table 4:

1) "Maximum" to avoid deteriorated response due to photon pile-up, see § 3.3.10. Note that telemetry limitations are in some cases more stringent than the pile-up constraints. For the MOS cameras the maximum count rates are about 150 counts/s for full window imaging and 300 counts/s for partial window imaging and timing mode. The pn telemetry limit is approximately 1150 counts/s in all modes.

2) 1 node readout.

3) Free running readout.

4) Variable live time for full field imaging of bright extended sources. Usage of this mode in AO-1 is discouraged.

5) "Extended" means that the image collection time is extended by a programmable parameter (not user-selectable).

for the MOS camera, provides specimen performance data concerning time resolution and count rate capability for selected modes. The actual time resolution performance may change in *detail* by launch, but as count rate guidelines will scale inversely with time resolution and are not expected to change greatly, the GO is advised to be aware that *minor* modifications may be announced in the future. The count rate limitations are defined for a 1% pile-up case (see § 3.3.10 for details on pile-up), which occurs at about 2 photons per MOS CCD frame, and 0.5 photons per pn CCD frame. Early estimates of spectral fitting errors without any response matrix corrections show that a doubling of these count rates could lead to systematic errors greater than the nominal calibration accuracies. The SOC Team intends to develop tools to alleviate this pile-up effect, but

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	27

GOs are advised that this will be a long-term effort.

One of the major differences between the two types of cameras is the high time resolution of the pn chip array. With this camera high-speed photometry of rapidly variable targets can be conducted, down to a minimum integration time of 30 (7) μ s in the timing (burst) mode.

The XRPS forms currently have non-descriptive "Partial Window n" choices for the EPIC MOS. This is because at the time of preparation of the XRPS the window modes were not yet well-defined. At the time of writing it is suggested to use the following mapping (but again there might be minor changes in the future).

VPDS Name	Descriptive Name	Window Size	Time Reso-	
ARPS Name Descriptive Name		(active pixels)	lution (s)	
Partial Window 1	Full Window - 2 nodes	600×600	1.4	
Partial Window 2	Small Window 1 node	100×100	0.4	
Partial Window 3	Large Window 1 node	300×300	0.9	
Partial Window 4	Refreshed Frame Store	600×600	selectable; $1 \text{ ms} - 2.8$	
	with dead time Small Window 1 node free	000.000	8	
Partial Window 5	running	100×100	0.2	
Dential Winds 6	Large Window 1 node free	200 - 200	0.7	
Partial Window 6	running	300×300	0.7	

Explanations:

Partial Window mode 4 – Refreshed frame store (RFS):

This mode is designed for the case where the user requires to collect data from the whole of the inner CCD (600×600), but knows that the photon flux rate is so high as to lead to significant pile-up. Then the refreshed frame store mode can be invoked, in which the frame integration time is defined, but the data which collects during the remainder of the normal readout of 2.8 seconds must be discarded. Thus, a frame collection of as rapid as 1 ms could be utilised, but in this case the live time is only 0.04%. Only one RFS value will be available, which must be determined in-orbit and is therefore not known yet. There are very few extended objects that can be considered to require this facility, rather than a normal windowed selection, and therefore it is **not recommended for normal GO usage**.

Window mode with "free run":

The SOC instrument team has identified windowing modes with either timed and synchronised readouts, or a free-running readout. The advantage of faster readout with free-running mode should be traded-off against a possible problem of clock interference between readouts of different CCDs.

3.3.3 EPIC imaging – angular resolution

EPIC's angular resolution is basically determined by the PSF of the mirror modules. This is due to the fact that the EPIC MOS and pn cameras have pixels with sizes of 40 and 150 μ m, respectively. For the focal length of the X-ray telescopes (7.5 m), this corresponds to 1.1" (4.1") on the sky. Given the *FWHM* of the PSF of ca. 6" (Table 2), the Nyquist theorem is thus fulfilled for the MOS cameras and images are fully sampled. The pixel size of the pn camera slightly undersamples the core of the PSF.



Figure 18: The EPIC pn and MOS energy resolution (FWHM) as a function of energy. The data points come from SciSim simulations.

There is one notable exception to the above, namely when the count rate of an X-ray source is so high that photon pile-up on the EPIC chips plays an important role. This is described in \S 3.3.10.

3.3.4 Intrinsic energy resolution of EPIC

The CCD pixels of the EPIC cameras are energy sensitive, enabling non-dispersive spectroscopy. The resolving power of these cameras is determined by the intrinsic energy resolution of the individual pixels and not by the PSF of the X-ray telescopes. The spectral resolution of both the EPIC MOS and pn CCDs, as a function of energy, is displayed in Fig. 18.

3.3.5 EPIC quantum efficiencies

One of the factors to be taken into account when determining the effective area of the EPIC cameras is their quantum efficiency (QE). The QE of both types of EPIC CCD chips as a function of photon energy is displayed in Fig. 19. It is the QE of the EPIC MOS chips that in practice limits the energy passband at its high end, while the pn camera can detect photons with high efficiency up to 15 keV.

3.3.6 EPIC filters

The next factor influencing the EPIC effective area, specifically in the low energy part of the passband, are its optical blocking filters. These are used, because the EPIC CCDs are not only sensitive to X-ray photons, but also to IR, visible and UV light. Therefore,



Figure 19: Quantum efficiency of the two types of EPIC CCD chips (pn and MOS) as a function of photon energy.

if an astronomical target has a high optical to X-ray flux ratio, there is a possibility that the X-ray signal becomes contaminated by those photons. The resulting analysis of data would be impeded in three ways:

- 1. Shot noise on the optically-generated photo electrons will increase the overall system noise, and hence lower the energy resolution. Spectral fitting will be inaccurate, because the calibration files will assume narrower spectral lines than observed.
- 2. The energy scale will be incorrectly registered, because a nominally zero signal pixel will have a finite offset. For each optically generated photo electron which is registered, the energy scale shifts by about 3.6 eV. This is comparable with the accuracy with which we expect brightest emission line features can be centroided. Consequently, contamination by visible light plays a crucial role in defining the proper energy scale.
- 3. Excess signal and noise fluctuations can affect the detection efficiency as well, by disguising single pixel X-ray events as events split between pixels.

To prevent this, the EPIC cameras include aluminised optical blocking filters, and also an internal "offset table" which is calculated before each exposure to subtract the constant level of (optical) light or other systematic shifts of the zero level of charge measurements. This is the reason why there is always a calibration exposure before the start of a science observation.

If these measures work perfectly, the above problems are minimised. However, the use of a thick blocking filter capable of minimising the optical light contamination for *all* scenarios



Figure 20: The EPIC MOS effective area for each of the optical blocking filters and the "open" (no filter) position.

would necessarily limit the softest X-ray energy response. Each EPIC camera is therefore equipped with a set of 4 separate filters, named **thick**, **medium**, **thin** and **open**. It is necessary for the observer to select the filter which maximises the scientific return, by choosing the optimum optical blocking required for the target of interest.

The following guidelines apply to point sources of optical light. Extended objects are not expected to be a significant problem. The calculations have been performed for a worst case, i.e., for the brightest pixel within the core of the PSF. Therefore, averaging the brightness of an extended object over a scale of one PSF (say, 20") should provide a corresponding estimate with a significant margin of safety.

• Thick filter

This filter should be used if the expected visible brightness of the target would degrade the energy scale and resolution of EPIC. It should be able to suppress efficiently the optical contamination for all point source targets up to m_V of 1–4 (MOS) or m_V of 0–3 (pn). The range depends on the spectral type, with only extremely red or blue colours (M stars for example) causing the change to 3 magnitudes fainter level.

Note that these data apply to full window modes only, and that a change to a partial window mode with an order of magnitude faster readout rate can allow suppression of optical contamination at 2-3 visible magnitudes brighter for *ALL* filters. The GO can make an estimate on optical contamination





Figure 21: The EPIC pn effective area for each of the optical blocking filters and the "open" (no filter) position.

improvement based on the mode time resolution compared with full window mode (Table 4).

• Medium filter

The optical blocking is expected to be about 10^3 less efficient than the thick filter, so it is expected that this filter will be useful for preventing optical contamination from point sources as bright as $m_V = 8-10$.

• Thin filter

The optical blocking is expected to be about 10^5 less efficient than the thick filter, so the use of this filter will be limited to point sources with optical magnitudes about 14 magnitudes fainter than the corresponding thick filter limitations.

• Open filter

This should only be used when trying to detect the very softest photons in the bandpass. Even the diffuse zodiacal light will produce measureable optical light contamination, so the energy response will be compromised *every* time the "open" position is employed. Furthermore, present calculations show that the CCDs could become contaminated with ice and hydrocarbons on a timescale of about one day, leading to loss of calibration and a necessity to initiate a

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	32

bakeout and re-calibration sequence. Therefore observations in the open position are not recommended by the SOC as a routine operation. To request open filter position observations, the GO must supply strong scientific arguments that the response to the softest photons around 0.1 keV is crucial for the proposed investigation and, moreover, that the expected visible light contamination is expected to be minimal.

The default filter, which is the most sensible choice for most observations, is the thin filter. Figs. 20 and 21 display the impact of the different filters on the soft X-ray response of both types of EPIC cameras.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	33

3.3.7 EPIC background

The EPIC background comprises both external (diffuse X-ray) and internal (particle generated) components. The intensity and spectrum of these background components impact on the sensitivity, however we are limited at present in our knowledge of the expected contributions.

3.3.7.1 EPIC internal background

The internal background is dominated mainly by γ -rays generated within the spacecraft in response to the cosmic ray flux. An industry study indicates that for a nominal particle flux, and a best estimate of the spacecraft mass distribution, the event rate in EPIC MOS would be of order 1.5×10^{-4} counts cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹. The spectrum is expected to be virtually flat. No detailed model was performed for the EPIC pn camera, but it was estimated that with an active layer some 4–5 times thicker than the MOS CCDs, the rate might be typically a factor of 2 higher. The uncertainty due to variation of the cosmic ray rates around the orbit and with time is probably also a factor 2 at best.

For some basic assumptions on the pulse height invariant channel widths and other factors, the following estimates for the PHA file characteristics of the particle background component in the **XMM** cameras were estimated by us:

- EPIC MOS (channel width = 3.4 eV): $4.4 \times 10^{-9} + (B \times 3.32 \times 10^{-13})$
- EPIC pn (channel width = 4.25 eV): $8.7 \times 10^{-9} + (B \times 8.3 \times 10^{-13})$
- RGS MOS (channel width = 1 eV) $8.82 \times 10^{-10} + (B \times 6.6 \times 10^{-14})$

where the units are counts $mm^{-2} s^{-1} channel^{-1}$ and B is the channel number, giving the weak energy dependence.

If it proves possible to correlate a particle-induced background component with the event rates measured in the EPIC Radiation Monitor (ERMS, \S 3.6.2), then it should also be possible to include this as part of a background correction calibration file.

The dark currents of both types of EPIC CCDs are negligible sources of background noise under nominal operating conditions.

3.3.7.2 EPIC external background

Except for energies ≥ 6 keV, calculations show that EPIC observations will be dominated by the diffuse X-ray background from the sky. This can be modeled as two thermal plasma components within the Galaxy (at kT = 0.05 and 0.12 keV; the former being unabsorbed, the latter with an average absorbing column density of 1.66×10^{20} cm⁻²), and an extragalactic power law component (with a photon spectral index of 1.46 and the same absorbing column density as above), see Chen, Fabian and Gendreau (1997; MNRAS). The former is known from ROSAT sky maps to vary in intensity by a factor of two with location. It is difficult to assess what fraction of the truly diffuse background will remain unresolved at the angular resolution level of **XMM**, and hence what the eventual point source detection sensitivity of EPIC will be.

An additional caveat to consider is the detection cell size, because the signal-to-noise ratio will depend dramatically on the extraction radius assumed. For the associated plots herein, we have adopted a region confined to the order of a PSF core.



Figure 22: The effective area of **XMM**, with various combinations of EPIC detectors (total, pn only, 2 MOS cameras, 1 MOS only), compared with AXAF ACIS-I and AXAF HRC-I (linear scale).

Energy [keV]

3.3.8 Imaging effective area

Both types of EPIC cameras, MOS and pn, have high maximum quantum efficiencies (see Fig. 19). Figs. 22 and 23 display the total effective area of the **XMM** mirror modules with various combinations of EPIC detectors (total, pn only, 2 MOS cameras, 1 MOS only). As mentioned earlier on, all EPIC effective area calculations were performed with the thin filter in place. For comparison, the AXAF effective areas with both the ACIS-I and HRC-I instruments are shown too.

Note that the above refers to on-axis sources. The mirror vignetting described in § 3.2.2.2 folds into the graphs shown in Figs. 22-23 for off-axis sources. This reduces the EPIC off-axis effective area (not displayed) as displayed in Fig. 14.

Ontop of this, the EPIC MOS effective areas are affected by the presence of the RGAs. The effective area along the RGS dispersion direction differs slightly from that in the cross-dispersion direction.

Note that the EPIC cameras have an effective area of up to 500 cm^2 below 0.1 keV (0.05–0.1 keV). However, photons from EPIC observations in this energy range will probably not be scientifically useable, because the EPIC event detection threshold will be somewhere in the range from 70–90 eV. All events below this energy will be filtered out in order to reject spurious events (including electronics noise). Details, in particular the exact level of this threshold, will be determined and set as part of the in-orbit calibration program.

3.3.9 EPIC's sensitivity limits

The EPIC sensitivity limits depend on the angular structure and the spectral characteristics of the source that is observed. The numbers for an unresolved point source with a



Figure 23: The effective area of XMM, with various combinations of EPIC detectors (total, pn only, 2 MOS cameras, 1 MOS only), compared with AXAF ACIS-I and AXAF HRC-I (logarithmic scale).

power law emission spectrum are listed in Table 5.

Int. time [ks]	$0.1-2.4~\mathrm{keV}$	0.4 – $4.5 \mathrm{keV}$	$210 \mathrm{keV}$	$0.1{-}15~{ m keV}$
10	17	20	64	33
20	9	11	33	18
30	7	8	20	13
60	4	5	13	8
100	2.7	3.5	8.7	5.7

Table 5: 5- σ point source sensitivity of the EPIC pn camera¹

Notes to Table 5:

1) All fluxes are in units of $[10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$, for an $\alpha_E = 0.7$ power law spectrum, assuming only extragalactic X-ray background radiation (as described in § 3.3.7).

Both internal (particle-induced) and external (celestial X-ray) background was included in the calculations, as described in § 3.3.7. A detect cell the size of the FWHM of the XMM PSF were used.

The sensitivity limits for two selected emission lines are tabulated in Tables 6 and 7. Here the background was treated as if it were part of the underlying continuum flux. In Tables 5–7 and Figs. 24–26 **the sensitivity limits of only the EPIC pn camera are provided**. Using the effective area curves from above, one can estimate that the numbers should be multiplied by a factor of 0.75 to obtain the approximate sensitivity of **all** EPIC cameras combined.

Cont. \mathbf{flux}^2	$10 \mathrm{ks}$	100 ks
10^{-3}	103	32.2
10^{-4}	33.6	10.3
10^{-5}	11.8	3.36
10^{-6}	5.07	1.18
10^{-7}	3.35	0.51
10^{-8}	3.08	0.34
10^{-9}	3.05	0.31

Table 6: EPIC pn O VII 0.57 keV line sensitivity¹

Notes to Table 6:

1) All line fluxes are in units of [photons $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$].

2) In $[10^{-6} \text{ photons } \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}]$.

 JI IO PR ICAA	v 0.00 h		100
Cont. \mathbf{flux}^2	$10 \mathrm{ks}$	$100 \ \mathrm{ks}$	
10^{-3}	82.3	25.7	
10^{-4}	27.1	8.23	
10^{-5}	9.79	2.71	
10^{-6}	4.56	0.98	
10^{-7}	3.32	0.46	
10^{-8}	3.15	0.33	
10^{-9}	3.13	0.31	

Table 7: EPIC pn Fe XXV 6.63 keV line sensitivity¹

Notes to Table 7:

1) All line fluxes are in units of [photons $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$].

2) In $[10^{-6} \text{ photons } \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}]$.

Fig. 24 displays the minimum detectable source flux for a point source with an $\alpha_E = 0.7$ power law spectrum, Fig. 25 that of an $\alpha_E = 3.0$ ("soft excess") source. Fig. 26 shows the minimum detectable source flux for 30" extended sources with a kT = 0.3 keV Mewe-Kaastra-Liedahl (in the following "Mekal") thermal plasma.





Figure 24: EPIC pn sensitivity limits for a point source with an $\alpha_E = 0.7$ power law spectrum, for different energy bands, see Table 5.



Figure 25: EPIC pn sensitivity limits for a point source with an $\alpha_E = 3.0$ power law spectrum, for different energy bands, see Table 5.

MM Science Operations Team Da Pa	ate: age:	18.01.1999 38
MM Science Operations Team	sue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1



Figure 26: EPIC pn sensitivity limits for a 30'' extended source with a kT = 0.3 keV Mekal thermal plasma spectrum.

	Page:	39
Amm Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

3.3.10 EPIC photon pile-up

Photon pile-up, i.e., the arrival of more than one X-ray photon in one camera pixel before it is read out, can affect both the spectral response of EPIC and its PSF:

The spectral response is compromised, because the charge deposited by more than one photon is added up before being read out, thus creating artifical "hard" photons where there have actually been two or more soft photons.

The PSF is influenced by pile-up, because in the core of the PSF many photons arrive at almost the same time (within one readout frame), creating multi-pixel photon patterns which, for the MOS camera, are then rejected by the onboard event reconstruction software (which is supposed to suppress spurious events, such as cosmic rays). This leads, in the most extreme case, to a PSF with an artificial "hole" at its centre, as displayed in Fig. 27. For the pn camera, event reconstruction is performed offline in the SAS.

As an example for the influence of pile-up on spectral analyses, a kT = 1 keV Raymond-Smith model spectrum with $N_H = 3 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻² has been fed into SciSim and the fit to the resulting "observed" spectrum compared with the input for EPIC MOS observations in the full window mode (Tab. 8). One can see how the best-fitting N_H , kT and normalisation vary as a function of input source flux (and thus count rate per frame). Note that, because of the photon pile-up, one apparently loses soft photons (whose charge combines and is then seen at higher energies), thus requiring a higher N_H and also a higher kT to reach the minimum χ^2 for the fit. Therefore, the change in the relative normalisation (right column) is not the only, and also not the most severe problem in an attempt to provide the best possible spectro-photometric calibration. The peculiarity that for low fluxes the fitted kTis a bit lower than the input value is explained by the fact that the SciSim simulation was performed without event reconstruction. What is important to note is the relative change in kT. A similar change is also caused in the best-fitting slope of a power law, as displayed in Fig. 28. The effect of pile-up on the PSF has been taken into account in these simulations by choosing an appropriately large photon extraction region for the spectral analysis.

Note: No error bars are provided in Tab. 8, because the input spectrum is a numerical model spectrum without noise. Differences in the output "fit" spectrum with respect to the noise-free input occur only because of re-grouping of photons due to pile-up. The relevance of the effect depends on the scientific goal of the observing programme. For up to 2 counts per MOS frame, the error in N_H stays below 10%, that of kT and the normalisation at a level of 2%, which in many cases will be below the uncertainty of the spectral fit anyway.

Table 4 provides estimates of count rates for the different EPIC instrument modes for which pile-up should not be a problem. For the MOS full imaging mode, e.g., ca. 0.7 counts/s should not be exceeded.

A quantitative comparison of both types of EPIC cameras with the AXAF ACIS-I instrument in this respect is provided in § 3.7.1.3.

3.3.11 EPIC event grade selection

Users should be aware of the different methods of processing events that are detected in the EPIC MOS and pn cameras. Event selection is performed on-board in order to allow transmission of useful data only (i.e., removal of empty pixels from the data stream). Also a significant number of X-ray events are expected to be split between pixels, and these must be recognised as such.

XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 40
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

The MOS camera uses an event pattern recognition scheme. All detected events are transmitted to ground with a pattern identification (similar to the *ASCA* "grades") and a number of energy data. The Science Analysis Subsystem (SAS) software will automatically allow these data to be reconstructed to a single energy value in an event list. However, the user will be able to select sub-sets of pattern types because optimal energy resolution may be obtained from a sub-set of events (one and two pixels in size). If maximum source detection efficiency is required all patterns might be selected. Therefore, users must choose carefully the dataset for the science of interest, and further beware that not all pattern combinations may be calibrated as well as some default sets.

The pn camera conversely telemeters all pixels above a certain threshold, and the X-ray event selection and processing is performed wholly on-ground, e.g., through the use of the SAS. However, we emphasise that default processing should be followed, because the



Figure 27: SciSim simulation of the EPIC MOS PSF with increasing photon count rate per frame. The panels are arranged clockwise, with the lowest count rate (and thus pile-up rate) in the upper left and the highest in the lower left. The simulated count rates are 0.37, 5.92, 12.6 and 23.7 counts/s, respectively.

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	41

$\frac{\mathbf{Input}}{\mathbf{flux}^1}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Output}\\ \textbf{count}\\ \textbf{rate}\\ [\textbf{s}^{-1}] \end{array}$	Counts per MOS frame	${f N_{ m H}}\ [10^{20}~{ m cm^{-2}}]$	kT [keV]	${f Norm./}\ {f expect}$
4.05	1.08	0.22	3.0	0.967	1
13.4	3.56	0.71	3.05	0.972	1
40.5	10.5	2.1	3.2	0.979	0.98
134	33.3	6.65	3.5	1.010	0.95
405	85.4	17.1	4.1	1.022	0.80

Table 8: The effect of pile-up on spectral fits

Notes to Table 8:

1) 0.1–10 keV flux in units of $[10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$.



Figure 28: The best-fitting power law slope, α , for an $\alpha = 1.7$ input spectrum into SciSim, with different input count rates, leading to different levels of pile-up.

details of events carried in the event list are crucial to performing proper gain calibration for example.

A host of secondary effects of event processing is foreseen (for example the amount of pileup in the PSF may depend on event pattern selection, particle background rejection is heavily dependent on event selection criteria), but the scope may not fully be appreciated until further reduction of ground calibrations is performed by the instrument PI teams, or after in-orbit experience accumulates.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	42

3.3.12 EPIC-specific proposal submission information

In the preparation of EPIC observing proposals, the following information should be taken into account in order to assess the technical feasibility of intended **XMM** observations; see § 4 for additional, more general information.

3.3.12.1 EPIC spectral quality

In Figs. 29–33 we display a series of Mekal model spectra at different energies, ranging from 0.1 to 10.0 keV, with successively increasing total photon numbers (from 500 to 20000 counts). These show which data quality can be reached for a given total number of counts. All model spectra have been produced with SciSim, feeding in a Mekal model spectrum produced with xspec, using the thin filter and the full window mode. For the 0.1 keV model, the MOS and pn cameras are compared directly (Figs. 29 and 30), while for all other energies only the MOS model spectra are displayed. No processing of the spectra (like e.g., background subtraction or rebinning) has been performed.



Figure 29: Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 0.1 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts in the XMM passband (0.1–15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000.



Figure 30: Series of EPIC pn model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 0.2 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts in the **XMM** passband (0.1-15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000.

Energy [keV]

1.0

10[°]

0.1



Figure 31: Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 0.5 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts in the XMM passband (0.1–15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000.



Figure 32: Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 2.0 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts in the XMM passband (0.1–15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000.

1.0

Energy [keV]

10⁰

0.1



Figure 33: Series of EPIC MOS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 10.0 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts in the XMM passband (0.1–15 keV) increases from 500 to 20000.

DUCUME	nent INO.: AIVIIVI-P'D-C
VIIII Samo Operations Toom Issue/Re	Rev.: Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	45
3.3.12.2 EPIC flux to count rate conversion	

ယ

are calculated for the 0.1-12 keV band. the foreground absorbing column density, N_H , are plotted. Both the (unabsorbed) fluxes with the thin and medium optical blocking filters. Families of curves for various values of (i.e., after taking into account the attenuation by the foreground gas) and the count rates factors for a variety of standard spectral models, for each camera type (pn and MOS), The following set of figures (Figs. 34–41) provides EPIC flux to count rate conversion

The order of the plots is: Note that the conversion factors for the MOS cameras refer to one MOS camera only.

- ÷. Power law models
- $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ Thermal plasma (Raymond-Smith) models with solar metallicities
- ယ္ Black body models

order), then two MOS plots. For each model type, two pn camera plots are shown first (thin and medium filter, in that

3.3.12.3Count rate conversion from other X-ray satellite missions

HEASARC (at the URL http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html). different XMM detectors, we recommend the use of the PIMMS software from NASA's For the conversion of count rates from other X-ray satellites to count rates expected for the



and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter). Figure 34: EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various power law spectra

Figure 36: EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various power law spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter).



and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium filter).





ಂ

 $N_{\rm H} = 1.0 \ 10^{22} \ {\rm cm}^{-2}$ $N_{\rm H} = 3.0 \ 10^{21} \ {\rm cm}^{-2}$ $N_{H} = 1.0 \ 10^{21} \ cm^{-2}$ $N_{\rm H} = 3.0 \ 10^{20} \ {\rm cm}^{-2}$ $N_{H} = 1.0 \ 10^{20} \ cm^{-2}$ $N_{\rm H} = 1.0 \ 10^{19} \ {\rm cm}^{-2}$ 10

Issue/Rev.: Document No.: Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 46XMM-PS-GM-14

Page: Date:

Page: Date:



filter). Figure 37: power law spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various



Figure 38: spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter). EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various Raymond-Smith

filter). Figure 40: EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various Raymond-Smith spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin Figure 40:



Figure spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium filter). 39: EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various Raymond-Smith

0.1

1.0

10.0

kT [keV]



XMM Science Operations Team Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date:

:: XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999

XMM Science Operations Team

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue/Rev.: Issue 1.1 Date: 18.01.1999 Page: 49



ous Raymond-Smith spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H Figure 41: EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for vari-(medium filter).



Figure 42: and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter). EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various black body spectra

Figure 44: Figure 44: EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various black body spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (thin filter).



and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium filter). Figure 43: EPIC pn flux to count rate conversion factors for various black body spectra



XMM Science Operations Team

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue/Rev.: Issue 1.1 Date: 18.01.1999 Page: 50

		VIVI Science Operations Team	
Page:	Date:	Issue/Rev.:	Document No.:
51	18.01.1999	Issue 1.1	XMM-PS-GM-14



Figure 45: EPIC flux to count rate conversion factors for one MOS camera for various black body spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H (medium filter).

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14	
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1	
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999	
	Page:	52	

3.4 REFLECTION GRATING SPECTROMETER (RGS)

Principal Investigator: Dr. A. C. Brinkman, Space Research Organization of the Netherlands

Two of the three **XMM** X-ray telescopes are equipped with RGS units. These consist of Reflection Grating Assemblies (RGAs) and RGS Focal Cameras (RFCs), see Fig. 3. Among the science instruments of **XMM**, RGS is best suited for high spectral resolution $(E/\Delta E \text{ from 200 to 800})$ X-ray spectroscopy in the energy range 0.35–2.5 keV (5–35 Å).² The energy range covered by the RGS has a particularly high density of X-ray emission lines, thus offering a large number of diagnostic tools to investigate the composition of the emitting material, e.g., the L shell transitions of heavy elements like Fe and Ni, and K shell transitions of lighter elements, such as N, O, Ne, Mg, and Si. In its high time resolution mode, the RGS offers the possibility to conduct fast soft X-ray spectro-photometry of individual lines.

The grating plates in the RGAs have mean groove densities of ca. 645.6 lines mm^{-1} . The dispersion of the instrument is 7.39 and 11.144 mm/Å for orders -1 and -2, respectively. The RGAs are mounted in the light path of the two X-ray telescopes with EPIC MOS cameras in their primary focus. Each RGA intercepts about 58% of the total light focused by the mirror module.

The RFCs consist of linear arrays of 9 MOS CCD chips (similar to those in the EPIC MOS cameras), which are located along the dispersion direction of the RGAs. The RGS MOS chips are back-illuminated in order to maximise their soft energy response and aluminium-coated on the exposed side in order to suppress optical/UV light. They have $1024 \times 768 (27\mu m)^2$ pixels, half of which (1024×384) are exposed to the sky, while the other half is used as a storage area. During readout, 3×3 pixel on-chip binning (OCB) is performed in the default spectroscopy mode, leading to a pixel size for RGS observations of $(81 \ \mu m)^2$, which is sufficient to fully sample the RGS line-spread function (LSF). For an on-axis source the zeroth order image of the gratings is not visible on the detector array.

There are small, but measurable differences between the two RGS units. While these do not lead to a noticeable effect on the effective areas, it is expected that the energy resolution of RGS-2 will be lower than that of RGS-1 (as shown in Figs. 48–49).

²The formula for rough conversion of wavelengths into energies is λ [Å] × E [keV] = 12.3984.



Figure 46: Sketch of an RFC chip array, with 9 MOS CCDs. The half of each CCD at large camera-y coordinates is exposed to the sky, the other half is used as a storage area. The -1. order spectrum of a source observed on-axis starts on the left chip. The dispersion direction is along the "Z" axis, so that higher energies are dispersed to higher values in Z.

3.4.1 RFC chip arrays

MM

Fig. 46 displays a sketch of the chip geometry in an RFC. The two RFCs will be offset with respect to each other along their dispersion direction so as to fill the gaps in between each other's chips (i.e., providing continuous coverage along the dispersion direction).

The chip on the left side of Fig. 46 has number 1 (the low Z end of the coordinate scale), the one on the right is number 9 (high Z value). For an on-axis source, the individual chips cover approximately the energy ranges listed in Table 9. The numbers tabulated there relate to a nominal case (the design geometry). Detailed simulations should be performed in case the user is interested in lines that are close to the chip boundaries. To move important lines away from chip boundaries, users may want to consider changing the pointing (boresight coordinates) accordingly and observing with a fixed position angle.

ω,	JOT THE ACSTYL	inisin anichte geor	ncuy		
	Chip no.	Energy R	ange [eV]	Wavelength	n Range [A]
		-1. order	-2. order	-1. order	-2. order
	1	328.2 - 374.3	656.4 - 748.7	37.78 - 33.12	18.89 - 16.56
	2	374.9 - 432.4	749.9 - 864.8	33.07 – 28.67	16.53 - 14.34
	3	433.1 - 506.1	866.3 - 1012.3	28.62 - 24.50	14.31 - 12.25
	4	507.1 - 602.2	1014.2 - 1204.5	24.45 - 20.59	12.22 - 10.29
	5	603.5 - 731.6	1207.0 - 1463.1	20.54 - 16.95	10.27 - 8.47
	6	733.3 - 913.2	1466.6 - 1826.4	16.91 - 13.58	8.45 - 6.79
	7	915.7 - 1183.5	1831.4 - 2367.0	13.54 - 10.48	6.77 – 5.24
	8	1187.4 - 1622.0	_	10.44 - 7.64	
	9	1628.6 - 2440.2	—	7.613 - 5.08	

Table 9: The wavelength and energy ranges covered by the chips of RGS for an on-axis source, for the design instrument geometry

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	54
	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:

Table 10:	The	eneray	ranges	covered	bu	the	RGS	in	different	aratina	orders
10010 10.	1 100	chickgg	rangeo	0000100	09	0100	1000	010	<i>w</i> , <i>j c i c i v v</i>	gradding	010010

Order	Energy range [keV]
-1	$0.35 - 2.5^1$
-2	$0.62 - 2.5^1$
-3	$1.20 - 2.5^1$

Note to Table 10:

1) Practical limitation due to very low effective area above 2.5 keV. The theoretical upper limit is 2.5 keV \times m, where m is the order number.

3.4.2 RGS grating orders

X-rays are reflected into spectral orders -1 and -2 with the highest efficiency, so these are the orders expected to produce useful data in the majority of observations. Count rates in the -3. order are about 8 times lower than in the -2. Depending on grating order, the RGS covers the energy ranges listed in Table 10.

The exact location of a source spectrum on the RGS CCD chips depends on the source's location within the field of view of the X-ray telescopes. For a target on-axis the observed spectrum is well centred on the RFC chips in the cross-dispersion direction and the wavelength scale is known with the best calibration accuracy. The wavelength scale for sources off-axis in the cross-dispersion direction is the same as for those on-axis, but will be different for sources off-axis in the dispersion direction.

Due to the grating relation $(m \times \lambda)$, the orders overlap spatially on the CCD detectors of the RFC. Separation of the spectral orders is achieved by using the CCDs' intrinsic energy resolution. The dispersion of a spectrum onto an RFC array is shown in Fig. 47. The -1. (lower) and -2. order (next) are most prominent and are clearly separated in the vertical direction (i.e., in CCD PHA space). Photons of higher orders are also visible.

3.4.3 RGS spectral resolution

The two RGS units operate independently of one another. Their LSFs depend on the shapes of the telescopes' PSF behind which they are located and on the characteristics of the RGS units.

The PSF of the **XMM** telescopes presented above (§ 3.2.1.1) thus determines the width of that RGS's LSF core and thus its capability to resolve X-ray lines. The spectral resolution for a point source is displayed in Figs. 48–50 for both RGS units separately. Fig. 48 displays the *HEW* as a function of energy, E, Fig. 49 shows the *FWHM*.

In order to assess whether two closely spaced spectral lines can be resolved, the resolving power based on FWHM is the appropriate measure. To evaluate the detectability of a weak feature against a strong continuum, the resolving power based on HEW is the appropriate figure.

Fig. 50 shows the spectral resolving power $(\lambda/\Delta\lambda = E/\Delta E)$ as a function of wavelength. In the -1. order, RGS-1 has an almost constant resolution in wavelength space, of ca. 0.04 Å.

An example for an individual line, the Al-K line at 1.49 keV, along the dispersion direction, is displayed in Fig. 51. One can see the narrow core of the LSF and the underlying broad wings. The same line, perpendicular to the dispersion direction, is presented in Fig. 52.





Figure 47: The dispersion along the dispersion coordinate, Z (in mm), vs. CCD PHAchannel output of an RGS spectrum in -1. and -2. grating orders onto the RGS focal cameras, assuming equal gains of all CCD output nodes. This also illustrates the mechanism used for separating spectral orders.

3.4.3.1 Components of the RGS LSF

The RGS angular (or wavelength-) response to monochromatic radiation – and thus its LSF – consists of two distinct physical components. The core of the profile is determined by geometrical optics: the telescope angular response, the grating figures, the grating-to-grating alignment, and the focusing of the telescope. This core can be calculated reliably with a raytrace code, based on an explicit model for the various components of the RGS. The parameters of this model have been calibrated in separate tests at sub-assembly level (telescope, RGA, individual gratings), and the resulting model has been validated in end-to-end tests of both spectrometers at the Panter X-ray testing facility of the Max Planck Institut für extraterrestrische Physik.

Microroughness on the gratings causes scattering of light, the amplitude and angular distribution of which scale completely differently with wavelength and dispersion angle (or spectral order) from the core shape. A theoretical model for the redistribution of light due to scattering was developed, based on first order perturbation theory for scalar diffraction. The free parameters of this model, the rms amplitude and correlation length of the surface fluctuations, were calibrated on a large subset of the gratings and the resulting scattering redistribution kernel was verified in the end-to-end tests at Panter.

The characteristic two-component shape of the resulting LSF (response integrated over the cross-dispersion direction) can be seen in Fig. 53, which shows the response of RGS-1





Figure 48: The resolving power (HEW) of both RGS in the -1. and -2. grating orders.



Figure 49: The resolving power (FWHM) of both RGS in the -1. and -2. grating orders.

to Mg K α radiation at 1.25 keV energy in the -1. and -2. spectral order, as measured at Panter, on a logarithmic scale so as to enhance the visibility of the line wings. Overlaid on the data are simulations calculated with the current best model for the instrument. As

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	AMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	57

is clear from these figures, the LSF can not adequately be represented by a single simple profile function (e.g., Gauss or Lorentzian) at all wavelengths. Therefore, to characterize the resolving power of the RGS, two separate measures are shown above, one based on the HEW of the LSF, one based on the FWHM (Figs. 48 and 49).

At low energies (long wavelengths), scattering is unimportant, and the LSF is dominated by the nearly-Gaussian core of the profile. The resolving power therefore asymptotically approaches approximately

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \lambda / \Delta \lambda \sim \text{constant} \times \lambda \tag{1}$$

since $\Delta \lambda$ for the core is only a weak function of λ . At short wavelengths, scattering is relatively more important, and the resolving power curves decline faster than proportional to λ . Final modeling of the scattering behaviour in this regime is still in the process of refinement, and the precise shape of the resolving power curves for energies above ca. 1.5 keV ($\lambda \leq 8$ Å) may therefore change somewhat with improved modeling.

3.4.3.2 RGS spectral resolution for extended sources

The RGS spectral resolution depends on the angular extent of the observed source. For an extended source ($\geq 30''$), emission lines are broadened according to the relation

$$\Delta\lambda(ext) = 0.124/m \times \ \theta(ext),\tag{2}$$

where $\Delta\lambda(\text{ext})$ is the resolution (in Å) in case of an extended source, for the spectral order m and a source extent of $\theta(\text{ext})$, in arcmin. This illustrates that, for a source extent of order 1', RGS still clearly outperforms EPIC in terms of spectral resolution.



Figure 50: The resolving power $(\lambda/\Delta\lambda = E/\Delta E)$ of both RGS in -1. and -2. grating order.



Figure 51: A close-up view of the Al K line at 1.49 keV, from an RGS model spectrum produced with SciSim, along the dispersion direction.



Figure 52: A close-up view of the Al K line at 1.49 keV, from an RGS model spectrum produced with SciSim, along the cross-dispersion direction.

	Document No.:	AMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	59



Figure 53: Response of RGS-1 to Mg K α radiation (E = 1.25 keV; λ = 9.89 Å), in orders m = -1, -2, respectively, as measured at Panter. The excess seen on the right side of the profile is due to the presence of fainter, slightly higher energy lines in the emission spectrum of the adjustment of the simulation (which may also included in the invest to the simulation). The

of the calibration source (which was also included in the input to the simulations). The second order profile shows that the outer wings of the scattering distribution are currently underpredicted. For reference, 1 mm in the focal plane equals 0.104 Å at Mg K α , m = -1, and 0.0665 Å at Mg K α , m = -2.





Figure 54: The effective area of both RGS units combined and RGS-1 (linear scale). Seam losses between the CCDs are not taken into account.

3.4.4 RGS effective area for dispersive spectroscopy

Several parameters determine the RGS effective area. The first is the effective area of the mirror modules (see § 3.2.2). Next, the amount of light from the mirrors intercepted by the grating arrays is a key quantity and how much of this light is diffracted to the different spectral orders and then absorbed on the RFCs.

The next factor influencing the RGS photon efficiency is the RFC CCDs' quantum efficiency (QE). This varies from 70% to 95% over the RGS passband from 0.35 to 2.5 keV. The value of 70% for the lowest energies will drop (by up to about 10%) when event selection with a lowest photon energy threshold is performed. The QE, without energy threshold selection, has been taken into account in the calculations of the effective area of the RGS, as displayed in Fig. 54.

To assess the total efficiency of the RGS instrument per spectral order, the efficiency with which the different spectral orders can be selected must also be taken into account. One can see in Fig. 47 that the RGAs' spectral orders spatially overlap in the dispersion direction. However, the intrinsic energy resolution of the CCDs allows the separation of X-ray photons in different orders, i.e., photons which are of different energies, but have the same position along the dispersion direction. Default masks for the spatial extraction of photons from the different orders (using PHA vs. dispersion coordinate plots, as in Fig. 47), are provided as part of the Current Calibration File (CCF) for each XMM RGS instrument. The expected efficiency in the post-observation RGS order selection with the SAS is ca. 90%. Since the order selection is part of the offline processing, it is **not** included in the effective area calculations presented here.


Figure 55: The RGS sensitivity limits of one RGS for a 5- σ detection on the OVII emission line complex at 0.57 keV of a point source.

Fig. 54 displays the effective area of both RGS units together, taking into account the factors listed above. This is ca. 150 cm^2 at 1 keV. Seam losses between the CCDs were excluded from this calculation.

3.4.5 RGS sensitivity limits

As a measure of the RGS sensitivity the detection threshold for a few prominent lines in the 0.35–2.5 keV range has been modeled using SciSim. As examples, the following lines were chosen: the O VII line complex (at 0.57 keV), the Ne X line (at 1.022 keV) and the Si XIII line (at 1.86 keV). The RGS line sensitivity plots displayed in Figs. 55–57 provide an estimate of the exposure time necessary to detect a line above a certain underlying continuum at a significance level of 5- σ . The calculations were performed **for one RGS**. It is in all cases assumed that the line emission emanates from a point source.

The calculations are based on the line flux integrated over the HEW of the line profile, assuming Gaussian error propagation. For such an estimate, four contributing components must be considered:

- 1. The flux of the line,
- 2. the flux of the underlying continuum of the source,
- 3. the X-ray background and
- 4. the particle background.

The X-ray background varies over the sky. In order to provide a graphical representation of RGS's sensitivity which is independent of the source coordinates on the sky, the X-ray



Figure 56: The RGS sensitivity limits of one RGS for a 5- σ detection on the NeX emission line at 1.022 keV of a point source.



Figure 57: The RGS sensitivity limits of one RGS for a 5- σ detection on the SiXIII 1.86 keV emission line of a point source.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	63

background was not considered directly. However, at the discussed energies the extragalactic X-ray background can well be reproduced by a power-law spectrum. This allows us to consider the X-ray background simply by adding an additional component to the continuum flux of the source. In general, the "continuum flux" in the plots can therefore be assumed to contain in practice a contribution from both the source continuum and the X-ray background at the position of the source.

The particle-induced background, which depends on the design of the instruments, is considered in the calculation of the curves. We assume a particle-induced background of 3.0×10^{-9} counts mm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹. This is a mean number, which accounts for the normal fluctuation in solar activity. For the given lines we assumed a geometrical area for particle hits of 7.2, 10.8 and 12.5 mm².

The plots allow a first estimate of the exposure time in the sense that unreasonable sources can be rejected during a pre-selection of possible targets. They give a basic idea of whether or not it is possible to detect lines. They are not intended to (and cannot) substitute detailed simulations with realistic spectra with SciSim for sources where a detection and/or separation of one or more emission lines is the main scientific goal.

3.4.6 RGS response

Various components may feature in the data from RGS observations, such as:

• In-flight X-ray calibration source background

Four calibration sources permanently illuminate the CCD of the RFC. The emission is primarily in F-K and Al-K and care has been taken such that the energies of these lines do not coincide spatially with the equivalent energies in the diffracted spectrum. The source intensity is 0.1 counts $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Using both spatial and energy information, their contribution to the celestial spectra can be estimated.

• Optical load on the CCDs

This is caused by various optical straylight components. Detected optical photons will modify the gain calibration of the CCDs through an introduction of an additional energy offset. Using diagnostic mode data, these offsets can be measured.

• Particle-induced background

This is expected to cause a uniform distribution of low surface brightness background across the surface of the RFC (see \S 3.4.5 for assumptions on the intensity).

• Diffuse cosmic X-ray background

At any location on the RFC, the grating equation is satisfied for a wide range of energies and celestial locations, but most photons from the diffuse background will be discriminated by the spatial/spectral filtering meachanism. Any remnant signals will manifest as a uniform low surface brightness feature (seen as in the particle background above). Given an appropriate model of the celestial background spectral distribution and assuming an isotropic spatial distribution, the response of the instrument can be satisfactorily modeled. Independently, EPIC data can be used to directly measure the background.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	64

• Apparent cross-talk between orders

The CCD response includes a low energy tail due to a finite number of photons producing anomalously low energy signatures. In the case of bright emission feature being measured in the second order spectrum, the intensity of this tail may become significant, as it may produce counts in the co-located first order spectrum. These effects can be modeled and can be easily identified in the PHA dispersion plane (cf. Fig. 47, e.g., at Z = 0-110 mm).

• Effects of scattering by the gratings

Due to X-ray scattering off the gratings (about 20% at mid band), there is an additional tail of the LSF. While true source continuum emission follows the dispersion equation, scattered light appears as an horizontal distribution in the PHA versus dispersion plot (cf. Fig. 47). This effect can be modeled and is included in SciSim (cf. e.g. Fig. 63).

3.4.7 Operating modes of the RGS

All RGS CCDs are operated in the so-called "frame store" mode, in which half of each CCD is exposed to celestial radiation. The contents of this half is transfered to the second (shielded) half, which works as a storage area before readout, while the first half is acquiring the next frame. Thereby, the two-dimensional dispersed photon distribution is stored.

The RGS has two science modes, "spectroscopy" and "high time resolution" (see Table 11 for a summary of their basic characteristics). Most data will be obtained in the former. Only if high time resolution (down to ~ 16 ms) is required, will the latter be used.

1. Spectroscopy mode

The baseline on-chip binning (OCB) factor in this mode is 3×3 pixels. A variety of options to reduce the data rates to within the RGS telemetry bandwidth limits are available. Each CCD readout takes 0.6 s. Each individual chip (and any combination of chips) can be read out. This leads to a duty cycle for the normal sequential readout of the full array of 9 CCDs of 5.4 s. The energy range covered depends on which CCDs are read out and on the position of the source within the FOV. Reading out all 9 CCDs, the full energy range and all spectral orders are sampled, as listed in Table 10. A rough estimate of which

Mode	Description
	2-D readout of up to all 9 CCDs over the full energy range with a
Spectroscopy	long duty cycle (5.4 s readout time); each CCD readout takes 0.6
	s. 3×3 pixel OCB is applied. See text for details.
	Continuous readout of strips of 74 pixels collapsed along the cross-
High time resolu-	dispersion direction. Either all 9 or selected sequences of CCDs
tion (HTR)	can be read out; if only 1 CCD is read out, higher time resolution
	(down to 16 ms) is achieved.

Table 11: The RGS science data acquisition modes

energies are sampled if a given CCD (or combination of CCDs) is read out is provided in \S 3.4.1.

A fraction of the X-rays produces events in more than one readout pixel. With the basic readout configuration (spectroscopy mode) all detected events are transmitted, stored in the ODF, and (re-)combination of the charges of these pixels (by adding them up) must be performed as part of the offline data analysis. This is the preferred configuration, as full flexibility is retained in the data analysis.

In the case of bright celestial sources, however, these additional data may cause the available telemetry bandwidth to be exceeded. The following configurations of the RGS spectroscopy mode are available for reduction of the instrument telemetry:

- High Event Rate (HER): minor filtering of pixels takes place, which practically is only a noise reduction; split pixels remain to be transmitted;
- HER with Split Event Reconstruction (SER): in this configuration the addition of pixels is performed by the on-board processor before transmission;
- HER with Single Event Selection (SES): Only isolated pixels (without energy contained in neighbouring pixels) are selected on-board for transmission.
- 2. High Time Resolution (HTR) mode

In the HTR mode those halves of the RGS chips exposed to celestial light are divided into 74-pixel strips parallel to the dispersion direction. Each of these strips is collapsed into one row in the storage section (the half of the CCD chips shielded from celestial light) for readout. In order to obtain good absolute timing, a short observation of the target in spectroscopy mode must be obtained first, before starting the HTR exposure, to determine the exact location of the source's spectrum in the RGS FOV, which is needed for the determination of the absolute timing. Either all nine CCDs or selected sequences of them can be read out in HTR mode. The time resolution is roughly inversely proportional to the number of CCDs that is read out. As in the spectroscopy mode, the energy range covered depends on which CCDs are read out and on the position of the source within the FOV.

Some basic characteristics of the RGS science modes are listed in Table 11. Each RGS CCD is read out via two nodes.

3.4.8 RGS specific proposal submission information

In the preparation of RGS observing proposals, the following information should be taken into account. A few more instrumental effects, which partly also affect instruments other than the RGS, are listed in \S 4.8.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
stions Toom	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
ations ream	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	66



Figure 58: RGS avoidance angles for sources brighter than 4 (5) optical magnitudes (right/left panel). -Z is the dispersion direction of RGS, Y is the cross-dispersion direction.

3.4.8.1 RGS avoidance angles

Optical light from bright sources close to a science target can enter the RGS under certain angles. To avoid contamination of RGS observations, such sources must be avoided, with approximate avoidance angles as displayed in Fig. 58. Nearby bright X-ray sources should also not be located along the dispersion direction of the RGS during an observation in order to avoid spectral overlaps. Information on how to choose a position angle in order to avoid bright sources is provided in § 4.4.

The choice of avoidance angles is entirely at the responsibility of the GO. Should an observation become too constrained due to these avoidance angles, GOs may consider violating these regions, depending on the scientific impact on the proposal.

3.4.8.2 RGS spectral quality

In Figs. 59–62 we display a series of RGS spectra of Mewe-Kaastra-Liedahl (in the following "Mekal") model plasmas with different energies, kT, ranging from 0.1 to 10.0 keV, with successively increasing total photon numbers (from 500 to 10000 counts). These show what RGS data quality can be expected for a given number of counts. All model spectra have been produced with SciSim, using a Mekal model spectrum from *xspec*.

3.4.8.3 RGS flux to count rate conversion

Figs. 63–65 provide RGS flux to count rate conversion factors for a variety of standard spectral models. Families of curves for various values of the foreground absorbing column



Figure 59: Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 0.1 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases from 500 to 10000.

density, N_H , are plotted. Fluxes and count rates are calculated for the 0.3–2.0 keV band; fluxes are unabsorbed values. All calculations are performed for the –1. grating order.

3.4.8.4 Count rate conversion from other X-ray satellite missions

For the conversion of count rates from other X-ray satellites to count rates expected for the different **XMM** detectors, we recommend the use of the PIMMS software at NASA's HEASARC (at the URL http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html).



Figure 60: Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 0.5 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases from 500 to 10000.



Figure 61: Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 2.0 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases from 500 to 10000.





Figure 62: Series of RGS model spectra of a Mekal thermal plasma with a temperature of 5 keV. From the bottom to the top, the total number of counts increases from 500 to 10000.



Figure 63: RGS flux to count rate conversion factors for various power law spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H . All numbers were obtained for the -1. grating order only.







different values for the absorbing column density, N_H . All numbers were obtained for the Figure 64: -1. grating order only. RGS flux to count rate conversion factors for various black body spectra and



Figure 65: RGS flux to count rate conversion factors for various Mekal thermal plasma spectra and different values for the absorbing column density, N_H . All numbers were obtained for the -1. grating order only.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	71

3.5 OPTICAL MONITOR (OM)

Principal Investigator: Prof. K. O. Mason, Mullard Space Science Laboratory

Besides its three X-ray telescopes, **XMM** also has a co-aligned 30-cm optical/UV telescope (OM), providing for the first time the possibility to observe simultaneously in the X-ray **and** optical/UV regime from a single platform. A summary of OM's salient properties is provided in Tab. 12. Although small in size, the OM is a powerful instrument because of the absence of atmospheric extinction, diffraction and background. It can obtain simultaneous optical/UV observations of sources in the field of view in the waveband from 160 to 600 nm. Imaging over the central part of the X-ray field of view with a resolution of ca. 1" (depending on instrument configuration), low-resolution grism spectra of X-ray sources or high time-resolution photometry can be obtained. Due to the extreme sensitivity of its detectors, the OM cannot be used for observations of optically bright sources ($m_V \leq$ ca. 10 mag).

3.5.1 OM telescope

The OM telescope consists of an f/12.7 modified Ritchey Chrétien optics (see schematic in Fig. 66). From the primary mirror incoming light is reflected onto a secondary, from where it is reflected onto a rotatable 45° flat mirror located behind the primary, which can direct the beam onto one of two detector assemblies operated in cold redundancy.

3.5.2 OM detector

The OM is operated as a photon-counting instrument. Each of the (redundant) OM detectors has a format of 2048×2048 pixels, each $0.5'' \times 0.5''$ on the sky. The field of view

.'a	<u>ble 12: <i>OM characteris</i></u>	<u>tıcs – an overvie</u> u
	Total bandwidth ¹	160 - 600 nm
	${ m Spectral}\ { m bandwidth}^2$	160 - 550 nm
	${ m Sensitivity} \ { m limit}^3$	24 mag
	Field of view	ca. $17'^{4}$
	PSF(FWHM)	ca. 1"
	${ m Timing\ resolution^5}$	50 ms
	Spectral resolution ⁶	$0.5/1.0 { m nm}$
	${ m Brightness}\ { m limit}^7$	$m_V = 10 \text{ mag}$

 Table 12: OM characteristics - an overvieu

Notes to Table 12:

1) See Fig. 69 for filter bandpasses.

2) Covered by two grisms.

3) For a 1000 s white light observation of a B0 star; see Table 16 for expected integration times on three stellar types.

4) Maximum observable FOV per exposure due to onboard memory constraints: ca. $8' \times 8'$ (cf. § 3.5.9.2).

5) In the fast mode.

6) With the UV and optical grism, respectively.

7) For an A0 star with the V filter; see Tab. 19.

v n a n a	Science Operations Team	
	Science Operations Team	

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	72



Figure 66: The light path in XMM's optical/UV telescope, OM.

is therefore 1024'' on a side, or $17' \times 17'$. The light-sensitive surface is an S20 photocathode optimised for the UV and blue. This provides sensitivity from 160 nm (set by the detector window) to 600 nm.

The resolution of the detector is wavelength-dependent, from 15 μ m in the red to ca. 32 μ m at about 250 nm, before improving again. With the filters described below, this corresponds to < 1" to ca. 1.8", and with the magnifier (§ 3.5.6.3), it corresponds to the range 0.25'' - 0.45''.

The internal noise (dark noise) of the detectors is extremely low, and can generally be ignored by comparison with other, cosmic sources of background.

The OM detectors consist of a micro-channel plate (MCP) intensified CCD (MICs) with 384×288 pixels, 256^2 of which are useable for science observations. Each CCD pixel has a size of $4'' \times 4''$ on the sky. Photons coming from the 45° -mirror enter the detector and hit the photo-cathode, from where electrons are pre-amplified by three successive MCPs (Fig. 67). This leads to an amplification of the signal by a factor of ca. 10^5 . The detector achieves a large format through a centroiding technique, subsampling the 256^2 CCD pixels into 8×8 pixels each, as described in the following.

3.5.2.1 Imaging with OM

As mentioned above and shown in Fig. 67, photons entering the OM detector hit a photocathode, which is located at the backside of the detector entrance window. Electrons emanating from the photo-cathode are amplified by the MCPs, creating photon splashes at the location of the OM CCD. The detection of a photon entering the detector is performed

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	73





MCP 1 : 8 micron pores on 10 micron centres MCP 2 & 3 : 10 micron pores on 12 micron centres

Figure 67: Sketch of the OM micro-channel plate intensified CCD (MIC) detector.

by reading out the CCD and determining the photon splash's centre position using a centroiding algorithm, which is part of the onboard software. In the process of centroiding a grid of 8×8 "in-memory" pixels is defined, leading to an array of 2048×2048 in-memory pixels with a size of 0.5'' on the sky. In the resulting images at some level there is always a pattern repeating on an 8×8 grid. This can be removed by subsequent processing on ground.

As with all photon-counting detectors, there is a limit to the maximum count rate achievable before saturation sets in. The frame rate of the OM detectors is 10 ms at slowest, so a dead time correction must be applied in the offline data processing for count rates above ca. 10 counts/s for point sources. In addition, sources which are too bright can depress the local sensitivity of the photocathode: this is a cumulative effect, so that fainter sources observed for long times have the same effect as brighter sources observed for shorter periods. This places some operational constraints on the instrument.

Cosmetically, the OM detectors are good, with few hot or dead pixels, and little global variation in quantum efficiency. There is a small-scale granularity on the photocathode, which can be removed by flat-fielding in the ground processing. To some extent, the granularity (and the 8×8 pattern mentioned above) is smoothed by the spacecraft drift.

3.5.3 OM windows and field of view

While the OM can access a large part of the field viewed by EPIC, there are limitations on what can be observed in any one exposure. These limitations are set in the first place by the amount of on-board memory, and secondly by the telemetry rate allocated to the instrument. The observer can therefore choose up to 5 "windows", of which up to two may be operated in the "fast" mode (see § 3.5.5, below). These can be placed where required, with restrictions as identified in § 3.5.9.

In addition to these windows, the instrument assigns itself several small windows for tracking guide stars (§ 3.5.9). The tracking information is included with the other science data.

In general, an observation with the OM will consist of a sequence of exposures in different

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14	
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1	
Amm Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999	
	Page:	74	
			Î



Figure 68: Setup of OM imaging mode default mode observations consisting of a sequence of 5 exposures. The science windows are indicated by solid lines, the detector windows by dashed lines. A 16 in-memory pixel margin around the science window is allocated to accommodate spacecraft drifts.

filters and/or with a sequence of different window setups to cover the full field of view. A set of default OM window configurations is available: these will generally be satisfactory for most proposals. SOC support for non-default OM observations will be limited. For AO-1, a specific scientific justification will be required to use a non-default configuration. It is therefore strongly recommended to use the OM default configurations. The default setups are as follows.

For details on the intricacies of non-default OM window choice and the applicable restrictions, please refer to \S 3.5.9.2.

3.5.3.1 OM default configurations

To ease the use of the OM and to maximise the scientific yield of observations in cases when no particular instrument configuration is requested, OM default configurations have been defined. There is one type of default configuration per OM observing mode, thus one for the imaging mode and one for the fast mode (§ 3.5.5). For each default configuration slightly different setups are offered, depending on the prime X-ray instrument, in order to align the OM science windows properly with the boresight of the prime instrument. If possible within the total time allocated for X-ray observations – and not overriden by GO input in XRPS– the OM will conduct the following set of default observations.

1. Imaging mode default

- Imaging with (default) 2×2 in-memory pixel binning (1.0" pixels).
- A setup of 5 consecutive exposures per filter, covering 92% of the total $(17' \times 17')$ OM FOV.
- In each of the 5 exposures per filter a large fraction of the FOV will be covered by one large window, while a second, smaller imaging mode window ensures continuous monitoring of the prime target (at the centre of the FOV; see Fig. 68).
- 2. Fast mode default
 - Window configuration as under 1.
 - An additional central window is operated in the OM fast mode. Its size is 22×23 in-memory pixels $(11'' \times 11.5'')$.
 - Two imaging mode windows per exposure, covering the maximum possible FOV while not exceeding the hardware and software limits to operate at the same time a third window in the fast mode.

Within a default configuration observation, OM users can specify their choice of filter(s) and exposure times.

• Integration time for exposures

To achieve the best possible efficiency in the use of the limited hardware capabilities, an integration time of 1 ks is recommended for each exposure. With a setup of 5 exposures per filter, this leads to 5 ks duration per default observation with one given filter. Note that longer integration times per exposure are allowed (§ 3.5.5). Shorter exposure times are limited by the OM telemetry allocation vs. required downlink time as described in § 3.5.9.2.

• Filter choice

For maximum scientific yield (including the consideration of creating a useful archival database), the use of the following filters (in that order) is recommended:

- B
 UVW2
 U
- 4. UVW1

A different set of filters for observations in the OM default configuration may be chosen by the user based on scientific considerations (and a special scientific justification should be provided). However, the sequence in which the filters are used must comply with the instructions provided in § 3.5.6.1. The four filters listed above would be used in the order U, B, UVW1 and UVW2.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Amm Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	76

Example 1: With an exposure time of 1 ks, an observation using the imaging default mode (with 5 exposures) takes 5 ks (per filter). Within a total observing time of, e.g., 10 ks (which is determined by the feasibility of the planned X-ray observations), there would be time for only two filters. The recommended choice of filters would then be B and UVW2 (in that order).

Example 2: A 20 ks X-ray observation allows for four imaging default mode OM observations, preferably with the U, B, UVW1 and UVW2 filters.

Example 3: Users interested in U, B, V photometry would choose the filters in the order V, U and B. In order to reach similar limiting magnitudes within a total observing time of 20 ks, two default mode imaging observations with the V filter (requiring 10 ks) and one each with the U and B filter (in that order) can be chosen (cf. § 3.5.6.1). Alternatively, instead of choosing two 1 ks integrations in the V filter, 2 ks exposures may be defined.

3.5.4 Optical/UV point spread function of the OM and tracking

The OM telescope produces images on the detector which are nominally diffraction-limited over the field of view (ca. 0.35'' at 400 nm, decreasing with increasing wavelength). However, this is convolved with the somewhat larger detector resolution noted above (about 1" generally, decreasing with increasing wavelength). The resulting $\simeq 1.2''$ resolution images are optimally sampled by the 0.5'' pixels.

This image quality is much better than that obtained with the X-ray instrumentation, and is significantly degraded by the spacecraft drift. The OM therefore contains software which calculates the spacecraft drift from guide stars in the tracking windows and continually corrects the incoming photons from the detector to register them correctly in the accumulating image ("shift & add" in-memory). This can be done only in steps of an integral number of pixels, so some further broadening (on the scale of another 0.5") still occurs. The final image quality will have a *FWHM* of $\simeq 1.5$ ". Under the nominal 2×2 pixel binning (see below), the image is marginally undersampled and the resolution thus determined by the pixel size. Inserting the magnifier (which transmits in the optical region of the spectrum) increases the image scale on the detector by a factor of 4, resulting in $0.125'' \times 0.125''$ pixels: in this case the detector resolution is effectively increased by a factor of 4 (at the expense of a similar factor reduction in field of view) and the overall resolution is dominated by the telescope diffraction limit.

3.5.5 OM operating modes

The OM can operate in the two modes listed in Table 13. These allow either imaging or fast photometry or both simultaneously.

• Imaging mode

Imaging can be performed with different pixel sizes. The tradeoff is that the images with unbinned pixels take more memory and more telemetry, and therefore the windows must be small or the exposures long in order to allow the instrument memory to empty. A 2×2 pixel onboard binning is the default, resulting in pixels $(1'')^2$, but 1×1 , 2×2 and 4×4 pixel binning is possible. Up to 5 imaging mode windows of up to 480×480 pixels can be assigned.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	AMM-P5-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	77

	Table 13. The science and acquisition modes of OM
\mathbf{Mode}^1	Special feature
Imaging	2-D imaging with long exposure times $(> 100 \text{ s})$
Fast	High time resolution, providing 2-D time-sliced images over small
1000	areas.

Table 13: The science data acquisition modes of OM

Notes to Table 13:

1) Both modes can be used simultaneously, as long as total memory capacity and telemetry bandwidth are not exceeded.

One such image covers ca. the central quarter of the OM FOV, which again is about 1/4 of the EPIC FOV. To collect data over the entire OM FOV, more than one exposure must be obtained.

The maximum allowed integration time for an OM imaging mode exposure is 5 ks. Since the OM in its imaging mode produces accumulated images, there is no timing information attached to individual incoming photons.

• Fast mode

In its fast mode, the OM does not produce accumulated two-dimensional images, but instead produces event lists like the X-ray instruments. This mode is useful for monitoring rapidly variable sources, for example AGN or accreting binaries. Two small windows can be assigned, each of a maximum of 512 pixels only. The data are obtained in 50 ms time slices, each preserving the pixel information. No drift correction is performed, but the drift information is telemetered, so that the correction can be applied in the post-processing on the ground.

The maximum allowed integration time for one fast mode window is 4.4 ks, and 2.2 ks each if two are used simultaneously.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	78

3.5.6 OM optical elements

The OM is equipped with a filter wheel holding several optical elements that can be moved into the light path. These elements, which are described here, comprise not only filters, but also two grisms and a magnifier. The complete set of optical elements is collected in Tab. 14. Their arrangement on the OM filter wheel is indicated by the position number on the filter wheel. **Sequences of exposures using different optical elements must always have increasing filter wheel position numbers.** Observations with the three optical broad-band filters U, B and V, for example, must always be conducted in the order V, U and B (positions 1, 3 and 4).

Table 14: $OM a$	optical elements
Filter wheel po-	Filtor name
sition number	ritter name
0	$\operatorname{Blocked}$
1	V
2	Magnifier
3	U
4	В
5	White
6	Grism 2 (visible)
7	UVW1
8	UVM2
9	UVW2
10	Grism 1 (UV)

3.5.6.1 OM filter bandpasses

The OM is equipped with a set of filters with bandpasses covering part of the UV and optical range. The filter throughput curves are displayed in Fig. 69. The plotted quantity is the OM effective area with these filters, including the size of the telescope aperture and mirror geometric areas, the reflectivity of the three mirrors and the detector response. In the calculation of the detector response the following effects were taken into account: the open area of the MCP, the RQE of the photocathode and the entrance window transmission.

As part of the OM default configuration (§ 3.5.3.1), the use of the B, UVW2, U and UVW1 filters is recommended. A specific scientific justification is necessary for the use of a different set of filters. To minimise wear and tear on the OM's moveable parts, users wanting to define a different filter combination must adhere to the order defined above and listed in Tab. 14.

3.5.6.2 OM grisms

In addition to the filters, the OM filter wheel holds two grisms. The throughput of the OM grisms depends on wavelength. This, folded with the OM detector response, is displayed in Fig. 70. The grisms cover wide wavelength ranges, but it is evident that Grism 1 is the best choice for wavelengths from 160 to 290 nm, while for wavelengths from 290 to 600





Figure 69: Throughput curves for the OM filters, folded with the detector sensitivity (the cutoff in the throughput curve of the UVW2 filter is an artifact due to a lack of measured data below 180 nm).



Figure 70: The OM grism throughput, folded with the detector response.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	80

nm Grism 2 has a higher throughput. Only one grism can be used at any time, because they are mounted – together with the other optical elements (see Table 14) – on the OM filter wheel. For the same reason, the grisms can also not be used in combination with the magnifier (§ 3.5.6.3).

The spectral resolution of the grisms is almost constant over their wavelength ranges. The former (in the UV) has a spectral resolution of ca. 0.5 nm per 1" pixel, the latter (in the optical regime) 1 nm per pixel. The grisms can thus be used for moderate resolution optical and UV spectroscopy in conjunction with the X-ray observations. Only one grism can be used at any time. An image of the target area should be taken for identification of spectral sources prior to or after obtaining spectroscopic exposures with a grism. Note that grism observations currently cannot be modeled with SciSim.

The optical grism is blazed and the zeroth order image should accordingly be weak. The UV grism is not blazed, however, and ca. 1/3 of the light is expected to go into the zeroth order image, which is expected to be visible near the edge of the FOV when the first order spectrum is centred.

3.5.6.3 OM magnifier

The OM offers a possibility to change the instrument setup by inserting a lens system – which is mounted on the filter wheel – into the light path, extending the focal length of the telescope by a factor of 4. Thereby, the pixel size (in angular units) and the size of the FOV for a given pixel array can be reduced by a factor of 16. This leads to an in-memory pixel size of $(0.125'')^2$. Using 1×1 pixel binning, this translates into the same size for the resulting image pixels. The magnifier thus offers the user a chance to perform high-resolution, low-background imaging with a small FOV.

One particular application of the magnifier that might be of interest to users is the **avoid**ance of bright sources within the OM's FOV (cf. \S 3.5.8).

Normally, when trying to perform very sensitive observations, one will want to use a filter with a high throughput, such as, e.g., the white light filter of the OM. The problem with bright sources in the field of view then is the danger of damaging the OM photocathode with their incident high photon flux. This is the reason why it is not possible to avoid such bright sources by just choosing a science window that will not read out the source's photons. Instead, the source must be kept out of the FOV so that it does not damage the photocathode. For field sources more than 5' away from the pointing position exactly this can be achieved by choosing the magnifier as the optical element in the lightpath, which reduces the size of the FOV. Since the magnifier has good throughput in the optical, its sensitivity is comparable to that of the white light filter. When using the magnifier, only the imaging scale is changed compared to "normal" observations with a filter. Thus, the magnifier can be used, in the standard fashion, with the default configurations introduced above (§ 3.5.3.1). In this fashion, bright sources at distances of order 5' from the target can be avoided while performing sensitive imaging of sources with a small extent (the OM FOV with the magnifier is about 4.25').

3.5.7 OM sensitivity and detection limits

Both Fig. 71 and Tab. 15 provide the expected count rate of the OM, in counts per second, for $m_V = 20$ mag stars of various types, with the different filters introduced above. These

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	81
	_	

numbers were obtained from SciSim simulations, under the assumption of a perfect, i.e., deadtime-free, detector.

Table 15: OM count rates $[10^{-4} \text{ counts/s}]$ as function of spectral type for stars with $m_V = 20 \text{ mag under the assumption of a zero deadtime detector}$

Filter	B0	A0	$\mathbf{F0}$	$\mathbf{G0}$	$\mathbf{G2}$	K 0	$\mathbf{M0}$
UVW2	5534	722	59.2	9.66	5.54	0.963	0.306
UVM2	6599	931	114	21.4	17.0	1.41	0.150
UVW1	11368	1898	744	449	369	65.5	7.23
U	14945	3962	2596	1952	1676	845	115
В	10035	8384	6070	4806	4094	3675	2075
V	1893	1847	1790	1778	1806	1743	1667
White	75280	22335	13055	10376	9293	7636	5323

The numbers listed in Tab. 15 can be used to calculate the expected count rates of stars of given magnitude, C_{mag} , by the formula

$$C_{mag} = C_{20} \times 10^{0.4 \times (20 - b_{mag})} \quad , \tag{3}$$

where C_{20} is the count rate of an $m_V = 20$ mag star, b_{mag} is the magnitude of the target of interest and C_{mag} is the count rate of a star with $m_V = b_{mag}$.



Figure 71: OM count rates vs. filter selection for stars of different spectral type with $m_v = 20$ mag.

Table 16:	Required	exposure	times	for	different	tupes	of	stars	with	$m_V =$	= 23	maa	1
Table IO	ricquarca	caposare	0011000	101	augerenee	ugpeo	<i>v</i> _j	00010	0.0000	1100 -	- 40	mag	

Filter	Spectral type					
	$\mathbf{B0}$	$\mathbf{A0}$	$\mathbf{G0}$			
U	340	2100	6400			
В	750	980	2400			
V	4300	4400	4700			
White	70	370	1300			

Notes to Table 16:

1) In seconds, for a 5- σ detection. Numbers obtained adopting a zodiacal light level of 87 S10 units, where S10 is the brightness of one $m_V = 10$ mag A0 star per square degree.

However, OM deadtime (coincidence losses) must be taken into accout. This can be done by scaling the above numbers of C_{mag} by applying the following equation:

$$C_{det} = C_{mag} \times (1 + p/2 + p^2/6 + p^3/24) \times e^{-p} \quad , \tag{4}$$

with $p = C_{mag} \times \tau$, where C_{mag} is the count rate of incident photons from eq. 3 and τ is the frame time of the OM fast-scan CCD. The frame time is a function of the OM science window configuration, as described in § 3.5.3. In this context a conservative value for the OM CCD frametime is 10 ms. For the 20th magnitude stars in Fig. 71 and Tab. 15, OM deadtime is negligible. For the worst case, which is an B0 star observed with the white light filter, the deadtime correction amounts to 3.7%.

In Table 16 estimates of required OM integration times for 23rd magnitude stars are provided. The values listed are the integration times, in seconds, for a 5- σ detection.

Table 17 tabulates the limiting magnitudes that can be detected by OM in an integration time of 1000 s at the 5- σ confidence level.

${f Filter}$	Spectral type						
	$\mathbf{B0}$	$\mathbf{A0}$	$\mathbf{G0}$	$\mathbf{K0}$			
U	23.9	22.5	21.7	20.8			
В	23.2	23.0	22.4	22.1			
V	21.9	21.8	21.8	21.8			
White	25.0	23.7	22.9	22.5			

Table 17: Limiting magnitudes for a 5- σ detection in 1000 s¹

Notes to Table 17:

1) Numbers obtained adopting a zodiacal light level of 87 S10 units.

The expected levels of different external background radiation processes in the optical/UV are tabulated in Table 18. A spectral representation of the diffuse galactic and zodiacal light is contained in SciSim. The intensity of these background sources, which depends on the target coordinates, is a user-selectable parameter in SciSim.

In addition to these external sources of background radiation, the instrumental background must be taken into account. However, with a count rate of $< 4 \times 10^{-5}$ counts s⁻¹ arcsec⁻² the dark count rate of the detector is generally negligible.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 83

3.5.8 OM brightness limit

To avoid damage of the OM photocathode, the maximum allowed source brightness is set to a count rate of ~ 1900 counts/s, corresponding to an $m_V = 10 \text{ mag A0}$ star in the V filter. The equivalent brightness limits for the other filters and optical elements are tabulated in Table 19. For calculating the brightness limits an A0 type spectrum was assumed.

In case an optically bright source beyond these limits is in the OM FOV (not just within an active science window!), users must specify in their proposals that the OM will be in the "GO-off" position. It is the user's responsibility to check for the presence of such bright sources. The Guide Star Catalogue (GSC V1.1), which is released as part of SciSim, can be used to check for bright stars. However, it is incomplete at the bright end of the bright end of the magnitude range. Therefore, it is recommended to also check the catalogue USNO-A (V1.0) from the US Naval Observatory (at the URL http://psyche.usno.navy.mil/pmm/).

3.5.9 OM specific proposal submission information

In the preparation of OM observing proposals, the following information should be taken into account.

3.5.9.1 OM star tracking windows

Before each OM science exposure a reference tracking frame is obtained. On this frame suitable guide stars are identified by the onboard software and tracking windows defined around these.

The guide stars are used to calculate the OM attitude solution for the process of shift & add of the tracking frames of the science windows. The reference tracking frame taken first provides the coordinate reference point. OM guide star tracking windows are small windows (64×64 in-memory pixels), defined as such so as to read out a minimum of data as fast as possible and take away a minimum of OM's processing capacity from the science observations.

Images of star tracking windows are not down-linked to the ground, but processed onboard. Only the x and y position of the tracking stars in units of in-memory pixels, the number of

Background source	Occurrence	$\mathbf{Count} \ \mathbf{rate} \ \mathbf{range}^1$
Diffuse Galactic	all directions	0.081 – 0.0007
${ m Zodiacal^2}$	longitude $90^{\circ} \pm 20^{\circ}$	$0.212 – 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$
Earth	Off-axis angle $< 30^{\circ}$ $^{(3)}$	0.219 - 0
Moon	Off-axis angle $< 30^{\circ}$	$0.0016 - 10^{-5}$

Table 18: Levels of different OM background contributors

Notes to Table 18:

1) In units of [counts $s^{-1} \operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$].

2) In differential ecliptic coordinates, i.e., the angle between the Sun and the pointing position of **XMM**. Maximum is for l,b = 70,0 in the white filter, minimum is for l,b = 110,70 in the UVW2 filter.

3) Forbidden by observatory constraints anyway.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	84

Filter	Max. m_V
U	10.8
В	11.6
V	10.0
UVW1	10.0
UVW2	8.9
$\rm UVM2$	9.2
White	12.7
Magnifier	12.2
Grism1 (UV)	8.7
Grism 2 (opt.)	9.6

Table 19: The m_V brightness limits for all OM filters

counts from the stars per tracking frame and the pointing offset information is transmitted as part of the telemetry stream. The number and the properties of OM star tracker windows for a science observation are determined by an onboard algorithm and therefore **not** user-selectable.

3.5.9.2 Choice of non-default OM science windows

For OM observations more than one science window may be defined. However, it is not possible to select the entire OM FOV for science observations. There are several boundary conditions that limit the user's choice and which **must** be taken in account properly if a non-default instrument configuration is to be used. Note that the use of non-default OM instrument configurations is discouraged by the SOC for AO-1.

• Maximum number of windows

Up to five science windows in total (of which up to two in the fast mode) are allowed (Fig. 72).

• Allowed detector window locations and sizes

The size of an OM science window must be integer multiples of 16 in-memory (0.5'') centroiding pixels, i.e., 2×2 CCD pixels.

The minimum width of a detector window is the equivalent of 4 CCD pixels, the maximum width in one direction is limited to 64 CCD pixels (equivalent to 512 in-memory pixels).

CCD windows must start at an even pixel number in both the horizontal (x-) and the vertical (y-) direction. OM science windows can therefore start, e.g., at the in-memory pixel coordinates (16,16), (32,32) ... and end at $(n \times 16, n \times 16)$, where n is an integer number. Starting at pixel coordinate (0,0) is not possible because of the necessity to allocate a 16 pixel wide margin to accommodate spacecraft drift.

A science window may be larger than 512×512 in-memory pixels, but if so it requires more than one detector window (as defined, e.g., in the imaging mode default configurations).

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	85

• Science window overlaps

Different scenarios for OM science window configurations are displayed in Figs. 72 and 73. **OM science windows must overlap either entirely or not at all**. This must be true for all satellite position angles allowed by the specifications made during proposal submission, in particular if the windows are specified in celestial coordinates (Fig. 73).

• Limited onboard memory capacities

OM tracking frames are stored in the so-called "small word memory" (SWM). From one tracking frame to another, the pointing direction can change due to spacecraft drift. Using one tracking frame as reference, the attitude solution of the other is determined, a mean shift calculated and applied, before they are added in-memory. Because of the possible shifts, the allocated SWM area must include a $\pm 16''$ margin around the specified science window. Including this margin, the maximum SWM capacity is 1,007,616 in-memory pixels.



Figure 72: When the boundaries of OM science windows are defined in detector pixel coordinates, the relative location of the windows with respect to each other does not change. However, different areas on the sky are imaged under different position angles.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	86



Figure 73: Defining the locations of OM science windows in sky coordinates one makes sure that (approximately) the same area of the sky is imaged under different position angles. Now, however, the OM science windows can change their relative locations. Windows 3 and 5 (which used to be in the upper left corner of window 3, see Fig. 72) are now partially overlapping, which is not allowed and window 4 is now partly outside the OM FOV (which is also not allowed).

The final image(s) is (are) then accumulated in the "big word memory" (BWM). The BWM will also contain tracking history information, reducing the size of the available memory space for science data. The sum of all image mode pixels for each exposure must not exceed 432,698 pixels.

Fast mode data are stored in a special memory partition. The maximum length of a fast mode exposure is 4.4 ks with one fast mode area in use and 2.2 ksec with two. These numbers assume that there is only one bright source in each fast mode window.

• Limited onboard processing capabilities

The tracking frame time for image mode observations must be long enough to calculate the attitude solution and to complete the shift & add of the previous tracking frame. For 2×2 pixel binning of a 700×700 pixel size image mode

Date: 18.01.1999
XMM Science Operations Team Issue/Rev.: Issue 1.1

window, this takes ca. 4.2 s.

The minimum fast mode time slice duration (in ms) for a fast mode window must be longer than the total number of fast mode pixels in that area divided by 64. An additional 50% safety margin will be added to the time slice duration.

For a more detailed explanation see the technical note on Constraints on the OM Window Configuration and Definition of the OM Default Configurations (\S 8).

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	88

3.6 XMM support instruments

The so-called "non-science" instruments onboard **XMM** are the EPIC Radiation Monitor System (ERMS) and the Attitude and Orbital Control System (AOCS).

3.6.1 Attitude & Orbit Control Subsystem (AOCS)

The AOCS determines the attitude of the **XMM** spacecraft while in orbit, based on the information from one of **XMM**'s two star trackers (which are operated in cold redundancy) and its "Fine Sun Sensors". During slews and the post-slew phase (comprising attitude determination, trim, and settling of the spacecraft), entries are made into the Attitude History File (AHF) every 10 (TBC) seconds. Note that during slews the AHF will not contain attitudes reconstructed from actual AOCS telemetry, but the results of a slew time/path predictor, based on the actually observed slew start/end times and attitudes. The accuracy of the attitude reconstruction during slews is expected to be better than 1'. In the "stable pointing mode" (i.e., after the slews and the post-slew phase), the conditions under which entries into the AHF are made are programmable parameters. An entry is made into the AHF only in case of Relative Pointing Errors (RPEs) exceeding the programmed limit. The minimal programmable limit (i.e., the smallest programmable deviation from the nominal boresight) is 2". The minimal time resolution with which entries into the AHF can be made in case of such instantaneous excursions is 2 seconds. For a single nominal pointing entry, only a mean RPE will be provided.

The AOCS attitude information is independent of that from the OM's star tracking windows (\S 3.5.3).

3.6.2 EPIC Radiation Monitor Subsystem (ERMS)

The ERMS will register the total count rate and also basic spectral information on the background radiation impinging on **XMM** and its science instruments. This is done not only during science observations, but during the entire lifetime of the mission. In this way, the ERMS monitors the total radiation dose that **XMM** is exposed to. When the level of radiation intensity exceeds a certain threshold, the ERMS issues a warning to the OBDH (Onboard Data Handler). Depending on the mode in which the ERMS is operated, it updates background radiation information once in 512 seconds (slow mode) or 4 seconds (fast mode).

The ERMS can also be used to predict the background in the X-ray instruments. The data consist of three measurements per readout, two spectra from the High Energy Detectors (HEDs) and one from the Low Energy Detector (LED). 256 spectral bins each will be available in the LED and HED. The HEDs are sensitive to electrons above 200 keV and protons above 10 MeV, while the LED is sensitive to electrons with energies above 50 keV. The two high energy spectra are the "HE detector spectrum", with events from either one or both HE detectors, and the "coincidence HE spectrum", in which only events detected by both HEDs will be recorded.

The data from the ERMS comprise the following quantities:

- one header keyword (warning flag),
- seven broad-band count rates, three from the LED, four from the HEDs,
- LE detector spectrum (256 channels),

- HE detector spectrum (256 channels),
- HE coincidence spectrum.

3.6.3 Data from the XMM support instruments

Here we describe briefly the use that is made of data from the non-science instruments onboard **XMM**.

3.6.3.1 Data from the EPIC Radiation Monitor Subsystem (ERMS)

ERMS data will be delivered to the PI as part of the **XMM** science data. This will make it possible to use ERMS data in the offline data processing with the SAS for correct background subtraction. Note that these data will not be properly calibrated and therefore not suitable for scientific use.

3.6.3.2 Data from the Attitude & Orbit Control Subsystem (AOCS)

The AHF is a file containing processed AOCS telemetry. Clipped to the start/end times of an observation or slew, the relevant portion of the AHF becomes an ODF or SDF component which is delivered to the observer. For "stable pointing periods" the data records identify intervals of time during which the spacecraft's boresight did not deviate by more than a configurable limit from the mean boresight during that period. For open loop slews and post-slew attitude trims, the AHF provides the instantaneous boresight at equidistant points in time (typically 10 seconds). It should be noted that attitudes for open loop slews are derived from a "slew model" into which the boundary conditions (actual start/end times and attitudes) have been entered, i.e., the intermediate attitudes provided for slews are not based on sensor data telemetered during the slews.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	90

3.7 Comparison with other X-ray satellites

A basic comparison of **XMM**'s mirror effective area with those of AXAF, ROSAT and ASCA is presented above (§ 3.2.2), in Figs. 10 and 11.

Other salient properties, like e.g., the PSFs, are tabulated in Tab. 20. It is visible immediately from Tab. 20 that AXAF and **XMM** have complementary characteristics and that both constitute a new generation of X-ray missions, with enormously improved capabilities compared to their predecessors.

Some special strengths of **XMM** are e.g.:

- The high time resolution of **XMM** with the EPIC pn camera.
- The high sensitivity of **XMM** + EPIC pn at high energies.
- Excellent low energy response down to 0.1 keV.
- Extreme sensitivity to extended emission.
- High-resolution spectroscopy (RGS) with **simultaneous** medium-resolution spectroscopy and imaging (EPIC) and optical/UV observations (OM).

3.7.1 A comparison of XMM vs. AXAF

The basic difference in instrument operation between **XMM** and AXAF is that on **XMM** all instruments, unless prohibited by constraints (§ 4.2), operate simultaneously, while science instruments on AXAF are alternated.

Several plots have already been shown above comparing the characteristics of \mathbf{XMM} 's science instruments with those of AXAF, namely:

- Mirror effective area: Figs. 10 and 11.
- Imaging effective area: Fig. 22 and Fig. 23.

A few more examples are collected here.

Satellite	Mirror PSF FWHM ["]	Mirror PSF HEW ["]	${f E}$ range $[{f keV}]$	$f A_e \ at \ 1 \ keV \ [cm^2]^1$	Orbital target visibility [hr]
XMM	6	15	0.1 - 15	4650	40^2
AXAF	0.2	0.5	0.1 - 10	800	50
ROSAT	3.5	7	0.1 - 2.4	400	1.3^{3}
ASCA	73	174	0.5 - 10	350	0.9^{3}

Table 20: Comparison of XMM with other X-ray satellites

Notes to Table 20:

1) Mirror effective area (Figs. 10 and 11).

2) Orbital visibility (above 40,000 km) split into two parts of ca. 70 ks each due to ground station telemetry gap at apogee (Fig. 79).

3) Low orbit with Earth occultation.





Figure 74: The effective area of both RGS units combined and RGS-1 (linear scale), compared with AXAF's ACIS-S instrument with various transmission gratings.

3.7.1.1 Effective area for dispersive spectroscopy

Fig. 74 displays the effective area of both RGS units together (from Fig. 54), compared with different AXAF instrument combinations. In order to avoid overcrowding the figure, the combination of AXAF HRC+LETG is not shown.

3.7.1.2 Non-dispersive spectroscopy: an example

For illustrative purposes, we add here a direct comparison of an **XMM** EPIC and an AXAF ACIS-I observation of a cluster with a 6 keV thermal plasma spectrum, 0.3 solar metallicity, a redshift of 0.3 and an X-ray flux in the 0.1–10 keV band of 10^{-12} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (thus a fairly luminous system). Such a comparison gives a good feel for the capabilities of both instruments for performing studies of faint objects. We simulated the response of the AXAF ACIS front-illuminated CCD imaging instrument, using the response matrices supplied for the guest observer proposal submission. With a 30 ksec observation ACIS is able to measure the temperature of the cluster to about 10% accuracy (assuming only poissonian noise and neglecting systematic effects).

For comparison, we simulated (using SciSim) the combined response of the 3 EPIC focal plane cameras on **XMM**, using the same input spectrum and observing time. Using a preliminary response matrix for EPIC, we estimate a temperature measurement accuracy of order 2.5% (neglecting systematic effects).



Figure 75: Comparison of a 30 ks observation of a cluster with a 6 keV thermal plasma spectrum with AXAF ACIS-I (bottom) and XMM EPIC (top). Normalised counts are counts per spectral bin.

3.7.1.3 XMM EPIC vs. AXAF ACIS-I pile-up comparison

It has been shown above (§ 3.3.10) how pile-up affects the accuracy of spectral fits and the shape of the X-ray PSF. Figs. 76 and 77 show the fraction of piled-up events for different numbers of counts per CCD frame (in full window imaging mode). One can see in Fig. 77 that pile-up effects for given source fluxes are more severe for ACIS-I by more than an order of magnitude compared to EPIC MOS and even by two orders of magnitude compared to EPIC pn.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	93



Figure 76: Comparison of AXAF ACIS-I vs. XMM EPIC (pn and MOS) pile-up for different total frame count rates. The frame times are 3.3, 2.8 and 0.07 seconds for ACIS-I, MOS and pn, respectively.



Figure 77: Comparison of AXAF ACIS-I vs. XMM EPIC (pn and MOS) pile-up for different incident source fluxes, after conversion of counts per frame to flux units, adopting an $\alpha = -1.7$ power law spectrum with an absorbing hydrogen column density of 3×10^{20} cm⁻².

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
ANIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	94

4 Observing with XMM

XMM observes with all instruments simultaneously, brightness limits and other constraints permitting. All instruments can be operated independently (i.e., the exposure times of individual instruments are not coupled to each other) and observers will receive the science data of all science instruments operating during an observation.

When planning **XMM** observations several boundary conditions must be taken into account. The constraining parameters are listed in this section.

Note that part of the information provided in this chapter, in particular on target visibility during the mission, depends on the launch date.

4.1 XMM orbit

XMM will be launched by an Ariane 5 launcher into an highly elliptical orbit, with an apogee of about 114,000 km and a perigee of ca. 7000 km (the minimum elevation for science observations will be 40,000 km; see Fig. 78). The orbital inclination is 40°, the right ascension of ascending node is 260° and the argument of perigee 50°. Such an orbit provides the best visibility in the southern celestial hemisphere. The numbers provided here are based on a launch date of 2000 January 21.



Figure 78: Sketch of the highly elliptical **XMM** orbit. Figure provided by Dornier Satellitensysteme GmbH.

Note that objects will **not** be continuously observable during the entire visibility period in an orbit due to an apogee telemetry gap, which splits each orbit into two visibility periods of up to ca. 70 ks. This gap is caused by a gap in ground station contact. **XMM**



Figure 79: Sketch showing the location of the XMM apogee telemetry gap.

KOUROUCOVERAGE

is operated with two ground stations, located at Perth and Kourou. The approximate location of the apogee telemetry gap is presented in Fig. 79. More details are provided in § 4.2.2 (see also § 4.2.5).

4.2 XMM observing constraints

For the individual instruments, and also the **XMM** observatory in general, different observing constraints apply, which may affect the schedulability of targets significantly. These are:

4.2.1 Radiation belts

The radiation background will be variable around the **XMM** operational orbits, depending on the satellite's location with respect to Earth's magnetosphere. For useful **XMM** science observations to be conducted, the minimum satellite elevation is 40,000 km. Closer to Earth, the background is prohibitively high. Because of this, about 40 hours of the 48hour orbital period can be used for science observations, with a total target visibility period of approximately 145 ks per orbit. Thus, due to the highly elliptical orbit, **XMM** spends most of its time outside Earth's radiation belts. Particle background outside the belts is dominated by the solar particle emission. The incident flux is therefore coupled to the level of solar activity. During intense solar flares the **XMM** payload instruments may be switched off.

4.2.2 Telemetry gap

The XMM orbital target visibility period of 145 ks can in practice **not** be used for **continuous** observations, because of incomplete ground station coverage, as displayed in Fig. 79. There is a telemetry gap of ca. 1 hour (constant to within a few minutes during

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	96

the first 1000 orbits) close to apogee, during which no data can be transmitted. Since **XMM** data transmission is via telemetry during direct contact, it does not carry onboard data storage devices and no observations can be recorded during these gaps. Thus, each orbit is split into two visibility periods. Fig. 80 shows how this gap shifts over time. When it is located at "24 hours", it is exactly during apogee and thus splits the orbital visibility period exactly into halves (about days 550–750, i.e., orbits 275–375). In all other cases, one visibility period is longer than the other. In the beginning, e.g., the offset of the gap with respect to apogee is ca. 4 hours (about 14.4 ks), making one visibility period approximately 56 ks long, the other about 85 ks.



Figure 80: Location of the **XMM** ground station telemetry gap with respect to orbital position, apogee being the position at 24 h.

4.2.3 Bright source avoidance

In both the X-ray (EPIC/RGS) and the optical/UV regime (OM) there are restrictions with respect to the brightness of sources within the field of view, albeit of a different nature.

• OM bright optical/UV source avoidance

With increasing count rate of a target, the science quality of OM data for that source is compromised by deadtime effects. The effect is of the order of 5% for a source with a count rate of 10 counts/s, increasing to approximately 30%
XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	97

for sources with count rates of 50 counts/s (assuming in both cases a CCD framerate of 100 Hz).

A different kind of limitation is imposed on science observations by the OM detector photocathode. MCP-based intensifier tubes show localised gain depressions caused by bright point sources. The main cause of the sensitivity loss is related to the defects in the photocathode. From measurements made with OM test tubes, a non-linear relationship between the local sensitivity loss with accumulated count dosage was established. The brightness limits for all OM filters are tabulated in Table 19 in § 3.5.8.

OM observations of sources beyond these brightness limits are not allowed. These limits hold for any source in the OM FOV, even if it resides outside an active OM window. One way of avoiding bright sources within the FOV located near science targets is to use the magnifier (which reduces the size of the FOV, as described in § 3.5.6.3).

Since the above refers to point source limits, the quantity to be specified in **XMM** observing proposals for extended sources, like e.g. galaxies with active nuclei, is the maximum surface brightness (in most cases of the central object) in V magnitudes per square arcsec. The limits (at the position of the brightest emission) are the same as for point sources (see Tab. 19).

In addition, the following solar system sources must be avoided by the OM, with avoidance angles as listed:

- Mars -3.5°
- Jupiter 4.5°
- Saturn 2°
- Uranus and Neptune are treated as normal bright sources which must be outside the OM FOV.

Avoidance of planets will be ensured by the SOC and is thus not a concern to the user.

• RGS bright optical/UV source avoidance

Bright optical/UV light entering the RGS under certain angles can deteriorate the quality of the science observations. This is described in \S 3.4.8.1.

• EPIC bright X-ray source avoidance

The **X-ray** count rate must be kept below a threshold (0.8 cts per full frame for EPIC in order to keep pile-up below 1%) in order to obtain high-quality data. Otherwise the energy resolution and the quality of spectro-photometry would be compromised. The count rate limit depends on EPIC observing mode, as quantified in Tab. 4.

Observations with an expected high level of photon pile-up are not *per se* disallowed, but a special scientific justification is required stating why and how the scientific goals of the proposal can be achieved despite the expected pile-up (and thus the deteriorated spectral response and PSF quality; §§ 3.3.10 and 3.7.1.3).

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	98

The EPIC telemetry limits are not hard-wired, making it difficult to provide universal guidelines. For EPIC MOS imaging modes the baseline count rate allowed is about 150 photons/s. For EPIC pn it is about 300 photons/s and therefore observations of single bright point sources at the pile-up limit are not constrained by telemetry. The brightest extended objects, such as the Cas A supernova remnant approach the telemetry limits, and can be accommodated by limited change of telemetry allocation depending on instrument usage, or by graceful loss of data frames. For timing modes the event rates are limited to about 1500 events per second by both the camera and telemetry constraints.

• No RGS bright X-ray source avoidance

Due to the much smaller effective area of RGS compared to EPIC and the dispersion of the source spectra over many detector pixels, the source count rates per pixel will be much lower. Therefore, photon pile-up in RGS will be negligible. Only optically bright sources can impose constraints on RGS observations, as mentioned above. However, radiation nearby X-ray sources should not fall on the dispersed spectrum of the program source (§ 4.4).

4.2.4 Celestial constraints

The visibility of sources on the sky depends on several constraints, including avoidance of solar system sources. These are:

• Earth limb avoidance

Minimum avoidance angle: 47°.

• Solar avoidance

A solar aspect angle within the range $70^{\circ} - 110^{\circ}$ must be maintained at all times. Note that this is not a bright source avoidance cone, but driven by requirements on the spacecraft's alignment with respect to the Sun to ensure sufficient energy supply.

• Lunar avoidance

Minimum avoidance angle: 22° .

The numbers listed here coincide with the avoidance angles used by the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool.

4.2.5 Duration of observations

• Minimum total observation length

The total duration of an **XMM** observation should not be less than 5 ks.

• Maximum total observation length

The maximum observation length is limited by the maximum possible continuous ground station coverage. Depending on the exact location of the apogee telemetry gap during the **XMM** mission (see Figs. 79 and 80), the maximum ranges from about 70 to 85 ks. Longer observations must be split up **by the**

	-	
XMM Science Operations Team	Page:	99
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

proposer and will be scheduled individually. Requests to have long observations extending over more than one continuous visibility period back-to-back ("concatenated observations") are discouraged, because they require manual intervention; see § 4.9.1.

Note that the **XMM** scheduling software does not contain the functionality to automatically divide long observations (e.g., 200 ks) into schedulable bits (in the present example, of 70+70+60 ks). Therefore, it is the observer's responsibility to propose only observations that can be scheduled within one target visibility period (which has a maximum of about 70 ks; see § 4.1; Fig. 79).

• Maximum length of OM exposures

OM imaging mode science exposures may not be longer than 5 ks each in order to limit the amount of memory allocation by tracking history information.

4.2.6 Position angle constraints

In particular the RGS, but also the OM with its grisms, can place position angle constraints on observations, if one wants to avoid spatial overlaps of the dispersed spectra of adjacent sources. Therefore, adjacent sources should not be aligned with the dispersion direction. In the case of RGS, avoidance of bright sources outside the field of view, as described in § 3.4.8.1, can also lead to position angle constraints. A description of how to avoid such sources is provided in § 4.4).

If nothing is specified during proposal submission, there is by default no orientation constraint on **XMM** observations. Note that any constraint imposed on an observation make it "time-critical" and thereby more difficult to schedule.

4.2.7 Raster observing mode

XMM does not have any capability to create a "raster" of nearby pointings via small aspect motions with minimal operational overhead. Each observation must be requested individually (via XRPS) and will be handled separately. This implies that each observation has the full operational overhead of a slew to the target and the acquisition of a tracking star attached to it and from the viewpoint of scheduling it implies that such observations will not automatically be scheduled consecutively within one or consecutive orbits.

4.2.8 Dithering mode

XMM also does not have a dithering mode. This means that users must, in order to overcome inhomogeneities in the coverage of a FOV (different net exposure times, e.g., because of chip boundaries), conduct observations of slightly offset positions on the sky. However, since XMM does not have a raster observing mode either (see above), this leads to a sequence of separate observations that are, from the standpoint of scheduling, treated as independent and might thus not be scheduled back-to-back.

As a secondary point, users should be aware that when proposing such partly overlapping observations to cover a field of view larger than 30', one should make sure that within a single observation the required OM filter coverage is achieved (because the position angle of the spacecraft might have changed by the time a neighbouring observation is scheduled, which would lead to different coverages on the sky).

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	100

4.3 Sky visibility during the XMM mission

All visibility information provided here and also by the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool is based on an expected launch date of 2000, January 21.

Target visibility should **always** be checked using the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool, which is located at the URL http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/user/vis/vis_top.html. Currently, it contains information for orbits 3–430 after launch, i.e. the time period for AO-1 observations. Fig. 81 displays only a first-order approximation of the sky visibility during this time. It is shown for illustrative purposes and should be used only as a rough guideline to assess whether any visibility problems, in particular in the dark area around CygX-1, might exist, but not for quantitative statements. The map is not accurate, because it is based on $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ bins. Since a whole bin is rejected even if only a tiny fraction of it is affected by an observing constraint (like e.g., bright source avoidance), the actual visibility is underestimated.

The XMM Target Visibility Tool determines the visibility, if any, for certain target coordinates under configurable conditions. Note that basic parameters, like e.g., avoidance



Figure 81: Approximate sky visibility (in % of the total theoretically available time) during orbits 3-430 of XMM operation. Coordinates are equatorial, in units of degrees, centred on (180,0).



Figure 82: Sky areas for which a given maximum target visibility is not reached during orbits 3-430 of XMM operation. Same coordinates as in Fig. 81.

angles, should not be changed when running it. The defaults represent the values that are actually used for satellite operation. More details are provided by the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool online help.

Fig. 82 is a complementary representation of those areas on the sky for which there is **no** adequate visibility during the first 430 orbits of the **XMM** mission. Note that, despite its appearance, this is not just an inverted version of Fig. 81. It shows areas for which a certain maximum continuous target visibility is not reached in any orbit (up to orbit 430). Again, this image is only a first-order approximation, based on a 2° grid. For more accurate information for given target coordinates, the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool **must** be used. Targets for which no adequate visibility is available during the first two years in orbit should be proposed at a later AO.

4.4 Position angle determination for XMM observations

First a few words on **XMM** nomenclature: the **XMM** "roll angle" is defined as the rotation angle between the plane defined by the major rotation axis of the spacecraft (the spacecraft X-axis) and the spacecraft Z-axis, to that defined by the spacecraft X-axis and the spacecraft-Sun direction. The roll angle increases in a clockwise direction with respect

	Page:	102
XMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

to the positive X-axis.

The "position angle", on the other hand, is defined as the rotation between the spacecraft X-Z plane and the plane defined by the spacecraft X-axis and celestial North. Again, the position angle increases in a clockwise direction with respect to the positive X-axis. Note that the satellite's -Z-axis, which is also the RGS dispersion axis, is North-aligned under a position angle of 0°, i.e. the declination axis.

Users can determine the best position angle at which to obtain their planned observations, while avoiding nearby bright sources, using SciSim (Appendix A) as follows.

The SciSim GUI (Appendix A.2, Fig. 84) shows the field of view (FOV) of the spacecraft when viewed along the -X axis of the spacecraft, i.e., from the focal plane towards the mirrors and outwards. The tool also displays the RGS dispersion axis, in the form of two blue horizontal lines, the separation of these lines representing the cross-dispersion direction extent of the illuminated part of the CCD chip array. This view can be rotated in terms of the position angle of the spacecraft.

It is therefore a simple matter of creating a model field in SciSim containing the sources which could potentially cause confusion, and finding a suitable position angle value for which these sources are **not** aligned along the RGS dispersion axis. Sources can be defined in SciSim by clicking on "Sources" and using the Source editor GUI, which, amongst other properties, allows the user to enter target coordinates.

Users can get a rough idea of when the observation might be scheduled, by using the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool (§ 4.3), which outputs the average of the position angles at the start and the end of each visible period. Be aware that due to operational constraints the spacecraft roll angle is required to be kept at 0° at all times durting an observation. As a consequence of the apparent motion of the Sun in the course of a revolution this roll angle requirement necessitates minor position angle adjustments during each period of stable pointing.

4.5 XMM observation overheads

As for all telescopes, **XMM** observations are also affected by operational overheads. Proper instrument setup, e.g., requires some calibration exposures in order to provide optimal instrument performance and thereby high-quality science data. As the mission evolves and the knowledge about the instruments improves, additional items may be added or some of those mentioned below might be taken from the list.

We outline here which overheads apply to **XMM** observation for the users' information only. **None of these need to be taken into account in XMM observing proposals**, because they will be added ontop of the requested science duration as warranted.

There are two categories of overheads:

- 1. Operational overheads and
- 2. instrument and calibration overheads.

4.5.1 Operational overheads

XMM operational overheads are:

	8	
XMM Science Operations Team	Page:	103
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

- Slew time to move to the target coordinates: variable, depending on the relative target position with respect to the starting spacecraft attitude; the **XMM** slew rate (except for the first slew after perigee passage and the last slew before perigee passage) is 20°/h.
- AOCS reference star acquisition time and fine trim to the actual target position after a slew about half an hour per observation.

4.5.2 Instrument and calibration overheads

The following instrument and calibration overheads apply to **XMM** science observations. Note that some of them will occur simultaneously, at the start of an observation.

- EPIC setup time (of order 15 minutes per exposure) for offset calculations, during which the amount of optical loading of the CCD chips is determined (which leads to pixel charges that must be subtracted to set the correct zero level for X-ray observations).
- RGS diagnostic mode image (max. ca. 15 minutes) before the start of RGS science observations for background determination.
- Time estimates for calculations of OM field acquisition overheads are mainly driven by the guide star recognition and the acquisition of a reference tracking frame. Both are estimated to be of order 1 minute, and therefore negligible.
- Between different OM science exposures, e.g. when performing filter changes, an overhead of approximately 2–4 minutes is expected.
- No special calibration overheads are foreseen for OM for each individual exposure. The acquisition of OM flat fields does not cause an operational overhead on OM observations, because they will be taken during slews.

In general, instrument calibration overheads refer to exposures, not entire observations. Therefore, if there is no need to change the data acquisition mode during the observations, it is recommended to not interrupt the integration during an observing run. Otherwise, additional overheads will be created, which leads to a decrease in the overall observing efficiency of a program.

4.5.3 Special science exposures

Optimal scientific usage of the **XMM** instruments sometimes requires special (science) exposures. These are **not** overheads and therefore part of the requested science observing time:

- RGS spectroscopy mode data to be taken before or after high time resolution mode observations in order to identify the location of the incident spectrum on the CCD array (and thus the timing of the readouts) very accurately.
- An OM image should be acquired before every grism exposure.
- OM fast mode images should be embedded in an image mode science window. This will help with the fixed pattern noise correction, as it provides a template at the proper count rate level.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Onerstiens Teem	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	104

4.6 Instrument alignment

XMM has three independent X-ray telescopes and an optical/UV telescope, with a total of six science instruments. Neither the telescopes' optical axes nor the centre positions of the detector FOVs are aligned perfectly. Thus, radiation from sources in the spacecraft's boresight direction does not fall onto the nominal on-axis position of all detectors simultaneously. The specification for the **XMM** instrument alignment in the focal plane allows for offsets from perfect alignment with respect to each other of up to ca. $\pm 1'$. This means that two detectors can be misaligned with respect to each other by up to 2' on the sky. This is the reason why it is important to specify in an XMM observing proposal which instrument should be the prime detector so that the target is properly centred in the FOV of the detector that is most important for the science objectives of the observing programme. Measurements of the relative alignment and the creation of a correction matrix will ensure that the primary instrument will be pointed on source with an expected accuracy of the order of 15". The offsets of the X-ray source position from the centre position of the other detectors can be much larger, up to ca. 2', as mentioned above. The actual alignment of the instruments is not yet known, because the XMM flight hardware has not yet been finally integrated and tested.

4.6.1 Choice of primary instrument

The relative alignment, i.e., the offsets with respect to each other of the different **XMM** focal instruments, determines where a source that is "on axis" for one particular X-ray telescope will be imaged in the others. For most applications, off-axis angles of order 1' are not important, in particular for EPIC full window mode observations. However, RGS has a much smaller "field of view" or rather area for optimal placement of the dispersed spectra on the elongated CCD array. For best energy and flux calibration, source spectra should be optimally centred. Therefore, if high-resolution spectroscopy (i.e., observations with an emphasis on RGS science data) are required, RGS should be specified as the primary instrument. The same holds for EPIC and OM, if operated with small science windows. In case EPIC is prime, it is recommended to choose the pn camera as the prime instrument to avoid the inter-CCD gap at the centre of the pn array.

4.7 Absolute and relative pointing accuracy

The so-called "Absolute Measurement Accuracy" (AMA), i.e., the error on the **XMM** boresight, after application of all corrections on-ground, is anticipated to be ca. 3''-4'' (on average). The absolute pointing error (APE), i.e., the offset between actual and required pointing direction, is expected to be about 15" (95% radius). The relative pointing error (RPE) during an exposure, after correction for spacecraft drift (leaving mostly spacecraft jitter), will be about 2" (rms; likely better).

Independent pointing information will come from the Attitude and Orbital Control System (AOCS) and the OM, when operating. The OM information is expected to provide about the same AMA as the AOCS data. Thus, if OM data are available, these can be used to cross-check the AOCS attitude solution. The necessary functionality to analyse OM data will be contained in the SAS (§ 6.1).

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	105

4.8 Points of concern

Some more parameters that might influence certain **XMM** observations, and should therefore be taken into account, are:

• Seam losses between abutted CCDs

Although small, there **are** gaps between the chips of the different X-ray detectors onboard **XMM**. The two EPIC MOS cameras are mounted orthogonal with respect to each other, so that in final images, after adding up the data from two X-ray telescopes, the gaps should not be visible after correction for exposure. There will only be a reduced total integration time in areas imaged at the location of chip boundaries. The pn camera has a different chip pattern, leading to minimal losses in other areas of the field of view. It is also offset with respect to the X-ray telescope's optical axis so that the central chip boundary does not coincide with the on-axis position. The inter-CCD gaps of the EPIC MOS chip array are 400 μ m (11") wide. Those between neighbouring CCDs within one quadrant of the pn chip array are 40 μ m (1.1").

The nine CCDs in each RGS also have gaps of about 0.5 mm in between them. Table 9 lists the energies affected by the gaps in RGS-1. However, the two RGS units will be offset with respect to each other along the dispersion direction to ensure uninterrupted energy coverage over the passband.

By choosing the instrument that is most important for the planned research as the primary instrument, **XMM** users can ensure that the programme source is placed properly, away from chip boundaries.

• Charge transfer efficiency (CTE)

While being transported from their original location to the readout nodes, the charges of CCD pixels are transfered from pixel to pixel up to several hundred times. During each transfer, a small fraction of the charge (which depends mostly on impurities in the semi-conducting layer) can be lost. This effect can be quantified roughly and corrected for in the offline data calibration process.

• "Out of time" events

The XMM X-ray detectors do not have shutters and are therefore at all times exposed to incoming radiation from the sky. Trying to prevent photon pileup (see above), the CCDs are read out frequently. During readout, photons can still be received. However, they hit pixels while their charges are being transfered to the readout nodes, i.e., when they are not imaging the location on the sky they would normally observe during the exposure. Thus, events hitting them during readout are "out of time" (and also "out of place"). One cannot correct for this effect in individual cases, but only account for it statistically.

The MOS CCDs have frame store areas, which helps suppress the effect of outof-time events. The frame shift times of a few ms are much shorter than the maximum frame integration time of 2.8 s. Therefore, the surface brightness background of smeared photons is only a fraction of a percent divided by the ratio of the PSF size to the CCD column height.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	106

For pn the full window mode has a readout/shift ratio of 1/11, so the surface brightness of the background is 9% divided by the ratio of the size of the PSF to the CCD column height. This is noticeable for any sources brighter than about 10^{-13} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². The large partial window mode counteracts this by using half the image height as a storage area, but the reduced smear is penalised by a loss in live time. Another strategy is to use a programmable "wait time" to extend the full frame imaging, but at the cost of count rate capability before pile-up.

• RGA rib scattering

Light scattered off the stiffening ribs of the grating plates of the RGAs will produce diffuse ghost images in the EPIC FOV in the \pm Y direction (i.e., the RGS cross-dispersion direction). The intensity of these images is of the order of 10^{-4} relative to the intensity of the focused image. For off-axis sources at azimuth angles corresponding to the \pm Y direction, the intensity of the ghost images increases to a few times 10^{-4} .

Rib reflection is currently implemented in SciSim (v. 2.0.1), but the reflection coefficient is too high, and the diffuse nature of the scattered light ghosts is not modeled. Simulations with SciSim will therefore overestimate the surface brightnesses of RGA scattered light due to this effect.

Except for event selection, which is performed onboard for EPIC MOS, the above effects are dealt with in the offline data analysis with the SAS.

4.9 Linked, pilot/follow-up and concatenated observations

XMM offers the possibility to conduct three special kinds of observations, namely "linked", "pilot/follow-up" and "concatenated".

1. Concatenated observations

Concatenated observations are two or more observations that are executed "back-to-back".

2. Pilot/follow-up observations

Based on a first short ("pilot") observation it is decided, with rapid turnaround, based on a success criterion, whether this will be followed as fast as possible by a longer ("follow-up") observation of the target, still within the same orbit.

3. Linked observations

Linked observations are observations consisting of "test" observations in one orbit and "main" observations in a later orbit which depend on the results obtained from the test observations.

4.9.1 Concatenated observations

Observations are normally concatenated in an attempt to observe an object with different instruments or instrument configurations in a direct series of exposures. Since on **XMM** all

XMM Science Operations Team Pa	sue/Rev.: ate: age:	Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 107
Do	ocument No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

instruments operate simultaneously and because it implies the necessity for special XMM SOC support and a restriction in the schedulability of the observing run, proposing concatenated observations with XMM needs a specific scientific justification and is in general discouraged by the SOC.

4.9.2 Pilot/follow-up observations

XMM scheduling supports pilot/follow-up observations, which work as follows:

A success criterion is defined for a (normally short) first pilot observation of a target. If the pilot observation fails, no long follow-up observation will follow and **XMM** will continue observing according to the original schedule (which is developed based on the assumption that the pilot observation will fail). If, on the other hand, the success criterion of the pilot observation is met, then the **XMM** observing schedule will be manually interrupted and new commands, with the follow-up observation following the pilot as fast as possible, will be linked up to the satellite.

One example for such a pilot/follow-up observation could be an attempt to observe a strongly variable source only when it is in its "on" state.

4.9.3 Linked observations

Linked observations require special arrangements to be made to ensure a fast turnaround for the test data analysis. Because the **XMM** SOC will operate with only very few staff astronomers, user support will under normal circumstances be restricted to normal working hours. Therefore, it will be the observer's responsibility to analyse the test data before the main observing run, which may require his/her presence during/after the test observations. Moreover, linked observations cannot be entered during proposal submission via XRPS as "fixed-time" in order to give the SOC maximum flexibility in selecting the optimal interval between the test and the main observation.

Proposals requesting linked **XMM** observations will therefore need a very strong specific scientific justification. In general, **proposals for linked XMM observations are discouraged**.

4.10 XMM slew observations

XMM will conduct EPIC science observations during slews from one target to another. The expected accuracy for the attitude reconstruction during XMM slews is better than 1'. Slew observations cannot be proposed. The data obtained during slews are stored in so-called "Slew Data Files" (SDFs). Slew data will be processed by the PPS pipeline and the resulting science data files put into the XMM data archive (XMM Data Archive; § 7).

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	108

5 XMM Guest Observer Program

5.1 XMM calendar of events

In the following we list the most important dates relating to the upcoming \mathbf{XMM} mission.

Date	Event
23.09.1998	Release of \mathbf{XMM} Users' Handbook (Issue 1.0)
30.09.1998	First XMM Workshop at ESTEC
19.01.1999	Release of AO-1
19.01.1999	Release of XRPS software for proposal submission
19.01.1998	Release of \mathbf{XMM} Users' Handbook (Issue 1.1)
17.04.1999	00:00 UT - Deadline for AO-1
02.08.1999	SAS release
21.01.2000	\mathbf{XMM} launch date
02.07.2000	Approximate start of XMM open time observations (TBC)

5.2 Mission overview

The total available **XMM** time will be subdivided into several categories, as shown in Fig. 83.



Figure 83: The division of XMM observing time during the first two years.

Observations as part of the **XMM** Guest Observer (GO) programme will commence approximately six months after launch. Starting at that time, the GO share of the total observatory time will increase to 75%, at which it will stay for the remainder of the first 27 months in orbit.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	109

The **XMM** Science Management Plan (SMP; ESA/SPC(88)20) identifies four phases of activity during the first two years of **XMM** operations. These are:

• Commissioning

The commissioning phase, including outgassing of payload and spacecraft, inorbit switch-on and check-out, is expected to last for ca. four weeks. During this period basic engineering checks on the system will also be performed.

• Calibration and Performance Verification (Cal/PV)

This time is allocated to a first characterisation of the telescope and instruments, to determine sensitivities etc. It is expected to last about 11-12 weeks.

• Full guaranteed observing time

During this phase 80% of the observatory time is set aside for the Principal Investigators (PIs), Telescope Scientist (TS), Project Scientist (PS) and Survey Scientist (SS) and the Observatory Team. The remaining 20% of the time are Mission Scientist (MS) and Observatory time (10% each).³ This phase will last for three months and terminate approximately six months after launch.

• Mixed observing time

During the second six month period of the first year in orbit, open time proposals will be interleaved with the guaranteed time observations such that, on the average, the time is split 50/50, with a linear run of the guaranteed time from 80% at the beginning to 20% at the end of the period.

After the completion of the second six-month period, until the end of AO-1, 75% of the time will be open for GO proposals, 20% for guaranteed time observations. 5% are allocated as Observatory Time.

Although the approved implementation of the **XMM** mission is only for a duration of 27 months, the expected lifetime is ca. 10 years.

The expected spacecraft observing efficiency (time available for science observation out of the total observatory time) is about 70%. Therefore, the total amount of GO time available, starting with the second year in orbit, is ca. 12.35 Ms per year. In AO-1, a total of about 13.9 Ms will be available for GO observations.

5.3 XMM proposal preparation and submission

The **XMM** Project Scientist will issue calls for proposals in "Announcements of Opportunity" (AOs) in regular intervals. The first AO, AO-1, is for open time during the first 27 months of the mission. 70% of all open time will be scheduled at first, based on a preliminary (and conservative) estimate of the observatory's efficiency. A supplementary call for proposals for the remaining 30% of open time will be issued later. Subsequent AOs will be for periods of one year (TBC).

Policies concerning, e.g., duplication avoidance, proposal categories (GO, ToO) or target categories (stars, galaxies, AGNs etc.) are described in the AO-1 documentation and the XRPS Users' Manual.

³Observatory time is the allocated time for continuing calibration observations after the initial calibration and PV phase (see above).

	0	
	Page:	110
XMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	$\operatorname{Issue/Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

5.3.1 XMM proposal preparation

In order to estimate the feasibility of an **XMM** observing proposal, users will need information from the following sources:

- 1. For all observations of targets with "simple" spectral characteristics, i.e., observations of point source targets with spectra that can be approximated by simple, single-component models to estimate the expected count rate for a given flux (as used for the production of the figures displaying the expected flux to count rate conversion factors above), the information contained in the instrument-specific chapters of this document should suffice.
- 2. For more complicated source geometries and/or spectra, modeling with SciSim or the use of PIMMS or *xspec* might be required.
- 3. To scale count rates from previous X-ray satellite missions to **XMM**, it is recommended to use PIMMS.
- 4. Target visibility can be assessed using the **XMM** Target Visibility Tool.
- 5. To check during which **XMM** orbits a certain position angle can be achieved in order to avoid nearby sources during RGS (or OM grism) observations, see § 4.4.

5.3.2 XMM proposal submission

XMM proposal submission is facilitated via the **XMM** Remote Proposal Submission software (XRPS). The required inputs into the XRPS forms are described in the XRPS Users' Manual.

Every XMM proposer must first register as an XRPS user.

5.3.2.1 XMM Remote Proposal Submission (XRPS)

XRPS is a software package interfacing with the **XMM** Archival Management Subsystem (AMS), the Proposal Handling Subsystem (PHS) and the archival data base, developed by Logica UK Limited. It is part of the **XMM** PHS and as such located under the PHS on the web server. Proposal submission is facilitated via a web interface.

5.3.2.2 How to fill out the RPS online forms

An XRPS Users' Manual is being developed to guide users through the online XRPS. It is highly recommended to follow exactly the procedures outlined in the XRPS Users' Manual to ease the interaction with the software. The XRPS Users' Manual will be available at the time of the XRPS release (§ 5.1).

5.4 Technical proposal optimisation

Upon successful receipt of a proposal by the SOC, the PI will receive an acknowledgement that it has been entered into the system. In the process of proposal preparation for OTAC review, potential conflicts will be identified. Then the proposal will undergo review by the OTAC. Parallel to OTAC review, proposals will be subjected to a technical review by SOC

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	111

personnel. Note that it is the proposer's responsibility to ensure that the target coordinates (and, if necessary, the satellite position angle) are correct. After OTAC acceptance, successful proposals will be technically optimised for implementation in the XMM observing program. Technical optimisation of proposals will be performed with the following suite of XMM Proposal Handling Subsystem (PHS) tools:

- EPIC
 - 1. EPIC expected X-ray count rate tool This tool calculates the expected X-ray counts for the specified target for each filter of each EPIC instrument.
 - 2. EPIC expected optical count rate tool This tool calculates the expected optical counts for each specified target for each filter of each EPIC instrument.
 - 3. EPIC telemetry bandwidth calculation tool This tool calculates the expected telemetry bandwidth for each EPIC exposure.
 - 4. EPIC signal to noise ratio tool This tool calculates the expected signal to noise ratio for each EPIC exposure.
 - 5. EPIC science parameter calculator tool This tool calculates for each EPIC exposure the:
 - expected pile-up
 - fraction of smeared photons
 - duty cycle
 - total number of counts
 - total area of the chosen field of view
 - counts per frame
- RGS
 - 1. RGS expected X-ray count rate tool This tool calculates the expected X-ray counts for the specified target for each RGS instrument.
 - 2. RGS expected optical count rate tool This tool calculates the expected optical counts for the specified target for each RGS instrument.
 - 3. RGS telemetry bandwidth calculation tool This tool calculates the expected telemetry bandwidth for each RGS exposure.
 - 4. RGS diagnostic downlink time estimation tool This tool calculates the downlink time associated with the diagnostic data when the RGS instrument is operating in spectroscopy mode.
- OM

XMM	Science	Operations	Team
		-	

- 1. OM image mode count rate estimation tool This tool calculates the counts expected in each imaging mode window of each OM exposure.
- 2. OM fast mode count rate estimation tool This tool calculates the counts expected in each fast mode window of each OM exposure.
- 3. OM fast mode time slice estimation tool This tool calculates the fast mode time slice duration, for each fast mode window, in units of the MIC frame time. GOs originally specify the time slice duration of each fast mode window in ms.
- 4. OM tracking frame time estimation tool

This tool calculates the OM tracking frame time, for each exposure, in units of the DPU cycle. The exposure duration, originally specified by GOs in seconds, is then calculated as an integer multiple of this tracking frame time.

5. OM science windows tool

This tool:

- calculates the size of the window, in detector pixels, for each science window that is specified by the GO in arc-seconds. If the GO has specified a window in detector pixels then this value is output.
- assigns a blue fast mode area (1 or 2) to each fast mode window.
- calculates the number of memory windows required to enclose the specified science windows and their details (position and size).
- 6. OM telemetry bandwidth calculation tool

This tool calculates whether the data generated during an exposure can be downlinked during the next exposure using the telemetry bandwidths available to the OM instrument. The tool also indicates the actual bandwidth which can be used and the time taken to downlink the data with this bandwidth.

7. OM bright object and guide star tool

For each observation this tool will indicate:

- whether the observation is possible with any filter (not just those specified by the GO).
- for which filters there are potential bright sources in the field of view.
- the position angle constraints, if any, which would ensure that these bright sources do not appear in the field of view.
- the potential guide stars for the observation. The right ascension, declination and visible magnitude of up to 32 stars are generated.
- 8. OM science parameter calculator tool

This tool calculates for each OM exposure the:

- total count rate
- source count rate
- signal to noise ratio
- General

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	113

- 1. Assign telemetry look-up table tool This tool, using the telemetry bandwidths calculated for each instrument, identifies a telemetry allocation table for the observation.
- 2. View PHS tools information file This tool allows the Proposal Handler to view the information file.

For a more detailed, technical description of the tools listed above, we refer to the PHS Specific Software Requirement Document.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	114

6 Analysing XMM data

This chapter currently contains placeholders for planned subjects only. It will be completed once the SAS software becomes available.

6.1 XMM analysis software – the Science Analysis Subsystem (SAS)

The Science Analysis Subsystem (SAS) will be the software package for the reduction of **XMM** data from all science instruments, EPIC MOS & pn, RGS and OM (§ 6.1.3) and the production of standard data products, such as images, spectra and lightcurves. This chapter provides a general description of the SAS in order to introduce users to it and some data reduction strategies. The SAS is a modular and open stand-alone software system tailored to the needs for **XMM** data analysis, to which programmers can add their own routines. The Pipeline Component of the Pipeline Processing Subsystem (PPS; § 6.1.2) will be an integral part of the SAS, which will be used by the Survey Science Centre (SSC; Appendix B) for standard processing of **XMM** data.

The scope of the SAS is to process all **XMM** science data. The first inflight data to test the SAS with will come from the calibration and PV observations.

In addition, the SOC will use the SAS for instrument calibration, i.e., the creation of updates to the existing calibration information (CCFs).

The SAS will be supported for computers running the Solaris 2.6 operating system. It is currently under development and not yet publicly available.

More technical information on the scope of the SAS and on the design and coding of tasks can be found in the SAS User Requirements Document and the SAS Software Specification Document.

More information will be added here when it becomes available.

6.1.1 Interactive XMM data analysis with the SAS

The SAS will operate from a GUI as well as from the command line. Interactive data analysis will normally be performed using the GUI, while the command line interface (CLI) is primarily intended for batch jobs.

The basic functionality groups for interactive analysis in the SAS are:

- Importing all science and non-science **XMM** data.
- Preparation of data (e.g., extraction of the most important housekeeping data, attitude-related event selection).
- Data calibration (e.g., conversion of the individual node energy-PHA relationship to a common [pulse-invariant] scale).
- Data processing (i.e., the creation of data products, such as images, spectra and timeseries) and data analysis (source detection; imaging, photometric, spectroscopic and timing analyses, etc.).
- Data display and output.

XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 115
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

• Data quality checks.

Once the SAS is released, this chapter will describe basic interactive analysis techniques.

6.1.2 Pipeline processing of XMM data: the Pipeline Processing Subsystem (PPS)

One particular application for the use of batch jobs is the **XMM** Pipeline Processing Subsystem (PPS). The Pipeline Component (PC) of the PPS, i.e., the actual processing tasks (excluding additional software like, e.g., process control, pipeline management etc.) is entirely contained in the SAS. The pipeline will produce standard data products for all **XMM** science observations, which will enter the **XMM** data archive within 30 working days (but remain protected for the duration of the proprietary period, if any).

The PPS will create, among others, the following types of data products, which will be delivered to the **XMM** data archive:

- An observation summary,
- EPIC calibrated event lists,
- EPIC imaging, spectral, and timeseries data products,
- EPIC source list and image analysis products,
- EPIC timing analysis products,
- EPIC PPS summary products,
- RGS calibrated event list,
- RGS image and spectral products,
- RGS PPS summary products
- OM imaging, timeseries and source list products,
- OM PPS summary and miscellaneous (housekeeping and tracking history) products,
- catalogue and archive products (including the names of catalogues that are cross-correlated with EPIC source detection lists and the results of these cross-correlations)

A detailed description of the pipeline processing steps and the PPS data products, which are part of the delivery to observers, will be presented in the **XMM** Data Files Handbook (in preparation).

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	116
	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:

6.1.3 XMM Science data

XMM science data are contained in a set of files called Observation Data Files (ODFs). Each PI of an XMM observation receives, as soon as the observing data are pipelineprocessed, the following data:

- The ODF of the observation,
- the appropriate CCF,
- all PPS data products produced for the observation, including
- calibrated event lists.

These data are delivered to the PI via CD-ROM.

6.1.4 What is an ODF?

An Observation Data File (ODF) is a set of files containing data from all **XMM** instruments obtained during a science observation, stripped of the telemetry headers and wrappers. ODFs are produced by the Observation Data Subsystem (ODS). The data are at that stage uncalibrated, in the format to be read into and processed by the SAS.

Similarly, Slew Data Files (SDFs) contain all data obtained during **XMM** slews, in the format to be read into and processed by the SAS.

ODFs/SDFs contain science files from each **XMM** instrument operating during the observation/slew, depending on the mode in which they are operated, and instrument housekeeping information. In addition, ODFs/SDFs also contain spacecraft files (e.g., an attitude history file, reconstructed orbit file etc.) and observation/slew summary files.

A complete description of the files contained in ODFs/SDFs will be presented in the **XMM** Data Files Handbook (in preparation).

6.2 XMM calibration data

XMM instrument calibration is performed as a mixture of so-called "forward" calibration (i.e., using simulations of the instruments to predict their response with SciSim) and the classical calibration using arrays, like e.g., flat fields, describing the measured instrument characteristics. The results of the calibration analyses by the SOC are contained in the calibration files delivered to the user in the Current Calibration Files (CCFs) that come with each science data delivery.

6.2.1 Current Calibration Files (CCFs)

XMM data calibration is based on the use of the "Current Calibration File" (CCF). A CCF is the collection of all calibration files for the calibration of data from all XMM science instruments that are valid at a particular time. Each calibration file, which is a constituent of a CCF, has an issue number and a start-of-validity date by which it can be identified uniquely. However, a CCF does not have a version number or validity date, because it is a mere collection of calibration files carrying individual identifiers that are valid at a given time. The most up-to-date version of a calibration file is always the one

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	117

with the highest issue number. Calibration files account for properties of either the **XMM** spacecraft, the X-ray telescopes or one or more of the payload instruments.

With each ODF, observers receive a corresponding CCF.

A detailed description of the CCF concept, including a list of the calibration files constituting a CCF, is currently in preparation as part of the **XMM** Data Files Handbook (in preparation).

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	118

7 XMM data archive

This chapter provides a general description of the access mechanisms to the **XMM** Data Archive, its contents and the proprietary data rights policies.

7.1 Contents of the XMM archive

The **XMM** data archive consists of two parts:

- 1. Raw data, as they come from the satellite, will be stored at the Mission Operations Centre (MOC) in Darmstadt. These data will not be accessible to the outside world.
- 2. ODFs/SDFs reside in the XMM Data Archive of the SOC. After pipeline processing, calibrated event lists and data products derived from the ODFs/SDFs enter the XMM Data Archive.

Among others, the science data archive, from which users can retrieve or request data, contains the following types of data:

- Observation and Slew Data Files (ODFs/SDFs; § 6.1.3),
- calibrated X-ray event lists and OM images (or OM event lists in case of fast mode observations),
- pipeline data products created from these (§ 6.1.2),
- CCFs (§ 6.2.1),
- a list of accepted proposals (including abstracts),
- the XMM catalogue,
- various others.

7.1.1 Pipeline data products contained in the XMM data archive

Besides the data types listed above, the **XMM** Data Archive contains the files created by the Pipeline Processing System (PPS) operated at the SSC (see Appendix B). As described in § 6, calibration of **XMM** science data is performed by applying the appropriate CCF. The PPS produces calibrated science data (e.g., X-ray event lists) and from these data products, such as, e.g., images, spectra, and time series (§ 6.1.2).

PPS data products are produced for every **XMM** observation and fed into the data archive. They form part of the standard delivery to PIs. After expiration of the proprietary period, if any, the pipeline data products will be retrievable from the archive.

A complete description of which kind of files/data the PPS produces will be provided in the **XMM** Data Files Handbook (in preparation).

The archival retrieval procedures are described in § 7.3 (below).

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	119

7.2 Proprietary rights

7.2.1 GO and GTO observations

XMM Guest Observer (GO) data will be protected for an initial period of one year after delivery to the GO. Precondition for the start of the proprietary period is the delivery not only of the data, but of all PPS data products, including in particular calibrated event lists.

Guaranteed Time Observers' (GTO) data are also protected for one year after delivery of the PPS products to the PI. For a list of GT targets, see below (Appendix D; Table 23).

The proprietary period of an observation can be extended, if the calibration provided by the SOC at the time of delivery should *a posteriori* turn out to be inaccurate so that reprocessing of the data is required.

7.2.2 Calibration and performance verification data

Data obtained during the Calibration (Cal) Program and the Performance Verification (PV) phase are public. Lists of the **XMM** calibration and PV targets are provided in Appendix D; Tabs. 21 and 22. These data will enter the **XMM** science data archive as soon as they have been pipeline-processed.

7.3 Access to the XMM data archive

Access to the **XMM** Data Archive is facilitated via the **XMM** Archive Management Subsystem (AMS). It can be accessed in different ways:

- Query via a web interface,
- request CD-ROM delivery of the entire data for a certain observation, including ODF, CCF, (calibrated) event lists etc. and the PPS data products,
- download constituents of the former, which have been flagged in the AMS as downloadable,
- obtain an updated CCF. (TBC)

Access is gained via the following mechanisms:

- Queries, e.g., of the proposal database (for example for accepted or executed observing programmes), are processed via the AMS web interface.
- PPS data products can be requested via the AMS interface. They will be staged in a public area, from where they can be downloaded.
- Requests for CD-ROM shipments are submitted via the AMS interface. Users requesting CD-ROMs must register first, if not yet registered as an **XMM** user from submitting an observing proposal.
- In case a complete, new CCF should be required, delivery is via a CD-ROM. However, those constituents of **XMM** CCFs which can be expected to change relatively frequently will be made available via ftp (requests being submitted through the AMS web interface). (TBC)

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	120

8 Documentation

We compile here links and references of additional sources of information on XMM.

- 1. Other online **XMM** SOC services
 - XMM Latest News http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/news/news_top.html
 - The XMM Science Simulator (SciSim) http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/scisim/scisim.html
 - XMM Target Visibility Tool http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/user/vis/vis_top.html
 - XMM Proposal Handling Subsystem (PHS; in preparation) http://www.xmmsoc.ops.esa.int
 - XMM Remote Proposal Submission software (XRPS; in preparation) http://www.xmmsoc.ops.esa.int
 - XMM Archive Management Subsystem (AMS; in preparation) http://www.xmmsoc.ops.esa.int
 - "Building XMM" an online picture gallery http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/user/build/buildxmm_top.html
 - PIMMS (HEASARC) http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html
- 2. Online **XMM** documents
 - Call for Proposals (AO-1) http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/news/AO/ao1_top.html
 - XRPS Users' Manual (in preparation)
 - SAS User Requirements Document ftp://astro.estec.esa.nl/pub/XMM/documents/XMM-PS-GM-12_i1.0.ps.gz
 - SAS Software Specification Document ftp://astro.estec.esa.nl/pub/XMM/documents/sas_ssd_issue2.0.ps.gz
 - XMM Data Files Handbook (in preparation)
 - Constraints on the OM Window Configuration and Definition of the OM Default Configurations ftp://astro.estec.esa.nl/pub/XMM/documents/xmm_ps_tn_026.ps.gz
- 3. XMM documents that are available as paper copies only
 - XMM Science Management Plan ESA/SPC(88)20

Additional information on **XMM** can always be obtained from other locations under the SOC home page, at http://astro.estec.esa.nl/XMM/.

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	121

A XMM Science Simulator (SciSim)

SciSim is the tool developed by the SOC for modeling **XMM** observations. It can be downloaded by **XMM** users for modeling sources and observation scenarios that are not described in this document. SciSim (v. 2.0 and higher) is capable of creating output files in the Observation Data File (ODF) format. For the conversion of the (default) "temp..." output files (described in the following) to ODFs, SciSim contains so-called ODF converters (see the SciSim online help, under "Users Guide", "Detailed Users Guide", "ODF converters" for details). ODFs can, once it is developed, be analysed using the SAS software (§ 6.1), making a direct comparison between models and observations possible. Until the SAS becomes available, the SciSim tools listed in Appendix A.4 can be used to convert SciSim output to other formats.

In order to make this document self-contained, we include here a general description of SciSim. Note that this is meant to be only a short introduction. The following is not a complete description of SciSim. For the most up-to-date information the SciSim online help should be consulted.

A.1 SciSim – a brief general introduction

SciSim is a collection of programmes that can model **XMM** observations. Rays can be generated using a random generator and injected into the **XMM** telescope optics. Although all **XMM** instruments normally operate simultaneously, each can be excluded from SciSim model runs for the sole purpose of cutting back on processing time.

The individual SciSim components model one part of **XMM** each. Starting with the cosmic simulator (CSim), including the ray generator, which produces the input radiation (Appendix A.3.1), the propagation of the incoming radiation through the different parts of **XMM** is modeled, first through the mirrors (Appendix A.3.2) and then either directly onto the EPIC pn camera or onto the RGAs (Appendix A.3.4) and then on to either the EPIC MOS cameras (Appendix A.3.3) in the prime focus or the RFCs in the secondaries of two out of three **XMM** telescopes (Appendix A.3.4). Two examples of SciSim runs are presented in Appendix A.5.

SciSim has been programmed to resemble **XMM** as closely as possible. However, it is not a complete tool, because some quantities like, e.g., the relative alignment of the different science instruments with respect to each other is not yet known. Missing items are listed in Appendix A.6. Since SciSim produces output files in the ODF format and the SAS (which is the software package to process such ODFs) is still under development, the SciSim to SAS interface is not yet fully developed. During this time, the SciSim tools presented in Appendix A.4 can be used to convert SciSim output to formats that can be read by other software packages.

IM Science Operations T	'eam	Document No.: Issue/Rev.: Date: Page:	XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue 1.1 18.01.1999 122
X SciSim File Sources Spacecraft	Configure Simulate Help	,	. – ×
		Attitude RA 0 Dec 0 Pos 0 Display Manual Simulated Catalogue	
359.998 -0.177			

Figure 84: The top-level GUI of the XMM Science Simulator (SciSim), presenting a field of view on the sky that will display any emitting sources that can be chosen from catalogues or defined by the user.

Stop

Start

A.2 SciSim Graphical User Interface

SciSim can be executed from both the command line and from a specially developed GUI. The top-level SciSim GUI is presented in Fig. 84. It offers several click-on buttons for choosing operations.

• "File"

This offers various options to import or save source, configuration and default parameters.

• "Sources"

Under this option the user manually edit model sources, simulate a random source distribution, import sources from catalogues and influence the properties of the modeled background.

• "Spacecraft"

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIN Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	123

Spacecraft effects will not need to be modeled by general **XMM** users. The options offered here are for instrument calibration purposes.

• "Configure"

This spawns the instrument configuration GUI, which is used for configuring SciSim, see below.

• "Simulate"

Start/stop a simulation.

• "Help"

Information on the SciSim release version and the online help. Note that, in order to use the online help facility of SciSim, a viewer (Netscape or Internet Explorer) must be started on the same machine that runs SciSim.

A.2.1 SciSim configuration GUI

A particularly important GUI is the configuration GUI. This allows the user to choose which parts of **XMM** are to modeled, i.e., which sub-units of SciSim are to be executed. A screen capture of this GUI is provided in Fig. 85.



Figure 85: The configuration GUI of SciSim, displaying which parts of **XMM** will be modeled. In the setup shown, all instruments will be modeled and the data will be stored in the files tempo (OM), tempe (EPIC) and tempf (RGS).

Components of SciSim are switch on/off by clicking on an icon in the configuration GUI with the left mouse button. The name of the output data file for the chosen configuration will always be displayed.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWINI Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	124

By clicking on any of the icons in the configuration GUI with the right mouse button, a menu will pop up to configure that particular sub-unit of SciSim. These will be introduced briefly in the following.

A.3 SciSim components

SciSim consists of several parts, which simulate different entities. Each can be controlled via its own GUI. As an example, the GUI of the Ray Generator component of the Cosmic Simulator, CSim, is presented below.

The different simulators contained in SciSim are:

- CSim Cosmic Simulator, including GSim Ray Generator
- MSim Mirror Simulator
- ESim EPIC Simulator
- RSim RGS Simulator

RSim consists of the following subunits:

- RGASim Reflection Grating Array Simulator
- RFCSim RGS Focal Camera Simulator
- OSim OM Simulator

A.3.1 CSim – The Cosmic Simulator

CSim is that part of SciSim creating a simulated piece of sky, with sources with userspecified properties. It includes a Ray Generator, called GSim. Csim can produce astronomical model data for subsequent processing by the other simulators within SciSim.

X-ray sources can be created interactively or by using source lists. The results from interactive sessions can be written into output files, which can later be reused as inputs to CSim.

The following source parameters can at present be defined in CSim:

- Spatial distribution
- Spectral distribution
- Optical Counterpart
- Field Coordinates

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	125

- Source Position Selection
- Source Database
- Artificial Stellar Distribution
- X-ray background
- Saving and loading the source list

All these parameters are described in more detail in the CSim online help.

A special part of CSim is the Ray Generator, GSim, which simulates the distribution of incoming photons from a given source (distribution). These rays are propagated later, by other parts of SciSim, through the telescope assemblies and into the detectors, thereby imaging the artificial view of the sky onto the detector plane.

A.3.1.1 The GSim GUI

The CSim GUI is displayed in Fig. 86. It allows users to specify the details of the simulation as listed above, by opening the different folders that are visible in the screen shot.

Observat	ion Instrument Illumination
Exposure	1000
ОК	Cancel Reset

Figure 86: The GUI of SciSim's Ray Generator.

• Observation

Here the integration time in seconds is entered.

• Instrument

Here the user can enter the upper and lower boundary for the energy spectrum of the simulated radiation (these are not the energy thresholds for the bandpasses of the instruments!).

• Illumination

This is the input field to vary the illumination over the FOV. These input parameters should not be changed for astronomical simulations.

The GUIs for the other components of SciSim are designed very similarly and therefore not shown here.

A.3.2 MSim – The Mirror Simulator

MSim simulates the X-ray response of **XMM**'s mirror modules. Therefore, it can also be called a "ray tracer". Not only the imaging characteristics of the mirrors, but also losses on the way from the entrance aperture to the detector surfaces are modeled.

Mirror models are approximated as closely as possible to measurements from the Panter facility, in which the hardware was tested. MSim is an important part of the **XMM** calibration activities, because it is the software module producing the empiric descriptions of such basic quantities as the mirror PSF and the effective area, A_e . These will be used for the highest accuracy instrument calibration instead of actual data arrays, because the multi-dimensional parameter space that would have to be represented by such calibration files is much too large for conventional calibration procedures. MSim, on the other hand, being a highly configurable software module, can take into account many free parameters.

The current version of MSim simulates the following features:

- Misalignment of all parts of the mirror module, including mirror shell tilt and decenter
- Misalignment of the entire module with respect to the satellite
- Photon and classical ray tracing
- Straylight rejection by the X-ray baffles
- Vignetting by the mirror entrance spider
- Figuring errors of the X-ray mirror shells
- Reflectivity and scattering by the mirror gold coating
- Vignetting by the exit magnetic deflector

VMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	$\operatorname{Issue/Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	127

A.3.3 ESim – The EPIC Simulator

ESim simulates the properties of both types of EPIC cameras, MOS and pn.

The two camera types are fundamentally different, the MOS chips, e.g., being frontilluminated, while the pn CCDs are back-illuminated. ESim models the interaction of X-ray photons with the CCDs and records the results in output files. These output files contain event lists, as they would also be obtained during real observations in orbit.

ESim, as the other instrument simulators, is capable of simulating all science data acquisition modes of the detectors. Other parts of ESim simulate event recognition patterns etc., including parts of the **XMM** onboard software.

ESim currently comprises routines to model the following features:

- Camera selection (MOS vs. pn)
- Exposure time
- Position of camera with respect to the mirrors
- Full image mode
- Window mode
- CCD selection
- Dead space in between CCDs
- Simple dead front and partially absorbing back layers (limiting the low energy X-ray detection efficiency)
- Photon shot noise at interaction within the CCD
- Si-K α fluorescence
- Diffusion/drift of generated electrons through various layers in a CCD
- Recombination losses
- Spreading of an event over several pixels
- Frame time analysis
- Grading (event recognition)
- Threshold selection
- Dark noise
- Electronic noise
- Background subtraction
- "Out of time" events received during CCD readout

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	128
	9	

A.3.4 RSim – The RGS Simulator

RSim consists of two major parts, RGASim and RFCSim. The former simulates the physical properties of the RGAs, the latter those of the RFCs.

RGASim represents the continuation of the ray tracing that is performed by MSim. Multiple reflections are allowed, so that every ray that enters RGASim either leaves the module or is absorbed. Those rays leaving the RGAs in the direction of the RFCs are picked up by RFCSim and projected on the 9 CCD surfaces.

The features currently contained in RGASim are:

- 3-D model of the grating array
- Grating positioning and alignment errors
- Exact geometry of the actual grating arrays
- Changing line density spacing of grooves as a function of the x coordinate
- Random errors in line density variation
- Grating efficiency model
- Multiple reflections in between grating plates
- A physical model for scattering by micro-roughness of the gratings
- Positioning of the whole RGA relative to other subsystems
- Gaps between the CCDs

Rays can be produced in individual spectral orders in order to speed up the calculations.

A.3.5 OSim – The OM Simulator

OSim is a partly independent simulator, because the OM has its own telescope. The commonality with the other subunits of SciSim is only in the relative alignment of the different telescopes with respect to each other on the spacecraft.

OSim contains the optical/UV ray tracer and it simulates the behaviour of the detector itself. The following features are currently supported:

- Imaging (coordinate transformation) by telescope
- Count rate calculation for each pixel

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	129

- Sky background
 - Source spectra
 - Gaussian PSF of optics
 - Reflectivity of primary and secondary mirrors
 - Reflectivity of selector (tertiary mirror)
 - Transmission of various filters
 - Magnification by magnifier
 - Transmission of magnifier
 - Transmission of detector window
 - Photocathode quantum efficiency
 - *FWHM* of detector
 - Pixel sampling

Additional features are planned for the next release of OSim.

A.4 SciSim tools

Since the SAS is still under development, tools to perform a number of tasks are released together with SciSim:

• reporter2fits

converts SciSim output into FITS format images

• reporter2pha

converts SciSim output into PHA format (i.e., *xspec*-readable) spectra

• image2sources

creates from an input image a decomposition into a list of sources that is then run through SciSim

 \bullet cluster

interim routine to perform EPIC pn event reconstruction, which is not applied onboard.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWIM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	130

A.5 Examples for the use of SciSim for proposal preparation

Here we present two examples of the use of SciSim in the preparation of an **XMM** observing proposal, one each for EPIC and RGS. Note that the SciSim online help also contains two tutorials for source simulations.

CAVEAT 1: When importing model spectra created in xspec into SciSim, these spectra **must** be created with an energy grid that is dense enough so as to be smaller than the energy resolution of the instruments (in particular RGS)! Otherwise, the input spectrum will already determine the "resolution" of the output "model". A 0.1 eV energy grid is sufficient to sample RGS spectra adequately. For EPIC simulations a spectral bin width of 10 eV should suffice. The energy grid should best be defined using the available response matrices. Alternatively, the grid can be defined in the xspec task dummyrsp as the increment parameter.

Until the **XMM** Data Archive will be populated with CCFs, preliminary response matrices can be retrieved from the XMM calibration area on the SOC web server.

A.5.1 Example for simulating EPIC observations

To produce the EPIC spectrum shown in Fig. 75 in § 3.7.1.2, a 6 keV Raymond-Smith thermal plasma model spectrum created with *xspec* was imported into SciSim. The energy resolution of the *xspec* input model was chosen to be 1 eV, i.e. higher than the energy resolution of EPIC. The adopted source flux is 10^{-12} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² in the energy band from 0.5–4.5 keV. To import the spectrum from the SciSim GUI, users must choose option "Sources" in the top menu, then "new" in the source editor, then click on "Spectrum" and choose the option "*xspec*" from the menu. This will prompt the user for the input file name (FILENAME.DAT) from the current directory. The absorbing column density can also be provided in the source editor of SciSim (in units of 10^{24} atoms cm⁻²; thus, no absorbing column density needs to be modeled in *xspec*.

Under the top-level GUI option "Configure", which spawns the configuration GUI, the "RayGen", "Mirror", "RGA" and "EPIC" modules are switched on by clicking on the icons with the left mouse button. Clicking on the RayGen icon with the right mouse button, the integration time was in the current example set to 30000 [s]. Clicking on the mirror module icon (with the right mouse button), one can choose between different mirror modules. The same procedure can be followed to choose a particular EPIC camera by clicking on the EPIC icon. For a "standard" model simulation neither any spacecraft effects nor other options regarding the mirrors and instruments need to be specified. Most of the options offered there are included for instrument calibration purposes. Spacecraft effects are included based on the current best guess of the expected pointing performance.

Once all inputs are specified, the simulation is started by clicking on the "start" button

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	131

at the bottom of the GUI (or "Simulate" "Start" in the top menu). While the simulation is running, a performance bar will inform the user of its progress.

Upon successful completion the results of the model run are contained in a file named, by default, "tempe" (the filename can be changed from the GUI), which is created in the current directory (i.e., the one from which SciSim has been started). To convert the data into a form readable by existing software, tools are provided (*reporter2pha* and *reporter2fits*) to produce a PHA format output spectrum. This spectrum can then be transfered into *xspec* for analysis or for display.

Extended sources can be modeled either by using an input image and using the tool *image2sources* described above or by using the top-level GUI menu option "Sources", choosing option "Edit" and then specifying in the folder "Shape" an ellipsoidal (either uniformly or non-uniformly emitting) shape (only options available), instead of the default ("point").

Note: Default configuration files, which have been derived from the best information available before the current release of SciSim, were used exclusively for the plots in this document. Users should be aware that a number of simple changes to the configuration files might be used either to aid some simple scenario modeling, or to investigate more practical problems of science analyses. The scope of data items that might be modifiable seems at first daunting, but we note a few here that are easily changed:

- The charge transfer efficiency (CTE) should be set to 1.0 if spatially variable spectra are to be analysed, as there is no representation yet of the SAS tools which correct for spatially varying gain. On the other hand, if the observer wants to investigate likely errors involved due to such CTE changes and the minor effect on the energy resolution the data values need not be modified
- The dead spaces between EPIC CCDs have been set to representative values in order to demonstrate the cosmetic effect on focal plane coverage. Users requiring to utilise 100% field coverage in order to estimate diffuse fluxes could run simulations with dead space modified to 0.0.
- The simulation assumes perfect set-up of offset tables within the camera, so that there is no gain and offset error predicted for optically bright targets. If users should wish to simulate the effect of a "thin" or "open" filter position with some light leakage loading, then this is best obtained by modifying the value of system noise. For example, based on the suggestions of maximum visible brightness usable per filter, one can estimate the additional light loading by the following method: For the thin filter, where $m_V = 17$ is a typical limiting magnitude (for 1 electron per pixel), the observation of an $m_V = 12$ target would produce a peak signal of about 100 electrons per pixel per full frame in MOS (16 in pn). Therefore, the additional shot noise is 10 (4) electrons rms. This should be added in quadrature to the existing noise and consequently the

XMM Science Operations Team	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	132

noise field in the configuration file should be amended to 11 (6) electrons, and the resulting effect on resolution noted. Unfortunately, this does not correctly simulate the energy offset, nor the spatial variation of optical loading.

A.5.2 Example for an RGS simulation

As mentioned above, it is recommended to use the default RGS configuration in SciSim, which is FM2, until geometrical configuration files for other combinations have been added to SciSim.

To produce the RGS spectrum shown in Fig. 47 in § 3.4.2, a two-component Raymond-Smith thermal plasma model spectrum was created with *xspec* and imported into SciSim. The model consists of a 0.35 keV and a 2.0 keV component of equal flux. The adopted source intensity is 2.1×10^{-2} photons s⁻¹ cm⁻² in the RGS band (0.35–2.5 keV). The resolution of the *xspec*-created input model was chosen to be 0.1 eV, i.e., higher than the RGS's energy resolution. Importing the spectrum from the SciSim GUI works as described above in the example for an EPIC simulation.

Under the top-level GUI option "Configure", which spawns the configuration GUI, the "RayGen", "Mirror", "RGA" and "RFC" modules were switched on by clicking on the icons with the left mouse button. Clicking on the RayGen icon with the right mouse button, the integration time was set to 40000 [s]. Clicking on the mirror module icon (with the right mouse button), one can choose between different mirror modules. The same procedure can be followed to choose a particular RGA and RFC by clicking on the respective icon. Again, as for EPIC simulations, for a "standard" model simulation neither any spacecraft effects nor other options regarding the mirrors and instruments need to be specified. Most of the options offered there are included for instrument calibration purposes. Spacecraft effects are included based on the current best guess of the expected pointing performance.

Once all inputs are specified, the simulation is started by clicking on the "start" button at the bottom of the GUI (or "Simulate" "Start" in the top menu). While the simulation is running, a performance bar will inform the user of its progress.

Upon successful completion the results of the model run are contained in a file named "tempf", which is created on the current directory (i.e., the one from which SciSim has been started). To convert the data into a form readable by existing software, tools are provided (*reporter2pha* and *reporter2fits*) to produce a PHA format output spectrum. This spectrum can then be transfered into *xspec* for analysis or for display.

CAVEAT: When modeling RGS observations with SciSim, the default instrument configuration should be used, which is "FM2". FM2 (Flight Model 2) represents RGA-2, which is part of RGS-1. For this configuration the geometrical configuration is implemented correctly in SciSim. Using FM1 instead, users would need to obtain and manually insert the
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	133

geometrical configuration, because the geometrical alignment of both RGA-1 with respect to its mirror module and RFC-1 with respect to RGA-1 differs from those of FM2.

A.6 SciSim performance issues

Several features of XMM are currently not included in SciSim. The most important are:

1. General

- CAVEAT: Only limited validation of SciSim was performed using ground calibration data!
- SciSim run times for large numbers of rays or complicated input scenarios (as e.g., an input spectrum produced with *xspec*) can be very long (up to orders of hours).
- SciSim contains no information on the (expected) relative instrument alignment. This leads to shortcomings in some simulations as, for example, seam losses in between EPIC and RGS CCDs of one detector which will in practice be covered by the other unit.
- The CCD frame times for all instruments are not adjustable (from the GUI). They can only be influenced by choosing a certain instrument mode.
- For those who are interested in calibration issues, it might be important to know that at present the instrument temperatures cannot be chosen.
- Input of a sky image (emission distribution) is via a tool, which is at present still rudimentary and causes extremely long run times of the simulations.
- The pointing direction of the model run is not propagated to the output ODF.

2. EPIC

- SciSim does not account for pn columns that might be discarded because of the hit of a minimum ionising particle (MIP; i.e., a cosmic particle).
- There is no SciSim routine to handle optical/UV loading of the EPIC cameras and, accordingly, also none to perform EPIC MOS offset calculation to correct for this effect.
- There is no EPIC pn event reconstruction in SciSim, because this is an offline functionality performed by the SAS. Thus, the SciSim tool "cluster" should be used at interim (see § A.4).
- 3. RGS
 - The scattering model that is used has not yet been optimised for the high energy end of the bandpass, which causes an overestimation of the

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	134

amount of scattered light. The instrument's performance with respect to scattering wings at these energies is slightly better than predicted by SciSim.

• See above, regarding seam losses between CCDs. This is visible in Fig. 54.

4. OM

- OSim cannot simulate OM grism observations.
- OSim does not allow the modeling OM fast mode observations.
- There is no OM window selection option.
- OM detector distortion is not taken into account.
- OM detector response non-linearity is not included.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AWW Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	135

B XMM Survey Science Centre (SSC)

XMM Survey Scientist: Dr. M. G. Watson, Leicester University

The **XMM** Survey Science Centre (SSC) is an international collaboration involving a consortium of 8 institutions in the UK, France and Germany together with associate scientists in Spain, Italy, Belgium, Japan, USA and the UK. The major roles of the SSC in the **XMM** project are:

- The coordination of the XMM serendipitous survey via a ground-based followup/identification programme;
- the development, with the **XMM** SOC, of the **XMM** science analysis software (SAS);
- the routine pipeline processing of all **XMM** observations.

These activities are briefly summarised here with greater emphasis on the follow-up programme as this is not described elsewhere in any detail. The SSC follow-up programme will also be of interest as potential **XMM** observers are requested to consider agreeing to the serendipitous content of their **XMM** observations being used in the context of this programme.

Further information on the **XMM** SSC and its role in the project is available from the SSC web server at the URL http://xmmssc-www.star.le.ac.uk/.

B.1 SSC Follow-up Programme

Because of its large throughput and good angular resolution, pointed observations with the **XMM** EPIC X-ray cameras will reach very faint X-ray flux limits (\approx a few $\times 10^{-15}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹, 0.1–10 keV, in typical observations). At these fluxes, each **XMM** EPIC field at both high and low galactic latitudes will contain substantial numbers of "serendipitous" X-ray sources. Pointed **XMM** observations will thus provide a "serendipitous" X-ray survey (the "XMM Serendipitous Sky Survey", see Watson, 1998, Astr. Nach., 319, 117).

The role of the SSC in this area is to coordinate the follow-up of the serendipitous content of **XMM** observations in order to ensure that this valuable resource can be exploited effectively by the community. The key initial step will be the "identification" of the X-ray sources, i.e., classification into different object types. Literally identifying every **XMM** serendipitous source is not a realistic task, nor a sensible approach. Instead, the emphasis of the SSC programme will be on the characterisation of the **XMM** source population through the detailed follow-up of well-defined, small subsamples (as outlined below). The

	-	
	Page:	136
Awiw Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
XMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14

SSC "follow-up" programme aims to bring together the **XMM** data themselves, existing catalogue and archival material and new ground-based observational data in an integrated fashion.

The overall programme strategy as currently conceived involves two main components:

- **The SSC "Core Programme"** consisting of complete identifications of selected high galactic latitude X-ray samples based primarily on optical imaging and spectroscopy. The results from this programme will be used to "characterize" the content of the **XMM** serendipitous survey. The Core Programme will also include a parallel study for the low galactic latitude sky.
- **The SSC "Imaging Programme"** which aims to obtain optical photometry and colours for a substantial fraction of all **XMM** fields. The rationale is based on the fact that a combination of X-ray flux and X-ray colours (from the **XMM** data) and optical magnitude and optical colours (e.g., from new ground-based observations) will provide the key parameters which make possible an accurate 'probabilistic' identification of the **XMM** sources. This will be possible using the results from the Core Programme which characterise the **XMM** source populations, thus providing the link, in a statistical sense, between the source identification and properties and these basic parameters.

Data from the **XMM** OM, depending on instrumental mode chosen by the observer, can also provide relatively deep optical and UV imaging over most of the EPIC field. The OM sensitivity will not, however, be sufficient to meet all of the Imaging Programme needs, in particular because of the lack of R & I band coverage. The OM UV data will however represent a unique resource.

The entire programme will be based on samples of serendipitous X-ray sources drawn from pointed **XMM** observations that are in the public domain, or are made available to the SSC by the observation PI. The programme is designed to support the community's access to, and exploitation of, the serendipitous data from **XMM**, and as such all the results will be made public through the **XMM** science archive.

B.2 Science analysis software development

In collaboration with the SOC, the SSC is making a major contribution to the development of the **XMM** Science Analysis Subsystem (SAS). The SAS provides **XMM**-specific software tools for all **XMM** science data. It allows the processing of the Observation Data Files (ODF s) sent to the observer through to high-level science products, but does not, in general, aim to provide the high-level analysis tools (e.g., spectral fitting) themselves.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
VMM Science Operations Team	Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
AMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	137

B.3 Pipeline processing of XMM science data

The SSC is responsible for the task of processing all **XMM** observations to produce data products for all science instruments (e.g., source lists, images, spectral and time series data). These products, together with the ODF s, will be distributed by the SOC to observers, and after SOC validation, they will also be incorporated into the **XMM** data archive maintained by the SOC.

	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
	Issue/	Rev.: Issue 1.1
XMM Science Operations Team	Date:	18.01.1999
	Page:	138

C XMM calibration and performance verification program

XMM will be observing a number of astronomical objects in the course of its calibration (Cal) and Performance Verification (PV) programmes.

C.1 XMM calibration program

We list the **XMM** calibration targets in Tab. 21. **XMM** calibration observations enter the public data archive as soon as they are processed and the calibration analysis is completed.

Table 21: XMM calibration target list

XMM	Science	Operations	Team
-----	---------	------------	------

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	139

				D aras	
Target Name	lpha(2000)	$\delta(2000)$	Prime In- strument and Mode	Exp. time [ks] (total)	Purpose
Abell 2690	$00 \ 00 \ 21$	$-25 \ 08 \ 17$	OM IMAGE	25.0	optical photometry
G158-100	$00 \ 33 \ 54$	$-12 \ 07 \ 57$	OM IMAGE	11.0	throughput (optical grism)
EG And	$00 \ 44 \ 37$	$40 \ 40 \ 46$	OM IMAGE	15.0	wavelength scale & grism PSF
EG And off1	$00 \ 44 \ 40$	$40 \ 42 \ 40$	OM IMAGE	10.0	wavelength scale
EG And off2	$00 \ 44 \ 28$	$40 \ 41 \ 37$	OM IMAGE	10.0	wavelength scale
EG And off3	$00 \ 44 \ 23$	$40 \ 46 \ 13$	OM IMAGE	10.0	wavelength scale
BPM16274	00 50 03	$-52 \ 08 \ 40$	OM IMG/FAST	22.0	UV photometry/PSF
BPM16274 ^{off1}	$00 \ 50 \ 11$	-52 04 50	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	${ m UV}~{ m photometry}/{ m PSF}$
$BPM16274$ off2	$00 \ 49 \ 40$	-52 07 02	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	${ m UV}~{ m photometry}/{ m PSF}$
$BPM16274$ off3	$00 \ 49 \ 56$	$-52\ 12\ 30$	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
$\mathrm{BPM16274}$ off4	$00 \ 50 \ 27$	-52 10 17	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
1ES0102-72	$01 \ 04 \ 02$	$-72 \ 01 \ 55$	EPIC Pri Full	20.0	$\operatorname{Gain}/\operatorname{CTE}$
PG0136 + 251	$01 \ 38 \ 53$	$25 \ 23 \ 20$	EPIC Pri Full	25.0	Contamin reference
NGC 752	$01 \ 57 \ 48$	37 52 00	EPIC Pri Full	40.0	EPIC/OM alignment moni- toring
3C58	02 05 38	$64 \ 49 \ 26$	EPIC Pri Full	50.0	Effective area
PKS0312-770	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	20.0	MOS PSF and AXAF cross- check
PKS0312-770 ^{off1}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	15.0	$\frac{1}{MOS} PSF 5' + X$
PKS0312-770 ^{off2}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	15.0	MOS PSF $5' - X$
PKS0312-770 ^{off3}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	15.0	MOS PSF $5' + Y$
PKS0312-770 ^{off4}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	15.0	MOS PSF $5' - Y$
PKS0312-770 ^{off5}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	15.0	MOS PSF 5' $Az = 45 \deg$
PKS0312-770 ^{off6}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	MOS PSF $12' + X$
PKS0312-770 ^{off7}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	MOS PSF $12' - X$
PKS0312-770 ^{off8}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	MOS PSF $12' + Y$
PKS0312-770 ^{off9}	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	MOS PSF $12' - Y$
PKS0312-770 <i>off10</i>	$03 \ 11 \ 55$	$-76\ 51\ 51$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	MOS PSF 12' $Az = 45 \deg$
MS0317.0+1834	$03 \ 19 \ 52$	$18 \ 45 \ 35$	EPIC Pri Full	70.0	Mirror Au edge and contam. monitor
$\epsilon { m Eri}$	$03 \ 32 \ 59$	$-09\ 27\ 31$	EPIC Transp	10.0	Stray light
HR1099	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	wavelength scale & bore-sight
HR1099 off1	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	bore-sight, off-axis dispers. $+3'$
HR1099 ^{off2}	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	bore-sight, off-axis dispers. $-3'$

XMM Science (Operation	ns Team		Is D P	sue/Rev.: Issue 1.1 Pate: 18.01.1999 age: 140
				1	
HR1099 off3	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	bore-sight, off-axis dispers. $+7'$
HR1099 off4	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	bore-sight, off-axis dispers. $-7'$
HR1099 off5	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	bore-sight, off-axis X-dispers. $+1.5'$
HR1099 off6	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	$00 \ 35 \ 24$	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	bore-sight, off-axis X-dispers. $-1.5'$
SA95-42	03 53 43	-00 04 35	OM IMAGE	9.0	throughput (optical grism)
HZ 4	03 55 22	$09 \ 47 \ 19$	OM IMG/FAST	22.0	$\rm UV \ photometry/PSF$
Abell 3195	03 59 11	$-35 \ 10 \ 32$	OM IMAGE	20.0	optical photometry
LB227	$04 \ 09 \ 29$	$17 \ 07 \ 54$	OM IMG/FAST	22.0	UV photometry/PSF
$ m LB227$ off1	$04 \ 09 \ 23$	$17 \ 04 \ 04$	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
$ m LB227$ off2	$04 \ 09 \ 44$	17 06 13	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
$ m LB227$ off3	$04 \ 09 \ 36$	$17 \ 11 \ 43$	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
LB227 <i>off4</i>	04 09 13	$17 \ 09 \ 37$	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
LB227 off5	04 09 08	17 05 44	OM IMG/FAST	8.0	UV photometry/PSF
MS 0419.3+1943	04 22 18	19 50 53	EPIC Pri Full	70.0	Mirror Au edge and contam.
Hvades	$04 \ 31 \ 60$	$18 \ 10 \ 00$	EPIC Pri Full	60.0	Boresight & trend analysis
A496 off1	04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' + X$
A496 off2	04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' + X$
A496 off3	$04 \ 33 \ 35$	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' - X$
A 496 off4	04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' - X$
A 496 off5	04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' + Y$
A 496 off6	01 00 00 00 00 04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' + V$
$A 496 \ off^{7}$	04 33 35 04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' - V$
$A 496 \text{ off}^8$	01 33 35 04 33 35	-13 14 46	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' - V$
VV Men	04 55 55 04 58 18	-75 16 36	BGS Spectr	50.0	wavelength
Capolla	05 16 41		RGS Spectr	100.0	wavelength
IMC X 2	$05\ 10\ 41$ $05\ 20\ 20$	71 57 36	FDIC Pri Full	70.0	Straulight artofacts
N139D	$05\ 20\ 29$	-11 01 00	ELIC ILFull	20.0	Coin and CTE monitoring
NIJZD AD Den	05 25 00	-09 30 24 ct 97 09	DCC Creat	20.0 E0.0	Gain and CTE monitoring
AD DOF	05 28 44		RGS, Spectr.	20.0	DN DCE
LMC A-3 IMC1 C-14	05 38 50		EPIC Small Win	30.0 50.0	PN PSF EDIC DCE - Caldenale
DEDOCAT DEIG	05 40 00		DCC C	00.0 100.0	CEPIC PSF vs. neid angle
PSR0540-69	05 40 11	-69 19 58	RGS, Spectr.	100.0	en. area
$PSR0540-69 \text{off} \\ PSR0540-69 \text{off} $	05 40 11	-69 19 58	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. dispers. $+7$
PSR0540-69 off a	05 40 11	-69 19 58	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. dispers. -7
PSR0540-69 off	05 40 11	-69 19 58	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. dispers. $+14'$
$PSR0540-69$ $^{o_{JJ4}}$	$05 \ 40 \ 11$	$-69 \ 19 \ 58$	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. dispers. $-14'$
PSR0540-69 off5	$05 \ 40 \ 11$	-69 19 58	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. A-dispers. $+1'$
PSR0540-69 off6	$05 \ 40 \ 11$	-69 19 58	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. A-dispers. $-\frac{1}{2}$
PSR0540-69 off7	$05 \ 40 \ 11$	$-69 \ 19 \ 58$	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. X-dispers. $+2'$
PSR0540-69 off8	$05 \ 40 \ 11$	$-69 \ 19 \ 58$	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area, off-ax. X-dispers. $-2'$
PSR0540-69	05 40 11	-69 19 58	RGS, HTR EPIC Fast/Timing	20.0	timing
Cal 83	$05 \ 43 \ 33$	$-68 \ 22 \ 23$	EPIC Pri Full	80.0	Filter bandpass, contamina- tion
Cal 87	$05 \ 46 \ 45$	-71 08 54	EPIC Pri Full	80.0	Filter bandpass, contamina-
GD 71	05 52 28	15 53 16	OM IMG	14.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14

			Do	cument r	NO.: AMM-PS-GM-14
				Is	sue/Rev.: Issue 1.1
XMM Science (Operation	ns Team		Da	ate: 18.01.1999
				Pa	age: 141
GD 71 ^{off1}	05 52 25	15 51 22	OM IMG	14.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)
GD 71 ^{off2}	05 52 35	15 52 25	OM IMG	14.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)
GD 71 off3	05 52 30	15 55 12	OM IMG	14.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)
GD 71 ^{off4}	05 52 20	15 54 09	OM IMG	10.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)
GD 71 ^{off5}	05 52 07	15 51 08	OM IMG	10.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)
PKS 0558-504	05 59 47	$-50\ 26\ 51$	EPIC Multi modes	80.0	Mode and Pile-up dependence
S5 0716+71	$07 \ 21 \ 53$	$71 \ 20 \ 36$	EPIC Pri Full	70.0	Mirror Au edge and contam. monitoring
MS 0737.9+7441	$07 \ 43 \ 59$	$74 \ 33 \ 50$	EPIC Pri Full	70.0	Mirror Au edge and contam. monitoring
EXO0748-67	$07 \ 48 \ 25$	-67 45 00	EPIC Pri Full	70.0	Stray light artefacts
NGC2516	$07 \ 58 \ 20$	-60 52 13	EPIC Pri Full	35.0	Primary Boresight
MS 0922.9+7459	$09 \ 28 \ 06$	$74 \ 46 \ 34$	EPIC Pri Full	70.0	Mirror Au edge and contam.
A 1060	10 36 54	27 30 50	FDIC Dri Full	<u>30 0</u>	Vignotting
$\Lambda 1060 \text{ off}1$	10 26 54	-27 30 59	EDIC D _n ; E _n ll	20.0	Vignetting $5' + Y$
A 1000 s		-27 30 59		30.0 20.0	Vignetting $5 + \lambda$
$\begin{array}{c} A1060 ^{o} ff^{3} \\ A1060 ^{o} ff^{3} \end{array}$	10 30 54	-27 30 59	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12 + A$
A1060 off	10 36 54	-27 30 59	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' - X$
A1060 0	10 36 54	-27 30 59	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' - X$
A1060 $^{o_{jj}s}$	10 36 54	$-27 \ 30 \ 59$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' + Y$
A1060 $o_{JJ}o$	$10 \ 36 \ 54$	$-27 \ 30 \ 59$	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' + Y$
A1060 off7	$10 \ 36 \ 54$	-27 30 59	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $5' - Y$
A1060 ^{off8}	$10 \ 36 \ 54$	-27 30 59	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	Vignetting $12' - Y$
Θ Car	$10 \ 42 \ 57$	$-64 \ 23 \ 38$	EPIC Pri Full	40.0	RGS/EPIC alignment moni- tor
Mkn 421	$11 \ 04 \ 27$	$38 \ 12 \ 32$	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	eff. area
Hz 21	12 13 56	32 56 31	OM IMG/FAST	22.0	$\rm UV~photometry/PSF$
Mkn 205	$12 \ 21 \ 44$	$75\ 18\ 37$	EPIC Multi modes	80.0	Mode and Pile-up dependence
3C273	$12 \ 29 \ 07$	02 03 09	RGS, Spectr.	100.0	eff. area
β Crucis	$12 \ 47 \ 43$	-59 41 19	EPIC Transp	15.0	Filter transm. & straylight
Coma	12 58 58	28 07 34	EPIC Pri Full	40.0	Flat field
Coma ^{offset1}	13 00 40	27 45 07	EPIC Pri Full	40.0	"
GD 153	12 57 02	22 01 56	OM IMAGE	18.0	throughput/PSF (UV grism)
GD 153 ^{off1}	12 57 05	22 03 54	OM IMAGE	13.0	throughput (UV grism)
GD 153 off2	12 56 54	22 02 51	OM IMAGE	13.0	throughput (UV grism)
GD 153 off3	$12\ 57\ 00$	$22 \ 00 \ 05$	OM IMAGE	13.0	throughput (UV grism)
GD 153 off4	12 57 10	22 00 00 22 01 09	OM IMAGE	13.0	throughput (UV grism)
GD 153 off5	$12 \ 57 \ 24$	22 01 05 22 04 05	OM IMAGE	13.0	throughput (UV grism)
HZ 43	12 07 21 13 16 22	22 01 00	EPIC Sm Win	50.0	DSE (a filter transmission
βCon	13 10 22 14 03 40	29 00 00 60 00 00	EPIC Transp	15.0	f 5F & litter transmission Strey light
ρ Cen	14 03 43 14 20 27		EDIC Transp	50.0	Stray light
αCen	14 39 37	-00 50 02	BGS Spectr	50.0	Stray light
Sco X-1	$16 \ 19 \ 55$	-15 38 24	single CCD	20.0	CTE, geometry
G138-31	$16\ 27\ 54$	$09 \ 12 \ 35$	OM IMAGE	16.0	grism)
G138-31 ^{off1}	$16\ 27\ 51$	$09 \ 10 \ 40$	OM IMAGE	11.0	throughput (optical grism)
G138-31 ^{off2}	$16\ 28\ 01$	$09 \ 11 \ 43$	OM IMAGE	11.0	throughput (optical grism)
G138-31 ^{off3}	$16\ 27\ 56$	$09 \ 14 \ 30$	OM IMAGE	11.0	throughput (optical grism)
G138-31 ^{off4}	$16\ 27\ 46$	$09 \ 13 \ 26$	OM IMAGE	11.0	throughput (optical grism)
G138-31 off5	$16\ 27\ 36$	$09 \ 10 \ 20$	OM IMAGE	11.0	throughput (optical grism)

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	142

PG 1634+706	16 34 29	$70 \ 31 \ 40$	EPIC Multi-modes	100.0	Mode and Pile-up dependence	
PG1658 + 441	16 59 49	$44 \ 01 \ 05$	EPIC Pri Full	25.0	Contamin. reference	
Her 1720 + 50	$17\ 20\ 57$	$49 \ 48 \ 07$	OM IMAGE	20.0	optical photometry	
$Her 1720 + 50^{off1}$	$17\ 20\ 54$	$49\ 46\ 12$	OM IMAGE	15.0	optical photometry	
Her1720+50 ^{off2}	$17\ 21\ 09$	$49 \ 47 \ 16$	OM IMAGE	15.0	optical photometry	
Her1720+50 ^{off3}	$17\ 21\ 01$	49 50 02	OM IMAGE	15.0	optical photometry	
$Her 1720 + 50^{off4}$	$17 \ 20 \ 46$	$49 \ 48 \ 59$	OM IMAGE	15.0	optical photometry	
Terzan 2	$17\ 27\ 33$	-30 48 08	EPIC Pri Full	30.0	High energy effective area	
RT SER	$17 \ 39 \ 52$	-11 56 40	OM IMAGE	12.0	wavelength scale/ PSF	
RT SER off1	$17 \ 39 \ 49$	-11 58 35	OM IMAGE	9.0	wavelength scale	
RT SER off2	$17 \ 39 \ 59$	-11 57 32	OM IMAGE	9.0	wavelength scale	
RT SER off3	$17 \ 39 \ 54$	-11 54 44	OM IMAGE	9.0	wavelength scale	
RT SER ^{off4}	$17 \ 39 \ 44$	-11 55 48	OM IMAGE	9.0	wavelength scale	
RT SER off5	$17 \ 39 \ 31$	-11 58 53	OM IMAGE	9.0	wavelength scale	
NGC 6475	$17\ 53\ 54$	-34 49 00	EPIC Pri Full	40.0	OM/EPIC alignment moni- toring	
V2416 SGR	$17\ 57\ 16$	-21 41 29	OM IMAGE	9.0	wavelength scale	
GX 13+1	$18 \ 14 \ 31$	-17 09 26	RGS, Spectr.	40.0	eff. area	
G 21.5 - 09	18 33 33	$-10 \ 34 \ 07$	EPIC Pri Full	50.0	RGS (Spectr.): eff. area	
NGC 6712	18 53 04	-08 42 21	OM IMAGE	20.0	optical photometry	
RXJ1856-3754	18 56 35	-37 54 40	EPIC Pri Full	50.0	Contamin. reference	
LDS $749B$	$21 \ 32 \ 16$	00 15 14	OM IMG/FST	22.0	UV photometry/PSF	
Ar Lac	$22 \ 08 \ 41$	$45 \ 44 \ 28$	EPIC Lg Window	50.0	Back-up PSF	
LTT 9491	$23 \ 19 \ 34$	-17 05 28	OM IMAGE	14.0	throughput/PSF (optical grism)	
LTT 9491 ^{off1}	$23 \ 19 \ 37$	-17 03 35	OM IMAGE	9.0	throughput (optical grism)	
LTT 9491 ^{off2}	$23 \ 19 \ 27$	$-17 \ 04 \ 37$	OM IMAGE	9.0	throughput (optical grism)	
LTT 9491 ^{off3}	$23 \ 19 \ 32$	$-17 \ 07 \ 23$	OM IMAGE	9.0	throughput (optical grism)	
LTT 9491 ^{off4}	$23 \ 19 \ 41$	-17 06 19	OM IMAGE	9.0	throughput (optical grism)	
LTT 9491 off5	23 19 55	-17 03 26	OM IMAGE	9.0	throughput (optical grism)	
λ And	$23 \ 37 \ 33$	$46\ 27\ 51$	RGS, Spectr.	50.0	wavelength	

	Document No	\mathbf{D} : $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}$	/I-PS-GM-14
	Issu	ıe/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
XMM Science Operations Team	Dat	e:	18.01.1999
	Pag	ge:	143

C.2 List of XMM PV targets

To demonstrate the observing potential of **XMM**, a performance verification (PV) programme is executed in an early stage of the mission. Tab. 22 contains the list of **XMM** PV targets. Depending on the launch date of the satellite, individual targets from this list may or may not actually be observed. This will be decided at a later stage. The current list only gives an overview of which objects are likely to be observed in the course of the PV phase. Proposers intending to observe an **XMM** PV target will have to await the execution of the PV programme first to see if the PV data satisfy the science requirements of the intended observations.

Table 22: XMM performance verification target list

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
$\operatorname{Issue}/\operatorname{Rev.:}$	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	144

			Prime In-	Exposure	
Target Name	lpha(2000)	$\delta(2000)$	$\mathbf{strument}$	time [ks]	Goal
			and Mode		Imaging of an Fy
Tycho	$00 \ 25 \ 22$	$64 \ 08 \ 24$	EPIC	40.0	tended Source
Tycho offset1	$00\ 25\ 22$	65 18 24	EPIC	30.0	X-ray Baffle Leak
Tycho offset2	$00\ 25\ 22$	62 58 24	EPIC	30.0	X-ray Baffle Leak
Tycho offset3	00 35 30	64 08 24	EPIC	30.0	X-ray Baffle Leak
Tycho offset4	$00 \ 15 \ 10$	64 08 24	EPIC	30.0	X-ray Baffle Leak
M31	$00 \ 42 \ 43$	$41 \ 16 \ 12$	EPIC	50.0	Sources in diffuse
NGC 253	$00\ 47\ 34$	$-25 \ 17 \ 24$	EPIC	60.0	Sources in diffuse
WW Hor	$02 \ 36 \ 12$	-52 19 12	OM	20.0	Optical timing
UZ For	$03 \ 35 \ 29$	-25 44 23	OM	20.0	Optical Timing
Capella	05 16 41	46 00 14	RGS	20.0	RGS Spectral ca-
capona	00 10 11	10 00 11	1000	_0.0	pability BCS capability
N132D	$05 \ 25 \ 00$	$-69 \ 38 \ 24$	RGS	40.0	extended source
AB Dor	$05 \ 28 \ 45$	$-65 \ 27 \ 02$	RGS	100.0	Phase resolved
IC 443 #1	$06 \ 17 \ 45$	$22 \ 45 \ 00$	EPIC	30.0	Image irregular
IC 443 #2	06 16 15	22 42 00	EPIC	30.0	"
IC 443 $\#3$	$06 \ 17 \ 35$	$22 \ 12 \ 00$ $22 \ 20 \ 00$	EPIC	30.0	"
IC 443 #4	06 16 00	$22 \ 20 \ 00$	EPIC	30.0	"
	07 20 24	21 95 19	PCS	50.0	Phase resolved
$1(X_{0}) 0(20.4-0.120)$	01 20 24	-01 20 12	nos	50.0	spectroscopy
YY Gem	$07 \ 34 \ 38$	$31 \ 52 \ 15$	RGS	100.0	Phase resolved
D	0 - 00 00	05 4 4 0 4	DCC	100.0	RGS Spectral ca-
Procyon	$07 \ 39 \ 20$	$05 \ 14 \ 21$	RGS	100.0	pability
Zeta Pup	08 03 35	-40 00 12	RGS	50.0	Velocity/bulk
Zota i up	00 00 00	10 00 12	1005	00.0	motion diagnosis
OY Car	$10\ 06\ 23$	-70 14 06	OM	50.0	timing
Lockman Hole	10 52 43	$57\ 28\ 48$	EPIC	150.0	Sensitivity and
DP Leo	11 17 16	$17\ 57\ 37$	OM	20.0	confusion limits Optical timing
Mkn 766	11 11 16 12 18 26	29 48 46	RGS	30.0	Complex spectra
	10 20 50	10 00 04	EDIC	50.0	Spatially resolved
Virgo M87	12 30 50	12 23 24	EPIC	50.0	spectroscopy
Coma Cluster #1	$13 \ 02 \ 22$	28 30 00	EPIC	40.0	Mosaicing fields
Coma Cluster $#2$	$13 \ 01 \ 31$	$28 \ 18 \ 47$	EPIC	40.0	"
Coma Cluster $#3$	$13 \ 00 \ 40$	28 07 34	EPIC	40.0	"
Coma Cluster $#4$	12 59 49	27 56 29	EPIC	40.0	"
Coma Cluster #5	12 58 58	$27 \ 45 \ 07$	EPIC	40.0	" ·
Coma Cluster $\#6$	12 58 07	27 33 54	EPIC	40.0	⁷⁷
Coma Cluster $\#7$	12 57 16	27 23 41	EPIC	40.0	"

Л	M Science Opera	ations Te	am		Doc [.] Issue Date	ument No.: e/Rev.: e:	XMM-P Issue 1.1 18.01.19	S-GM-14 99
					Page	e:	145	
	Coma Cluster #8	12 56 25	27 11 28	EPIC	40.0	"		
	IRAS13349 + 2438	$13 \ 37 \ 19$	$24 \ 23 \ 03$	RGS	80.0	Complex s	pectra	
	A1795	$13 \ 48 \ 53$	26 35 32	RGS	70.0	Spatially r spectra	esolved	
	A 1835	$14 \ 01 \ 02$	02 52 41	RGS	50.0	Extended BGS spect:	source ra	
	4U1624-49	$16\ 28\ 04$	$-49 \ 12 \ 03$	RGS	80.0	Absorption	spectra	
	HER X-1	16 57 50	$35 \ 20 \ 33$	EPIC	10.0	Phase r spectroscop	esolved Dy	
	PSR 1821-24	$18\ 24\ 32$	-24 52 11	EPIC	50.0	Fast timing	S	
	SS 433	$19 \ 11 \ 49$	04 58 58	RGS	20.0	Bulk motic	ons	
	MRK 509	$20 \ 44 \ 10$	-10 43 24	EPIC	70.0	Spectrosco Fe-K comp	py of lex	
	CAS A	$23 \ 23 \ 12$	$58 \ 48 \ 36$	RGS	40.0	Spatially r spectra	esolved	

$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{N}$

	Document No.	: XMN	1-PS-GM-14
	Issue	e/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
XMM Science Operations Team	Date	e:	18.01.1999
	Page	e:	146

D XMM Guaranteed Time Program

XMM will be observing a number of astronomical objects in the course of its Guaranteed Time Observation (GTO) program. Because GTO targets are protected by proprietary data rights, these sources are not allowed as GO targets in response to the AO-1 (with the possible exceptions listed in the AO-1 documentation).

D.1 List of XMM GTO targets

This table has been endorsed by the **XMM** OTAC.

Table 23: XMM Guaranteed Time targets

Version 1.1 NOTE: Final version as of 1-Feb-1999					
Target Name	lpha(2000)	$\delta(2000)$	Prime In- strument	PI	Exposure Time [ks]
Q0000-263	$00 \ 03 \ 23$	$-26 \ 03 \ 17$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0
HD 108	$00 \ 06 \ 03$	$63 \ 40 \ 48$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
Mrk 335	00 06 19	$20\ 12\ 11$	RGS	F. Jansen	33.0
III ZW 2	$00 \ 10 \ 34$	10 58 41	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WW Cet	$00 \ 11 \ 25$	-11 28 43	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
$S5\ 0014{+}813$	$00\ 17\ 08$	$81 \ 35 \ 08$	EPI	M. Turner	40.0
CL 0016 + 16	$00 \ 18 \ 33$	$16\ 26\ 18$	EPI	M. Watson	40.0
PSR J0030+0453	$00 \ 30 \ 30$	04 53 17	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
M31 South 2	$00 \ 40 \ 06$	40 35 24	EPI	M. Watson	60.0
M31 South 1	$00 \ 41 \ 25$	40 55 35	EPI	M. Watson	64.0
M31 Core	$00\ 42\ 43$	41 15 46	EPI	K. Mason	50.0
M31 Core	$00\ 42\ 43$	41 15 46	EPI	M. Watson	64.0
HR 188	$00 \ 43 \ 35$	-17 59 14	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M31 north1	$00 \ 44 \ 01$	$41 \ 35 \ 57$	EPI	K. Mason	63.0
I-1(NGC188)	$00 \ 44 \ 22$	$85 \ 20 \ 25$	RGS	F. Jansen	70.0
M31 north2	$00 \ 45 \ 20$	41 56 09	EPI	K. Mason	63.0
M31 north3	$00 \ 46 \ 38$	$42 \ 16 \ 20$	EPI	K. Mason	63.0
m NGC247/PHL6625	$00\ 46\ 52$	-20 43 29	EPI	M. Watson	10.0
NGC 253 NW	$00\ 47\ 23$	-25 15 14	EPI	M. Watson	30.0
IKT 5	$00 \ 49 \ 07$	-73 14 06	EPI	J. Bleeker	25.0
CF Tuc	00 53 05	-74 39 07	EPI	B. Aschenbach	35.0
I Zwicky 1	00 53 35	$12 \ 41 \ 36$	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
NGC 300	00 54 55	-37 40 60	EPI	M. Turner	45.0
NGC 300	00 54 55	-37 40 60	EPI	M. Turner	27.5
SGP-1	00 55 19	-27 36 00	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
SGP-4	00 55 19	-28 00 00	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
SGP-7	00 55 19	-28 23 60	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
SGP-2	00 57 00	-27 36 00	EPI	M. Watson	5.0

ations Team
Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14
Issue/Rev.: Issue 1.1
Date: 18.01.1999
Page: 147

SGP-5	$00 \ 57 \ 00$	-28 00 00	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
SGP-8	$00 \ 57 \ 00$	$-28 \ 23 \ 60$	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
Ton S 180	$00 \ 57 \ 20$	$-22 \ 22 \ 59$	EPI	M. Watson	30.0
Q 0056-363	00 58 37	-36 06 05	RGS	F. Jansen	11.0
SGP-3	$00 \ 58 \ 51$	-27 36 00	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
SGP-6	$00 \ 58 \ 51$	-28 00 00	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
SGP-9	00 58 51	$-28 \ 23 \ 60$	EPI	M. Watson	5.0
RX J0059.2-7	00 59 13	$-71 \ 38 \ 50$	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
IKT 18	00 59 26	$-72 \ 10 \ 11$	EPI	J. Bleeker	15.0
G133-6 29	$01 \ 03 \ 60$	-06 42 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
0102-72.3	$01 \ 04 \ 02$	$-72 \ 01 \ 56$	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
G133-69 1	$01 \ 04 \ 24$	$-06 \ 24 \ 00$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
IKT 23	$01 \ 04 \ 52$	$-72 \ 23 \ 10$	RGS	J. Bleeker	25.0
ESO 113- G 010	$01 \ 05 \ 17$	$-58 \ 26 \ 13$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
HT Cas	$01 \ 10 \ 13$	60 04 35	EPI	M. Watson	45.0
PSR J0117+5914	$01 \ 17 \ 39$	$59 \ 14 \ 38$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
4U 0115+63	$01 \ 18 \ 32$	$63 \ 44 \ 24$	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
ESO 244- 17	$01 \ 20 \ 19$	-44 07 48	EPI	B. Aschenbach	15.0
ESO 244- G 017	$01 \ 20 \ 20$	$-44 \ 07 \ 43$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
TON S210	$01 \ 21 \ 51$	$-28 \ 20 \ 57$	EPI	M. Watson	6.0
Fairall 9	$01 \ 23 \ 46$	-58 48 21	RGS	F. Jansen	25.0
NGC $526A$	$01 \ 23 \ 54$	-35 03 56	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
A 189	$01 \ 25 \ 32$	$01 \ 45 \ 30$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
Mrk 359	$01 \ 27 \ 32$	$19 \ 10 \ 39$	EPI	M. Turner	8.0
RX J0132-65	$01 \ 32 \ 42$	-65 54 32	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
M33_#11	$01 \ 32 \ 46$	30 28 19	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#4	$01 \ 32 \ 51$	30 36 49	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
QSO 0130-403	$01 \ 33 \ 02$	-40 06 31	EPI	M. Turner	35.0
M33_#5	$01 \ 33 \ 02$	$30\ 21\ 24$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#10	$01 \ 33 \ 07$	30 45 02	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#3	$01 \ 33 \ 32$	30 52 13	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#15	$01 \ 33 \ 33$	30 33 07	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#12	$01 \ 33 \ 38$	$30\ 21\ 49$	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#1	$01 \ 33 \ 51$	$30 \ 39 \ 37$	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#9	$01 \ 34 \ 04$	305725	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#6	$01 \ 34 \ 08$	30 46 06	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#7	$01 \ 34 \ 10$	$30 \ 26 \ 60$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#13	$01 \ 34 \ 34$	$30 \ 34 \ 11$	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#2	$01 \ 34 \ 40$	30 57 48	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#8	$01 \ 34 \ 51$	$30 \ 42 \ 22$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
M33_#14	$01 \ 34 \ 56$	30 50 52	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	10.0
UV Cet	$01 \ 39 \ 01$	$-17\ 57\ 02$	RGS	B. Brinkman	30.0
PSR B0136 + 57	$01 \ 39 \ 20$	$58\ 14\ 31$	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0
PHL 1092	$01 \ 39 \ 56$	$06 \ 19 \ 21$	EPI	M. Watson	24.0

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14

Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	148

Deep Field $0145-\overline{04}$	$01 \ 45 \ 2\overline{7}$	$-04 \ 34 \ 42$	EPI	K. Mason	200.0
4U 0142+61	$01 \ 46 \ 22$	$61 \ 45 \ 12$	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
NGC 676	$01 \ 48 \ 57$	05 54 26	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
A 262	$01 \ 52 \ 46$	36 09 07	EPI	J. Bleeker	25.0
WARPJ0152.7-1357	$01 \ 52 \ 42$	-13 57 55	EPI	K. Mason	55.0
NGC 720	$01 \ 53 \ 01$	-13 44 14	EPI	M. Turner	45.0
RX J0153-59	$01 \ 54 \ 01$	$-59\ 47\ 36$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
Mrk 1014	$01 \ 59 \ 50$	$00 \ 23 \ 41$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
47 Cas	02 05 07	77 16 53	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
WX Hyi	02 09 51	-63 18 41	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
Mrk 590	02 14 34	$-00 \ 46 \ 00$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
LMC	02 15 00	-73 58 00	EPI	R. Mushotzky	10.0
SDS-5	$02 \ 16 \ 24$	-05 00 00	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
SDS-4	$02 \ 17 \ 12$	$-04 \ 39 \ 13$	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
SDS-6	$02 \ 17 \ 12$	$-05 \ 20 \ 47$	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
SDS-1	02 17 60	-05 00 00	EPI	M. Watson	100.0
PSR J0218 + 42	$02 \ 18 \ 06$	$42 \ 32 \ 17$	EPI	M. Watson	35.0
SDS-3	02 18 48	$-04 \ 39 \ 13$	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
SDS-7	02 18 48	$-05 \ 20 \ 47$	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
SDS-2	$02 \ 19 \ 36$	-05 00 00	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
XMDS OM_6	$02 \ 21 \ 00$	-04 52 30	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
XMDS OM_1	$02 \ 21 \ 50$	$-04 \ 30 \ 00$	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
XMDS SSC_5	$02 \ 21 \ 50$	-05 15 00	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
NGC 891	$02 \ 22 \ 31$	$42 \ 20 \ 15$	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
MLS4	$02 \ 22 \ 40$	-04 07 30	EPI	M. Turner	8.0
XMDS OM_5	$02 \ 22 \ 40$	-04 52 30	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
MLS8	$02 \ 23 \ 30$	$-04 \ 30 \ 00$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
XMDS SSC_4	$02 \ 23 \ 30$	-05 15 00	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
MLS3	$02 \ 24 \ 20$	-04 07 30	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
XMDS OM_4	$02 \ 24 \ 20$	-04 52 30	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
MLS7	$02 \ 25 \ 10$	$-04 \ 30 \ 00$	EPI	M. Turner	40.0
XMDS SSC_3	$02 \ 25 \ 10$	-05 15 00	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
MLS2	$02 \ 25 \ 60$	-04 07 30	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
XMDS OM_3	$02 \ 25 \ 60$	-04 52 30	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
MLS6	02 26 50	-04 30 00	EPI	M. Turner	40.0
XMDS SSC_2	$02 \ 26 \ 50$	-05 15 00	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
MLS1	$02\ 27\ 40$	$-04 \ 07 \ 30$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
XMDS OM_2	$02\ 27\ 40$	-04 52 30	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
MLS5	$02 \ 28 \ 30$	-04 30 00	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
XMDS SSC_1	$02 \ 28 \ 30$	-05 15 00	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
Q0226-1024	02 28 56	-10 10 39	\mathbf{EPI}	R. Griffiths	5.0
MRK 1044	02 30 05	-08 59 53	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Turner	8.0
ESO 416- G002	02 35 13	-29 36 18	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	5.0
WW Hor	$02 \ 36 \ 12$	$-52 \ 19 \ 14$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0

Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14
---------------	--------------

Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	149

ESO 198-G24	$02 \ 38 \ 11$	-52 10 40	EPI	M. Turner	8.0
AO0235+164	$02 \ 38 \ 38$	16 37 00	EPI	M. Watson	10.0
GT 0236+610	$02 \ 40 \ 32$	61 13 47	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
GT 0236+610	$02 \ 40 \ 32$	61 13 47	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
GT 0236+610	$02 \ 40 \ 32$	61 13 47	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
GT 0236+610	$02 \ 40 \ 32$	61 13 47	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
NGC 1068	$02 \ 42 \ 41$	-00 00 48	RGS	B. Brinkman	90.0
NGC 1058	$02 \ 43 \ 29$	$37 \ 20 \ 27$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
VY Ari	$02 \ 48 \ 44$	31 06 55	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
MBM 12 Pos.2	02 54 30	$19 \ 48 \ 18$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
MBM 12 Pos.1	02 56 50	$19 \ 32 \ 03$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
A399/401	02 57 53	13 01 60	EPI	M. Turner	9.0
A399/401	02 58 25	13 18 00	EPI	M. Turner	9.0
A399/401	02 58 58	$13 \ 34 \ 00$	EPI	M. Turner	9.0
A399/401	02 59 51	$13 \ 46 \ 60$	EPI	M. Turner	8.0
MS0302.5+1717	03 05 19	$17 \ 28 \ 38$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
Algol	$03 \ 08 \ 10$	40 57 20	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0
EF Eri	$03 \ 14 \ 13$	-22 35 42	EPI	M. Watson	18.0
Marano04	$03 \ 14 \ 30$	$-55 \ 04 \ 16$	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano03	$03 \ 14 \ 30$	$-55 \ 09 \ 25$	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano02	$03 \ 14 \ 30$	-55 14 35	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano01	$03 \ 14 \ 30$	$-55 \ 19 \ 44$	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano08	$03 \ 15 \ 06$	$-55 \ 04 \ 16$	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano07	03 15 06	$-55 \ 09 \ 25$	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano06	03 15 06	-55 14 35	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano05	$03 \ 15 \ 06$	$-55 \ 19 \ 44$	EPI	M. Watson	5.5
Marano pointing $\#12$	$03 \ 15 \ 42$	$-55 \ 04 \ 16$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $\#11$	03 15 42	$-55 \ 09 \ 25$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $\#10$	$03 \ 15 \ 42$	$-55 \ 14 \ 39$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $\#9$	$03 \ 15 \ 42$	$-55 \ 19 \ 44$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $\#16$	$03 \ 16 \ 18$	$-55 \ 04 \ 16$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $\#15$	$03 \ 16 \ 18$	$-55 \ 09 \ 25$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $#14$	$03 \ 16 \ 18$	$-55 \ 14 \ 35$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
Marano pointing $\#13$	$03 \ 16 \ 18$	$-55 \ 19 \ 44$	EPI	M. Turner	6.2
A3112	03 17 56	-44 14 06	EPI	F. Jansen	20.0
NGC 1313	$03 \ 18 \ 14$	-66 30 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	40.0
kap Cet	$03 \ 19 \ 22$	$03 \ 22 \ 13$	RGS	B. Brinkman	35.0
MBM 16	$03 \ 20 \ 00$	11 13 60	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
Mrk 609	$03 \ 25 \ 25$	$-06 \ 08 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
alpha Persei 1	$03 \ 26 \ 16$	48 50 29	EPI	R. Pallavicini	50.0
UX Ari	$03 \ 26 \ 35$	$28 \ 42 \ 54$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
EX0 032957-2606.9	$03 \ 32 \ 05$	-25 56 57	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	\mathbf{EPI}	J. Bergeron	55.0
AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	EPI	J. Bergeron	55.0

					Issue	e/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
M	M Science Operation	ns Team			Date	:	18.01.1999
					Page	:	150
	AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	EPI	J. Bergeron	55.0)
	AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	EPI	J. Bergeron	54.0)
	AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	EPI	J. Bergeron	55.0)
	AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	EPI	J. Bergeron	55.0)
	AXAF Ultra Deep Fiel	$03 \ 32 \ 22$	-27 48 30	EPI	J. Bergeron	55.0)
	$\operatorname{HR} 1084$	03 32 59	$-09\ 27\ 31$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 10.0)
	UZ For	03 35 29	-25 44 23	EPI	M. Watson	12.0)
	UZ For	03 35 29	-25 44 23	EPI	M. Watson	12.0)
	HR 1099	$03 \ 36 \ 47$	00 35 16	RGS	B. Brinkmar	1 30.0)
	SHARC-4	$03 \ 37 \ 45$	$-25 \ 22 \ 26$	EPI	M. Turner	15.0)
	SHARC-4 (linked with	$03 \ 37 \ 45$	$-25 \ 22 \ 26$	EPI	F. Jansen	50.0)
	2A 0335 + 096	$03 \ 38 \ 35$	09 57 54	RGS	B. Brinkmar	n 30.0)
	Pleiades 1	$03 \ 43 \ 44$	$24 \ 43 \ 17$	EPI	M. Turner	60.0)
	IC348	$03 \ 45 \ 00$	32 07 60	EPI	M. Watson	40.0)
	Pleiades-1	$03 \ 47 \ 18$	$24 \ 22 \ 31$	EPI	M. Watson	50.0)
	MBM 12	$03 \ 57 \ 00$	19 53 60	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0)
	Hawaii 167	03 57 22	01 10 56	EPI	M. Watson	20.0)
	PSR J0358+5413	03 58 54	54 13 14	EPI	K. Mason	30.0)
	NGC 1511	03 59 36	$-67 \ 38 \ 07$	EPI	F. Jansen	40.0)
	VW Hyi	04 09 12	$-71 \ 17 \ 46$	EPI	K. Mason	20.0)
	A478 offset-1	$04 \ 12 \ 35$	$10\ 15\ 45$	EPI	M. Turner	19.0)
	A478 offset- 2	$04 \ 12 \ 35$	10 15 45	EPI	M. Turner	21.0)
	A 478	$04 \ 13 \ 25$	$10\ 27\ 54$	RGS	B. Brinkmar	n 110.	0
	Taurus $HD283572$	$04 \ 21 \ 59$	28 18 08	RGS	R. Pallavicir	ni 42.0)
	[HB89]0420-388	$04 \ 22 \ 15$	-38 44 53	EPI	K. Mason	20.0)
	Hyades VB50	$04 \ 24 \ 13$	$14 \ 45 \ 30$	RGS	R. Pallavicir	ni 50.0)
	1H0419-577	$04 \ 25 \ 44$	-57 13 35	EPI	M. Turner	8.0	
	Hyades VB71	$04 \ 28 \ 35$	15 57 45	RGS	R. Pallavicir	ni 50.0)
	A3266_field4	$04 \ 29 \ 50$	$-61 \ 14 \ 40$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 15.0)
	$A3266_field6$	$04 \ 29 \ 50$	$-61 \ 36 \ 50$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 15.0)
	$A3266_field2$	$04 \ 30 \ 35$	$-61 \ 30 \ 10$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 15.0)
	NGC 1569	04 30 46	64 50 53	EPI	M. Turner	15.0)
	L1551 field 1	$04 \ 31 \ 39$	18 10 00	EPI	F. Jansen	50.0)
	$A3266_field1$	$04 \ 31 \ 60$	$-61 \ 20 \ 10$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 15.0)
	A3266_field3	$04 \ 32 \ 40$	$-61 \ 14 \ 40$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 15.0)
	$A3266_field5$	$04 \ 32 \ 40$	$-61 \ 36 \ 50$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 15.0)
	$3C \ 120$	$04 \ 33 \ 11$	$05 \ 21 \ 16$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0)
	A 496	$04 \ 33 \ 38$	-13 15 43	EPI	J. Bleeker	30.0)
	ESO 15- IG 011	04 35 16	$-78 \ 01 \ 57$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch = 5.0	
	J0437-4715	$04 \ 37 \ 16$	$-47 \ 15 \ 08$	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch 70.0)
	[HB89]0438-436	$04 \ 40 \ 17$	$-43 \ 33 \ 09$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0)
	MCG -01-13-025	$04 \ 51 \ 41$	-03 48 34	EPI	B. Aschenba	ch = 5.0	
	RE J0453-42	04 53 25	-42 13 41	EPI	K. Mason	5.0	
	Taurus SU Aur	04 55 59	$30 \ 34 \ 02$	RGS	R. Pallavicir	ni 52.0)

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14

 \mathbf{X} N

		-	Document No.:	XMM-PS-GM-14	Ŧ
			Issue/R	ev.: Issue 1.1	
ns Team			Date:	18.01.1999	
			Page:	151	
			ł		-
$04 \ 57 \ 00$	$-66 \ 25 \ 60$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0	
04 59 35	$01 \ 47 \ 09$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	17.0	
$05 \ 01 \ 06$	-24 25 03	EPI	M. Watson	25.0	
$05 \ 01 \ 46$	-03 59 27	EPI	K. Mason	5.0	
05 05 20	-28 49 05	EPI	M. Watson	44.5	
05 05 43	-67 52 36	RGS	J. Bleeker	40.0	
05 05 55	-68 01 57	EPI	M. Watson	20.0	
05 07 42	-37 30 46	EPI	F. Jansen	40.0	
05 08 59	-68 43 34	RGS	B. Brinkman	25.0	
05 09 32	$-67 \ 31 \ 17$	EPI	M. Watson	39.0	
05 15 15	47 10 19	RGS	F. Jansen	24.0	
05 15 15	47 10 19	RGS	F. Jansen	24.0	
05 16 21	$-10 \ 33 \ 40$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0	
05 19 34	$-69 \ 02 \ 10$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0	
$05 \ 25 \ 29$	-65 59 15	EPI	M. Watson	18.0	
$05 \ 26 \ 02$	-66 05 04	RGS	B. Brinkman	25.0	
$05 \ 31 \ 20$	-65 57 38	EPI	B. Aschenbach	60.0	
$05 \ 31 \ 35$	-46 24 08	EPI	K. Mason	5.0	
05 35 15	$-05 \ 23 \ 29$	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0	
$05 \ 35 \ 26$	-05 54 36	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0	
$05 \ 35 \ 28$	$-69 \ 16 \ 11$	EPI	M. Watson	24.0	
05 35 43	$-66 \ 01 \ 58$	RGS	J. Bleeker	10.0	
05 36 12	$-01 \ 12 \ 07$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0	
05 37 53	$-69 \ 10 \ 12$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	40.0	
05 38 18	-02 36 00	EPI	R. Pallavicini	45.0	
05 38 25	$28\ 17\ 11$	EPI	K. Mason	20.0	
05 38 56	$-64 \ 05 \ 01$	RGS	K. Mason	15.0	
$05 \ 39 \ 39$	-69 44 36	EPI	M. Turner	5.0	
$05 \ 40 \ 11$	$-69 \ 19 \ 58$	RGS	B. Brinkman	35.0	
$05 \ 40 \ 45$	-01 56 30	RGS	B. Brinkman	30.0	
$05 \ 41 \ 43$	-01 54 35	EPI	B. Aschenbach	25.0	
$05 \ 41 \ 47$	$-02 \ 16 \ 37$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	25.0	
$05 \ 42 \ 49$	60 51 31	EPI	K. Mason	5.0	
$05 \ 43 \ 10$	$23 \ 29 \ 06$	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0	
05 50 41	-32 16 18	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0	
05 54 23	$20 \ 16 \ 34$	RGS	B. Brinkman	35.0	
05 58 00	53 53 59	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Watson	13.0	
05 58 02	$-38 \ 20 \ 05$	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	10.0	
06 04 42	-03 50 04	\mathbf{EPI}	F. Jansen	22.0	
$06 \ 14 \ 17$	$22 \ 29 \ 56$	\mathbf{EPI}	F. Jansen	5.0	
	NS Team 04 57 00 04 59 35 05 01 06 05 01 46 05 05 20 05 05 43 05 05 55 05 07 42 05 08 59 05 09 32 05 15 15 05 15 15 05 16 21 05 26 02 05 31 35 05 35 15 05 35 26 05 35 28 05 35 43 05 36 12 05 37 53 05 38 18 05 38 56 05 38 56 05 38 56	ns Team $04 57 00$ -66 25 60 $04 59 35$ 01 47 09 $05 01 06$ -24 25 03 $05 01 46$ -03 59 27 $05 05 20$ -28 49 05 $05 05 43$ -67 52 36 $05 05 55$ -68 01 57 $05 07 42$ -37 30 46 $05 08 59$ -68 43 34 $05 09 32$ -67 31 17 $05 15 15$ 47 10 19 $05 15 15$ 47 10 19 $05 15 15$ 47 10 19 $05 15 29$ -65 59 15 $05 26 02$ -66 05 04 $05 31 20$ -65 57 38 $05 31 35$ -46 24 08 $05 35 15$ -05 23 29 $05 35 26$ -05 54 36 $05 35 28$ -69 16 11 $05 35 28$ -69 16 11 $05 35 28$ -69 10 12 $05 38 18$ -02 36 00 $05 38 25$ 28 17 11 $05 38 56$ -64 05 01 $05 39 39$ -69 44 36 $05 40 11$ -69 19 58 $05 40 45$ -01 56 30 $05 41 47$ -02 16 37 $05 42 49$ 60 51 31 $05 58 00$ 53 53 59 $05 58 00$ 53 53 59 $05 58 00$ 53 53 59 $05 58 02$ -38 20 05 $06 04 42$ -03 50 04 $06 14 17$ 22 29 56	04 57 00 -66 25 60 EPI 04 59 35 01 47 09 EPI 05 01 06 -24 25 03 EPI 05 01 46 -03 59 27 EPI 05 01 46 -03 59 27 EPI 05 05 20 -28 49 05 EPI 05 05 55 -68 01 57 EPI 05 05 55 -68 01 57 EPI 05 07 42 -37 30 46 EPI 05 08 59 -68 43 34 RGS 05 15 15 47 10 19 RGS 05 16 21 -10 33 40 EPI 05 16 21 -10 33 40 EPI 05 31 35 -46 24 08 <	Ins Team Issue/R Document No.: 04 57 00 -66 25 60 EPI K. Mason 04 59 35 01 47 09 EPI B. Aschenbach 05 01 06 -24 25 03 EPI M. Watson 05 01 46 -03 59 27 EPI K. Mason 05 05 43 -67 52 36 RGS J. Bleeker 05 05 43 -67 52 36 RGS J. Bleeker 05 05 43 -67 72 36 EPI M. Watson 05 07 42 -37 30 46 EPI F. Jansen 05 08 59 -68 43 34 RGS B. Brinkman 05 09 32 -67 31 17 EPI M. Watson 05 15 15 47 10 19 RGS F. Jansen 05 16 21 -10 33 40 EPI B. Aschenbach 05 19 34 -69 02 10 RGS B. Brinkman 05 35 15 -05 23 29 RGS B. Brinkman 05 35 26 -05 54 36 RGS B. Brinkman 05 35 28 -69 16 11 EPI M. Watson 05 3	Ins Team Issue/Rev.: Issue/Line 04 57 00 -66 25 60 EPI K. Mason 30.0 04 59 35 01 47 09 EPI B. Aschenbach 17.0 05 01 06 -24 25 03 EPI M. Watson 25.0 05 01 46 -03 59 27 EPI K. Mason 5.0 05 05 20 -28 49 05 EPI M. Watson 24.5 05 05 55 -68 01 57 EPI M. Watson 20.0 05 07 42 -37 30 46 EPI M. Watson 20.0 05 08 59 -68 43 34 RGS B. Brinkman 25.0 05 09 32 -67 31 17 EPI M. Watson 39.0 05 15 15 47 10 19 RGS F. Jansen 24.0 05 20 2 -65 59 15 EPI M. Watson 18.0 05 25 29 -65 57 38 EPI B. Aschenbach 5.0 05 31 20 -65 57 38 EPI M. Mason 5.0 05 35 28 -69 16 11 EPI <td< td=""></td<>

 $06\ 15\ 35$

 $06 \ 15 \ 36$

 $06\ 17\ 07$

 $06 \ 18 \ 40$

MRK 3

 $\rm Mkn\ 3$

X0614 + 091

NGC2146

 $71 \ 02 \ 04$

 $71 \ 02 \ 05$

 $09 \ 08 \ 13$

 $78\ 21\ 23$

EPI

RGS

RGS

EPI

M. Turner

B. Brinkman

B. Brinkman

M. Watson

40.0

60.0

20.0

23.0

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14 Issue/Rev.: Issue 1.1

issue/itev	155uc 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	152

A 0620-00	$06\ 22\ 44$	$-00 \ 20 \ 45$	EPI	M. Watson	40.0
PMN J0623-6436	$06 \ 23 \ 08$	-64 36 21	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
PSR J0631+1036	$06 \ 31 \ 28$	10 36 58	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0
$\operatorname{Geminga}$	$06 \ 33 \ 54$	$17 \ 46 \ 13$	EPI	M. Turner	40.0
$1E \ 0630 + 178$	06 33 55	$17 \ 46 \ 12$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
HD49798	$06\ 48\ 05$	-44 18 54	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
RXJ0658-5557	06 58 17	-55 57 36	EPI	M. Turner	40.0
PSR 0656 + 14	06 59 48	$14 \ 14 \ 21$	RGS	B. Brinkman	10.0
PSR 0656 + 14	06 59 48	$14 \ 14 \ 21$	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
PSR 0656 + 14	06 59 48	$14 \ 14 \ 22$	EPI	K. Mason	15.0
1H 0707-495	$07 \ 08 \ 41$	-49 33 06	EPI	M. Watson	43.0
RXJ 0720-3125	$07 \ 20 \ 25$	-31 25 52	RGS	M. Watson	49.0
YY Gem	$07 \ 34 \ 38$	31 52 15	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0
0738 + 313	$07 \ 41 \ 11$	31 12 00	EPI	R. Griffiths	28.0
UGC 3973	$07 \ 42 \ 33$	$49 \ 48 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
PSR B0740-28	$07 \ 42 \ 49$	-28 22 44	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0
$\operatorname{sig}\operatorname{Gem}$	$07 \ 43 \ 19$	28 52 60	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
YZ CMi	$07 \ 44 \ 42$	$03 \ 33 \ 20$	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
PKS 0754	$07 \ 47 \ 31$	$-19 \ 17 \ 40$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
PKS 0754 offset	$07 \ 48 \ 25$	$-19 \ 06 \ 00$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	30.0
PSR J0751+18	$07 \ 51 \ 09$	18 07 39	EPI	M. Watson	35.0
PQ GEM	$07 \ 51 \ 17$	$14 \ 44 \ 23$	EPI	K. Mason	40.0
U Gem	$07 \ 55 \ 05$	22 00 05	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
fragment E	07 59 36	-44 22 33	EPI	B. Aschenbach	40.0
RXJ0806.4-4123	$08 \ 06 \ 23$	$-41 \ 22 \ 33$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
gam Vel (phase 1)	08 09 32	$-47 \ 20 \ 12$	RGS	B. Brinkman	95.0
PG 0804+761	08 10 59	$76\ 02\ 43$	RGS	F. Jansen	7.0
SU UMa	$08 \ 12 \ 28$	$62 \ 36 \ 22$	EPI	K. Mason	15.0
IX Vel	08 15 19	$-49 \ 13 \ 21$	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
Holmberg II	$08 \ 19 \ 29$	$70 \ 42 \ 23$	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
NGC 2563	$08 \ 20 \ 36$	$21 \ 04 \ 08$	EPI	R. Mushotzky	20.0
Puppis-A NS	$08 \ 22 \ 06$	$-43 \ 02 \ 60$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0
PSR B0823 + 26	$08 \ 26 \ 51$	$26 \ 37 \ 26$	EPI	F. Jansen	50.0
A665	08 30 45	65 52 55	EPI	M. Turner	45.0
A 665	08 30 58	65 50 20	RGS	B. Brinkman	65.0
HVC Complex A	$08 \ 35 \ 00$	34 32 60	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
PSR B0833-45	$08 \ 35 \ 22$	$-45 \ 10 \ 48$	RGS	B. Brinkman	90.0
VELA REG D	$08 \ 35 \ 43$	-42 35 37	EPI	M. Turner	30.0
EI UMa	$08 \ 38 \ 22$	$48 \ 38 \ 01$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
pi1 UMa	$08 \ 39 \ 12$	65 01 15	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
Praesepe	08 39 58	$19 \ 32 \ 29$	EPI	R. Pallavicini	50.0
$S5\ 0836{+}71$	$08 \ 41 \ 24$	70 53 41	EPI	M. Turner	30.0
IC 2391	$08 \ 41 \ 59$	$-53 \ 00 \ 35$	EPI	M. Turner	45.0
Vik 59	$08 \ 47 \ 11$	34 49 16	EPI	F. Jansen	40.0

					Document No	.: AMA	/I-PS-GM-14
					Issu	e/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
	Science Operat	ions Tea	\mathbf{m}		Dat	e:	18.01.1999
					Pag	e:	153
1							1
	PG 0844 + 349	$08 \ 47 \ 42$	$34 \ 45 \ 05$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0	
	fragment GW	$08 \ 47 \ 45$	$-46\ 28\ 51$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	33.0	
	fragment GN	$08 \ 48 \ 58$	$-45 \ 39 \ 03$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	23.0	
	EU Cnc	08 51 27	$11 \ 46 \ 58$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0	
	fragment GC	08 51 50	-46 18 45	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0	
	fragment GS	08 53 14	-47 13 53	EPI	B. Aschenbach	45.0	
	fragment A	08 57 40	-41 51 07	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0	
	Vela X-1	$09 \ 02 \ 07$	-40 33 17	RGS	B. Brinkman	50.0	
	G272.2-3.2	$09 \ 06 \ 46$	-52 07 12	EPI	B. Aschenbach	30.0	
	$A754_{field2}$	$09 \ 08 \ 14$	$-09 \ 36 \ 23$	EPI	M. Turner	12.5	
	PSR B0906-49	$09 \ 08 \ 36$	$-49 \ 13 \ 05$	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0	
	A754_field4	09 08 50	-09 45 53	EPI	M. Turner	12.5	
	A754_field3	$09 \ 09 \ 00$	$-09 \ 31 \ 53$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0	
	A754_field1	09 09 27	-09 40 09	EPI	M. Turner	12.5	
	Hydra A	$09 \ 18 \ 06$	$-12 \ 05 \ 44$	EPI	J. Bleeker	30.0	
	RX J0925.7-4758	$09\ 25\ 46$	-47 58 17	RGS	M. Watson	50.0	
	MN Hya	$09 \ 29 \ 07$	-24 05 05	EPI	K. Mason	5.0	
	IZw18	$09 \ 34 \ 02$	$55 \ 14 \ 20$	EPI	M. Watson	25.0	
	I Zw 18	$09 \ 34 \ 02$	$55 \ 14 \ 25$	EPI	M. Watson	30.0	
	HD82210	$09 \ 34 \ 29$	69 49 45	RGS	F. Jansen	8.0	
	Q0932 + 5006	09 35 53	49 53 13	EPI	R. Griffiths	5.0	
	Cl0939 + 472	$09 \ 43 \ 00$	46 59 30	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0	
	MCG -5-23-16	$09 \ 47 \ 40$	-30 56 54	EPI	R. Griffiths	40.0	
	PG0947 + 396	09 50 48	39 26 51	EPI	M. Watson	17.0	
	PSR B0950+08	09 53 10	$07 \ 55 \ 48$	EPI	F. Jansen	100.0	
	$0952 {+} 179$	09 54 57	$17 \ 43 \ 31$	EPI	R. Griffiths	30.0	
	M81	09 55 33	69 03 55	RGS	B. Brinkman	100.0	
	M82	09 55 50	69 40 45	EPI	M. Turner	30.0	
	PG0953 + 414	09 56 52	$41 \ 15 \ 22$	EPI	M. Watson	10.0	

69 03 40

 $55 \ 40 \ 47$

 $-19 \ 25 \ 34$

 $-20\ 17\ 31$

 $53 \ 07 \ 03$

09 04 42

 $21 \ 41 \ 17$

 $19\ 52\ 12$

 $19\ 51\ 55$

04 11 24

 $-07 \ 19 \ 19$

-43 54 14

 $31 \ 02 \ 56$

-14 16 51

39 38 29

09 57 53

 $10 \ 01 \ 58$

 $10\ 02\ 11$

 $10\ 07\ 34$

 $10\ 12\ 33$

10 15 35

 $10 \ 17 \ 38$

 $10 \ 19 \ 36$

 $10 \ 23 \ 31$

 $10\ 23\ 41$

 $10\ 24\ 39$

 $10\ 27\ 50$

10 30 59

 $10 \ 31 \ 54$

 $10 \ 34 \ 39$

EPI

EPI

 \mathbf{EPI}

EPI

ΕPΙ

EPI

EPI

RGS

RGS

RGS

EPI

EPI

RGS

 \mathbf{EPI}

EPI

M. Watson

M. Watson

K. Mason

K. Mason

M. Watson

K. Mason

M. Turner

F. Jansen

M. Turner

F. Jansen

K. Mason

M. Watson

B. Brinkman

R. Mushotzky

B. Aschenbach

15.0

20.0

5.0

5.0

20.0

5.0

10.0

25.0

33.0

50.0

80.0

15.0

20.0

6.0

10.0

Holmberg IX

RX J1002-19

RX J1007-20

PSR J1012+53

PSR J1024-0719

RX J1015+09

NGC 3185

NGC 3227

NGC 3256

B2 1028+31

HE 1029-1401

REJ1034+396

AD Leo

ZW3146

NGC3079

Document No.: AMM-PS-GM-I	4
---------------------------	---

Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	154

					•
NGC 3310	10 38 45	53 30 11	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	-59 40 37	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	-59 40 37	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	$-59 \ 40 \ 37$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	-59 40 37	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	$-59 \ 40 \ 37$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	-59 40 37	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
WR 22	$10 \ 41 \ 18$	$-59 \ 40 \ 37$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
IC 2602	$10 \ 42 \ 41$	$-64 \ 21 \ 01$	EPI	R. Pallavicini	45.0
WR 25	$10 \ 44 \ 11$	-59 43 11	RGS	B. Brinkman	50.0
WR 25	$10 \ 44 \ 11$	-59 43 11	RGS	K. Mason	20.0
WR 25	$10 \ 44 \ 11$	-59 43 11	RGS	K. Mason	20.0
Eta Carinae	$10 \ 45 \ 02$	-59 40 48	RGS	B. Brinkman	50.0
HD 93403	10 45 44	-59 24 28	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
HD 93403	$10 \ 45 \ 44$	$-59\ 24\ 28$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
HD 93403	10 45 44	$-59\ 24\ 28$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
HD 93403	10 45 44	$-59\ 24\ 28$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
FH UMa	10 47 10	$63 \ 35 \ 13$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
1E 1048.1-5937	10 50 09	-59 53 19	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
EK UMa	$10\ 51\ 35$	54 04 36	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
PG1048+342	10 51 43	33 59 27	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
MS1054.4-0321	105660	-03 37 27	EPI	M. Watson	40.0
PSR 1055-52	$10\ 57\ 59$	$-52\ 26\ 56$	EPI	M. Turner	80.0
NGC 3486	$11\ 00\ 23$	28 58 29	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
Polaris flare	11 01 00	86 10 00	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
MK 728	$11 \ 01 \ 01$	$11 \ 02 \ 46$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
TW Hva	$11 \ 01 \ 58$	-34 42 12	EPI	B. Aschenbach	21.0
G290.1-0.8	$11 \ 03 \ 00$	-60 53 60	EPI	M. Watson	9.0
AN UMa	$11 \ 04 \ 26$	$45 \ 03 \ 14$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
ST LMi	$11 \ 05 \ 40$	25 06 28	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	5.0
WR 40	$11 \ 06 \ 17$	$-65 \ 30 \ 35$	EPI	K. Mason	15.0
HE 1104-1805	$11 \ 06 \ 33$	-18 21 24	EPI	M. Turner	35.0
NGC 3516	$11 \ 06 \ 42$	$72 \ 33 \ 26$	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
NGC 3516	$11 \ 06 \ 47$	$72 \ 34 \ 08$	RGS	R. Mushotzky	140.0
[SP89]1107+487	$11 \ 10 \ 38$	$48 \ 31 \ 16$	EPI	K. Mason	80.0
AR UMa	$11 \ 15 \ 45$	42 58 23	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
PG1114+445	$11\ 17\ 06$	$44 \ 13 \ 33$	EPI	K. Mason	45.0
DP Leo	$11\ 17\ 16$	$17 \ 57 \ 41$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
PG1115+407	$11 \ 18 \ 30$	$40\ 25\ 54$	EPI	M. Watson	18.0
PG1116+215	$11 \ 19 \ 09$	21 19 18	EPI	M. Watson	7.0
RXJ 1120.1	$11\ 20\ 07$	$43 \ 18 \ 05$	EPI	F. Jansen	20.0
NGC 3628	$11\ 20\ 17$	$13 \ 35 \ 20$	EPI	F. Jansen	60.0
Cen X-3	$11\ 21\ 15$	$-60\ 37\ 24$	RGS	B. Brinkman	50.0
HCG51	$11\ 22\ 21$	24 17 35	EPI	M. Turner	10.0

Document No.:XMM-PS-GM-14Issue/Rev.:Issue/Rev.:Issue/Rev.:Issue 1.1Date:18.01.1999

Page:

155

Z 1121.5+0630	$11 \ 24 \ 08$	$06 \ 12 \ 56$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
MSH 11-54	$11 \ 24 \ 36$	-59 16 00	RGS	J. Bleeker	24.0
HVC Complex M	$11 \ 26 \ 00$	42 52 60	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
NGC 3690	$11 \ 28 \ 30$	58 33 43	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
1127-145	$11 \ 30 \ 07$	-14 49 27	EPI	R. Griffiths	30.0
B2 1128+31	$11 \ 31 \ 09$	$31 \ 14 \ 07$	RGS	F. Jansen	18.0
CoD-33_7795	$11 \ 31 \ 55$	-34 36 25	EPI	B. Aschenbach	29.0
T Leo	$11 \ 38 \ 27$	$03 \ 22 \ 07$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
NGC 3783	$11 \ 39 \ 02$	-37 44 19	RGS	B. Brinkman	30.0
MS1137.5 + 6625	$11 \ 40 \ 23$	66 08 41	EPI	M. Watson	40.0
RX J1141-6410	$11 \ 41 \ 23$	-64 10 15	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
RE J1149+28	$11 \ 49 \ 56$	$28 \ 45 \ 08$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
NGC 3941	$11 \ 52 \ 55$	36 59 10	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
A1413	$11 \ 55 \ 19$	$23 \ 24 \ 36$	EPI	M. Turner	24.0
NGC 4051	12 03 10	$44 \ 31 \ 53$	EPI	K. Mason	150.0
N79-299A	$12 \ 04 \ 10$	$20\ 13\ 18$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
PG1202+281	$12 \ 04 \ 42$	$27 \ 54 \ 11$	EPI	K. Mason	17.0
WR 46	12 05 19	$-62 \ 03 \ 08$	EPI	K. Mason	80.0
NGC 4138	12 09 30	$43 \ 41 \ 04$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
1E 1207-5209 / PKS 1	12 10 01	$-52 \ 26 \ 20$	EPI	M. Turner	30.0
NGC 4151	12 10 25	39 23 43	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
NGC 4151	$12 \ 10 \ 32$	$39\ 24\ 20$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
NGC 4151	12 10 33	$39\ 24\ 21$	EPI	R. Griffiths	72.0
MS1208.7+3928	$12 \ 11 \ 16$	39 12 06	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
NGC 4168	$12 \ 12 \ 16$	$13 \ 12 \ 23$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
PG 1211+143	$12 \ 14 \ 17$	14 03 12	EPI	M. Turner	50.0
G299.2-2.9	$12 \ 15 \ 12$	$-65 \ 30 \ 00$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
Mkn 766	12 18 26	29 48 46	EPI	K. Mason	150.0
NGC 4258	12 18 58	$47 \ 18 \ 14$	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
PG1216 + 069	$12 \ 19 \ 21$	$06 \ 38 \ 38$	EPI	M. Watson	17.0
1H1219 + 301	$12 \ 21 \ 22$	30 10 37	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
RXJ122135.6+280613	$12 \ 21 \ 36$	28 06 14	EPI	K. Mason	40.0
NGC 4321	$12 \ 22 \ 55$	$15 \ 49 \ 12$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	30.0
NGC 4325	$12 \ 23 \ 07$	$10 \ 37 \ 16$	EPI	R. Mushotzky	20.0
PSR B1221-63	$12 \ 24 \ 22$	$-64 \ 07 \ 55$	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0
NGC 4388	$12 \ 25 \ 46$	$12 \ 39 \ 40$	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
NGC 4388	$12 \ 25 \ 47$	$12 \ 39 \ 41$	EPI	M. Watson	10.0
NGC 4395	$12 \ 25 \ 48$	$33 \ 32 \ 50$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
NGC 4395	$12 \ 26 \ 01$	$33 \ 31 \ 23$	EPI	M. Watson	15.0
NGC 4406 (M86)	$12 \ 26 \ 12$	12 56 48	EPI	B. Aschenbach	84.0
HI1225+01	$12\ 27\ 19$	$01 \ 29 \ 24$	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
NGC 4449	$12 \ 28 \ 09$	$44 \ 05 \ 10$	EPI	M. Watson	25.0
3C 273	$12 \ 29 \ 06$	02 03 07	EPI	M. Turner	40.0
NGC 4472	$12 \ 29 \ 46$	$07 \ 59 \ 47$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14

Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	156

NGC 4477	$12 \ 30 \ 02$	13 38 10	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
NGC 4490	12 30 36	$41 \ 38 \ 41$	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
Virgo 1	12 30 50	$12 \ 43 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
Virgo 2	12 30 50	$13 \ 03 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
Virgo 3	12 30 50	$13 \ 23 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	6.0
Virgo 4	12 30 50	$13 \ 43 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	7.5
Virgo 5	12 30 50	14 03 30	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
Virgo 6	12 30 50	$14 \ 23 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	11.0
Virgo 7	12 30 50	$14 \ 43 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	7.5
Virgo 8	12 30 50	15 03 30	EPI	B. Aschenbach	9.0
Virgo 9	12 30 50	$15 \ 23 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	11.0
Virgo 10	12 30 50	$15 \ 43 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	12.0
Virgo 11	12 30 50	$16 \ 03 \ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	12.0
Virgo 12	12 30 50	$16\ 23\ 30$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	12.0
Virgo 13	12 30 50	17 53 30	EPI	B. Aschenbach	12.0
NGP Rift 1	$12 \ 31 \ 12$	20 48 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
NGP Rift 2	$12 \ 31 \ 12$	21 18 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
NGP Rift 3	$12 \ 31 \ 12$	$21 \ 48 \ 00$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
NGC 4501	$12 \ 31 \ 58$	$14 \ 25 \ 09$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
NGC 4565	$12 \ 36 \ 20$	25 59 16	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
HDF	12 36 48	$62 \ 13 \ 12$	EPI	R. Griffiths	90.0
Hubble Deep Field	12 36 50	$62\ 12\ 58$	EPI	F. Jansen	135.0
NGC 4579	$12 \ 37 \ 43$	$11 \ 49 \ 04$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
NGC 4579	$12 \ 37 \ 44$	$11 \ 49 \ 05$	EPI	R. Griffiths	10.0
NGC 4593	12 39 39	$-05 \ 20 \ 39$	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
NGC 4631	$12 \ 42 \ 06$	$32 \ 32 \ 21$	EPI	M. Watson	50.0
NGC4636	$12 \ 42 \ 48$	$02 \ 41 \ 18$	RGS	B. Brinkman	100.0
NGC 4639	$12 \ 42 \ 52$	$13 \ 15 \ 26$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
WR 47	$12 \ 44 \ 25$	-63 05 16	EPI	K. Mason	50.0
WR 47	$12 \ 44 \ 25$	-63 05 16	EPI	K. Mason	50.0
WR 47	$12 \ 44 \ 25$	-63 05 16	EPI	K. Mason	50.0
NGC 4666	$12 \ 45 \ 09$	$-00\ 27\ 38$	EPI	F. Jansen	60.0
NGC 4698	$12 \ 48 \ 22$	$08 \ 29 \ 14$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
NGC 4725	12 50 26	25 30 05	EPI	M. Turner	15.0
EX Hya	12 52 25	$-29 \ 14 \ 57$	RGS	B. Brinkman	100.0
HCG62	12 53 06	-09 11 60	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
HD113226	$13 \ 02 \ 11$	10 57 32	RGS	F. Jansen	24.0
NGC 4945	13 05 26	-49 28 14	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
EV UMa	$13 \ 07 \ 54$	53 51 30	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
PG $1307 + 085$	13 09 47	$08 \ 19 \ 49$	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Watson	12.0
NGC5005	13 10 56	37 03 33	EPI	M. Watson	10.0
PG1309 + 355	$13 \ 12 \ 18$	35 15 21	EPI	K. Mason	25.0
RE J1313-32	$13 \ 13 \ 17$	-32 59 09	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	5.0
NGC 5033	13 13 27	36 35 39	EPI	M. Turner	5.0

					Issue/Re	ev.: Iss	sue 1.1
M Science Operation	s Team				Date:	18.0	01.199
					Page:		157
RGH80	13 20 13	33 07 54	EPI	B. As	chenbach	30.0	
H1320+551	$13 \ 22 \ 49$	54 55 29	EPI	M. W.	atson	20.0	
PG1322+659	$13 \ 23 \ 49$	$65 \ 41 \ 48$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	13.0	
NGC 5129	$13 \ 24 \ 10$	13 58 34	EPI	R. Mu	ıshotzkv	25.0	
IRAS 13224-3809	$13 \ 25 \ 20$	-38 24 55	EPI	M. W.	atson	70.0	
Omega Cen (NGC 5139)	$13 \ 26 \ 47$	$-47\ 28\ 35$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	40.0	
LSS 3074	$13 \ 26 \ 59$	$-62 \ 02 \ 16$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	20.0	
A3558	$13 \ 27 \ 55$	-31 29 24	EPI	B. As	chenbach	50.0	
A 3558	$13 \ 27 \ 57$	$-31 \ 30 \ 24$	EPI	J. Ble	eker	25.0	
NGC 5194	$13 \ 29 \ 52$	$47 \ 11 \ 53$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	10.0	
M51	$13 \ 29 \ 52$	$47 \ 11 \ 54$	EPI	R. Gr	m iffiths	10.0	
HD117555	$13 \ 30 \ 47$	24 13 59	RGS	F. Jar	nsen	24.0	
HD117555	$13 \ 30 \ 47$	24 13 59	RGS	F. Jar	nsen	24.0	
A 1750	13 30 54	-01 49 60	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	30.0	
A3562_field2	$13 \ 31 \ 51$	-31 48 00	EPI	B. As	$\operatorname{chenbach}$	15.0	
A3562_field4	$13 \ 31 \ 60$	-31 39 40	EPI	B. As	$\operatorname{chenbach}$	15.0	
A3562_field5	$13 \ 32 \ 25$	-31 53 40	EPI	B. As	$\operatorname{chenbach}$	15.0	
A3562_field1	$13 \ 33 \ 11$	-31 41 60	EPI	B. As	chenbach	15.0	
A3562_field3	13 33 60	-31 30 25	EPI	B. As	chenbach	15.0	
RXJ 1334.3	$13 \ 34 \ 20$	$50 \ 30 \ 54$	EPI	M. W	atson	40.0	
A3562_field6	$13 \ 34 \ 20$	-31 44 25	EPI	B. As	chenbach	15.0	
Deep Field 1334+37	$13 \ 34 \ 37$	$37 \ 54 \ 44$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	200.0	
MS1333.3+1725	$13 \ 35 \ 49$	$17 \ 09 \ 53$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	10.0	
MCG-6-30-15	13 35 54	-34 17 45	RGS	B. Bri	inkman	50.0	
MCG-6-30-15	13 35 54	-34 17 42	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	25.0	
MCG-6-30-15	13 35 54	-34 17 42	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	25.0	
M 83	13 37 00	-29 51 51	EPI	M. W	atson	30.0	
QSO Concentration N	$13 \ 38 \ 31$	28 05 47	EPI	M. W	atson	25.0	
1WGA 1339.2+2717	$13 \ 39 \ 14$	$27\ 17\ 25$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	10.0	
F864-4	$13 \ 41 \ 24$	$00 \ 00 \ 00$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
F864-1	$13 \ 41 \ 24$	$00 \ 24 \ 00$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
F864-7	$13 \ 41 \ 24$	-00 24 00	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
Abell 1775	$13 \ 41 \ 50$	$26 \ 21 \ 00$	EPI	R. Mu	ıshotzky	32.0	
NGC 5273	$13 \ 42 \ 08$	35 39 14	EPI	M. Tu	rner	10.0	
F864-5	$13 \ 43 \ 00$	$00 \ 00 \ 00$	EPI	M. W	atson	5.0	
F864-2	$13 \ 43 \ 00$	$00 \ 24 \ 00$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
F864-8	$13 \ 43 \ 00$	-00 24 00	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
F864-6	$13 \ 44 \ 36$	$00 \ 00 \ 00$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
F864-3	$13 \ 44 \ 36$	$00\ 24\ 00$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
F864-9	$13 \ 44 \ 36$	-00 24 00	EPI	M. Wa	atson	5.0	
Mrk 273	$13\ 44\ 42$	55 53 13	EPI	B. As	chenbach	20.0	
RXJ1347-1145	$13 \ 47 \ 31$	-11 45 09	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	40.0	
QSO 1345+584	$13 \ 47 \ 41$	$58 \ 12 \ 42$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	50.0	
E1346+266	$13 \ 48 \ 35$	26 22 07	EPI	K. Ma	ason	80.0	

	T				Issue/Re	ev.:]	ssue 1.1
A Science Operation	is Team				Date:	13	3.01.199
					Page:		158
IC 4329a	13 49 19	-30 18 34	RGS	F. Jar	nsen	11.0	
SHARC-1	13 54 17	$-02 \ 21 \ 46$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	30.0	
PG1352+183	13 54 36	18 05 18	EPI	K. Ma	ason	15.0	
A 1837	$14 \ 01 \ 36$	-11 07 44	RGS	B. Bri	inkman	50.0	
M101	14 03 10	$54 \ 20 \ 24$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	45.0	
m NGC~5408	14 03 17	$-41 \ 22 \ 48$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	10.0	
NGC 5408	14 03 19	$-41 \ 23 \ 05$	EPI	M. Wa	atson	10.0	
PG1402+261	14 05 16	25 55 34	EPI	K. Ma	ason	9.0	
V834 Cen	$14 \ 09 \ 08$	$-45 \ 17 \ 18$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	5.0	
Circinus Galaxy	14 13 09	$-65 \ 20 \ 20$	RGS	B. Bri	inkman	91.0	
PG 1411+442	$14 \ 13 \ 48$	44 00 14	EPI	B. As	chenbach	40.0	
H1413 + 117	14 15 46	$11 \ 29 \ 44$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	50.0	
PG1415+451	14 17 01	44 56 06	EPI	K. Ma	ason	20.0	
Groth-Westphal HST s	$14 \ 17 \ 12$	$52 \ 23 \ 60$	EPI	R. Gr	iffiths	70.0	
NGC 5548	14 17 59	25 08 12	RGS	B. Bri	inkman	20.0	
H1419 + 480	$14 \ 21 \ 30$	$47 \ 47 \ 27$	EPI	M. W	atson	20.0	
A1914	$14 \ 26 \ 02$	$37 \ 49 \ 48$	EPI	M. Tu	Irner	24.0	
PG1425 + 267	$14 \ 27 \ 35$	$26 \ 32 \ 14$	EPI	M. W	atson	60.0	
H1426 + 42	$14 \ 28 \ 33$	$42 \ 40 \ 21$	RGS	B. Bri	inkman	50.0	
MARK 1383	$14 \ 29 \ 06$	$01 \ 17 \ 06$	RGS	F. Jar	nsen	8.0	
V895 Cen	$14 \ 29 \ 27$	-38 04 10	EPI	K. Ma	ason	5.0	
PG1427+480	$14 \ 29 \ 43$	$47 \ 47 \ 27$	EPI	K. Ma	ason	40.0	
GB1428+4217	14 30 24	$42 \ 04 \ 36$	EPI	M. W	atson	20.0	
NGC5689	14 35 30	$48 \ 44 \ 30$	EPI	M. W	atson	10.0	
EK Dra	14 39 00	64 17 32	RGS	B. Bri	inkman	40.0	
RCW 86 (SW-N)	$14 \ 40 \ 33$	$-62 \ 36 \ 04$	EPI	J. Ble	eker	8.0	
RCW 86 (SW-S)	$14 \ 40 \ 57$	-62 43 07	EPI	J. Ble	eker	7.0	
RCW 86 (NW)	$14 \ 42 \ 02$	-62 12 57	EPI	J. Ble	eker	15.0	
PG1440+356	$14 \ 42 \ 07$	$35 \ 26 \ 23$	EPI	M. W	atson	6.0	
Mkn478	$14 \ 42 \ 07$	$35 \ 26 \ 24$	RGS	R. Mu	ıshotzky	20.0	
RCW 86 (SE)	$14 \ 42 \ 55$	-62 37 38	EPI	J. Ble	eker	15.0	
Q1442+2931	$14 \ 44 \ 54$	29 19 05	EPI	B. As	chenbach	40.0	
$\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{RCW}}$ 86 (NE)	$14 \ 45 \ 15$	$-62 \ 22 \ 26$	EPI	J. Ble	eker	15.0	
PG1444+407	$14 \ 46 \ 46$	40 35 06	EPI	K. Ma	ason	20.0	
PSR B1449-64	14 53 33	-64 13 16	EPI	F. Jar	nsen	5.0	
E1455 + 2232	14 57 14	$22 \ 20 \ 24$	RGS	R. Mu	ıshotzkv	50.0	
1WGA 1501.9+4009	$15 \ 01 \ 58$	40 09 38	EPI	K. Ma	ason	10.0	
SN 1006 SW	15 01 60	-42 07 00	$\overline{\mathrm{EPI}}$	M. W	atson	5.0	
SN 1006 NW	$15 \ 02 \ 50$	-41 46 60	$\overline{\mathrm{EPI}}$	M. W	atson	5.0	
44 BOO	$15 \ 03 \ 49$	47 39 14	RGS	F. Jar	nsen	25.0	
SN 1006 NE	$15 \ 03 \ 50$	-41 46 60	EPI	M. W	atson	5.0	
GN 1000 GE	15 03 50	42 07 00	EDI	MW	atson	5.0	

MKN 841

GB1508 + 5714

 $15 \ 03 \ 53$

 $15 \ 10 \ 03$

 $10\ 25\ 52$

 $57\ 02\ 44$

EPI

EPI

M. Turner

M. Watson

7.0

20.0

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14

				I	
I Science Operation	Toom			Issue/Re	ev.: Issue I
a science Operations	s ream			Date: Page:	10.01.18
				I age.	159
A2029	$15 \ 10 \ 56$	$05 \ 44 \ 42$	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
PG1512 + 370	$15 \ 14 \ 43$	36 50 50	EPI	M. Watson	20.0
CGCG 21-63	$15 \ 16 \ 40$	$00 \ 14 \ 54$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
A 2052	$15 \ 16 \ 44$	$07 \ 01 \ 18$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
MKW 3s	$15 \ 21 \ 50$	$07 \ 41 \ 27$	RGS	B. Brinkman	50.0
A 2065	$15 \ 22 \ 42$	$27 \ 43 \ 00$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
Q1524 + 5147	$15 \ 25 \ 54$	$51 \ 36 \ 50$	EPI	R. Griffiths	5.0
UZ LIB	$15 \ 32 \ 23$	-08 32 05	RGS	F. Jansen	24.0
UZ LIB	$15 \ 32 \ 23$	-08 32 05	RGS	F. Jansen	24.0
alph CrB	$15 \ 34 \ 41$	$26\ 42\ 53$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
Arp 220	$15 \ 34 \ 57$	23 30 16	EPI	B. Aschenbach	25.0
MS1532.5+0130	15 35 03	$01 \ 20 \ 58$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
RXJ1548.2-4528	$15 \ 48 \ 14$	$-45 \ 28 \ 45$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
HD141714	$15 \ 49 \ 36$	26 04 09	RGS	F. Jansen	11.0
MR Ser	15 52 47	18 56 27	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
SCO-CEN PT1	15 56 25	-23 37 47	EPI	M. Turner	45.0
1556 + 3517	15 56 34	35 17 57	EPI	M. Watson	6.0
IRAS 16007+8137	15 56 51	$81 \ 28 \ 56$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
Abell 2142	15 58 20	$27 \ 13 \ 48$	EPI	F. Jansen	70.0
Mrk 493	15 59 10	35 01 45	EPI	M. Turner	8.0
A 2142 PTG2	15 59 16	$27 \ 28 \ 08$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
MARK 876	16 13 57	65 43 09	RGS	F. Jansen	10.0
Sco Cen field 1	$16 \ 14 \ 00$	-23 00 00	EPI	F. Jansen	50.0
A2163 offset-3	$16 \ 14 \ 34$	-06 09 00	EPI	M. Turner	25.0
sig CrB	$16 \ 14 \ 41$	$33 \ 51 \ 30$	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
A2163 offset-2	$16 \ 15 \ 46$	-05 50 60	EPI	M. Turner	25.0
A2163	$16 \ 15 \ 46$	-06 09 00	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
A2163 offset-4	$16 \ 15 \ 46$	$-06 \ 27 \ 00$	EPI	M. Turner	25.0
A2163 offset-1	$16 \ 16 \ 48$	-06 09 00	EPI	M. Turner	25.0
1E 1613-5055 / RCW 1	$16 \ 17 \ 35$	$-51 \ 02 \ 25$	EPI	M. Turner	50.0
HVCs/Draco #1	16 18 60	60 00 00	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
MS1621.5+2640	$16 \ 23 \ 36$	26 33 50	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
Rho Oph field 1	$16\ 25\ 17$	-24 16 48	EPI	F. Jansen	50.0
RHO OPH CORE	$16\ 27\ 26$	-24 40 48	EPI	M. Watson	25.0
PG1626+554	$16 \ 27 \ 56$	$55 \ 22 \ 31$	EPI	K. Mason	9.0
4U 1627-67	$16 \ 32 \ 17$	$-67 \ 27 \ 42$	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
A2204	$16 \ 32 \ 46$	$05 \ 34 \ 48$	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
HVCs/Draco #2	$16 \ 34 \ 60$	$63 \ 52 \ 60$	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
A2218	$16 \ 35 \ 48$	$66 \ 12 \ 36$	$\overline{\mathrm{EPI}}$	M. Turner	40.0
tau Sco	$16 \ 35 \ 53$	-28 12 58	$\overline{\rm RGS}$	B. Brinkman	20.0
PSR B1634-45	$16 \ 37 \ 59$	-45 53 28	EPI	F. Jansen	5.0
A2219	16 40 24	46 42 36	EPI	M. Turner	30.0
Ophiuchus cloud	$16 \ 40 \ 48$	-24 06 00	$\overline{\mathrm{EPI}}$	B. Aschenbach	15.0
Ophinahug aloud 9	16 40 48	24 23 60	FDI	B Aschonbach	15 0

Document No.: XMM-PS-GM-14

Issue/Rev.:	Issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	160

Ophiuchus cloud 3	$16 \ 40 \ 48$	-24 41 60	EPI	B. Aschenbach	15.0
X1636-536	$16\ 40\ 55$	-53 45 05	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
Draco cloud $\#1$	$16\ 51\ 00$	60 58 00	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
NGC 6240	$16\ 52\ 59$	$02 \ 24 \ 04$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
Mkn 501	$16\ 53\ 52$	39 45 37	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
North Polar Spur	16 53 60	14 18 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
North Polar Spur 2	$16\ 53\ 60$	14 54 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
North Polar Spur 3	$16\ 53\ 60$	15 30 00	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
GRO J1655-40	$16\ 54\ 00$	-39 50 45	EPI	M. Watson	17.0
GRO J1655-40	$16\ 54\ 00$	-39 50 45	EPI	M. Watson	35.0
GRO J1655-40	$16\ 54\ 00$	-39 50 45	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
HD 152248	$16\ 54\ 10$	$-41 \ 49 \ 30$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
HD 152248	$16\ 54\ 10$	$-41 \ 49 \ 30$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
HD 152248	$16\ 54\ 10$	$-41 \ 49 \ 30$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
HD 152248	$16\ 54\ 10$	$-41 \ 49 \ 30$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
HD 152248	$16\ 54\ 10$	$-41 \ 49 \ 30$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
HD 152248	$16\ 54\ 10$	$-41 \ 49 \ 30$	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
Her X-1	$16\ 57\ 50$	$35 \ 20 \ 33$	RGS	B. Brinkman	100.0
Draco cloud $\#2$	16 57 60	$61 \ 32 \ 60$	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
A2256_field2	$16\ 59\ 22$	78 38 56	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
A2256_field4	$16\ 59\ 46$	78 58 28	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
A2256_field6	$16\ 59\ 59$	78 19 13	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
Finger/Draco #1	17 00 00	$59 \ 40 \ 00$	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
RXJ 1701.3	$17 \ 01 \ 23$	64 14 08	EPI	F. Jansen	20.0
GX 339-4	$17 \ 02 \ 46$	-48 47 37	EPI	B. Aschenbach	9.0
GX 339-4	$17 \ 02 \ 49$	-48 47 22	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
IRAS17020 + 4544	17 03 30	$45 \ 40 \ 44$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
Abell 2256	17 03 36	$78 \ 43 \ 00$	EPI	F. Jansen	50.0
G344.7-0.1	17 03 60	$-41 \ 42 \ 30$	EPI	M. Watson	9.0
PSR J1705-1906	17 05 36	-19 06 38	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
Finger/Draco $#2$	$17 \ 07 \ 00$	59 00 00	EPI	F. Jansen	10.0
$A2256_{field5}$	$17 \ 07 \ 43$	$78 \ 19 \ 41$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
A2256_field3	$17 \ 07 \ 52$	78 57 41	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
A2256_field1	$17 \ 07 \ 55$	78 37 56	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
X1705-440	17 08 55	-44 06 02	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
PSR J1709-4428	$17 \ 09 \ 42$	$-44 \ 28 \ 57$	EPI	K. Mason	90.0
RXJ1712.6-2414	$17 \ 12 \ 36$	-24 14 41	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	20.0
A2255	$17 \ 12 \ 45$	$64 \ 04 \ 00$	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Turner	20.0
GRS 1716-249	17 19 37	$-25 \ 01 \ 03$	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
PSR B1719-37	$17 \ 22 \ 59$	$-37 \ 12 \ 04$	\mathbf{EPI}	F. Jansen	5.0
B2 1721+34	$17 \ 23 \ 21$	34 17 59	RGS	F. Jansen	9.0
46P/Wirtanen	$17 \ 29 \ 56$	-22 51 18	EPI	F. Jansen	22.0
PSR J1730-3350	17 30 33	-33 50 43	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
GC9	$17 \ 43 \ 27$	$-29 \ 47 \ 34$	EPI	M. Turner	15.0

				Jocument No.:	AMM-PS-G
	m			Issue/I	Rev.: Issue
A Science Operation	is Team			Date:	18.01.
				Page:	16
1E1740 7-2942	17 44 03	-29 43 25	EPI	M Turner	10.0
GC8	$17 \ 11 \ 05$ $17 \ 44 \ 04$	-29 34 25	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
GBO 11744-28	$17 \ 11 \ 01$ $17 \ 44 \ 34$	-28 45 22	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
GC7	$17 \ 11 \ 01$ $17 \ 44 \ 44$	-29 20 23	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
Sor A*	$17 \ 11 \ 11$ $17 \ 45 \ 40$	-29 00 28	EPI	B Aschenbach	50.0
GC6	$17 \ 10 \ 10$ $17 \ 45 \ 40$	-29 00 28	EPI	M Turner	25.0
PSR B1742-30	17 45 56	-29 00 23 -30 40 23	EPI	F Jansen	5.0
GC10	$17 \ 16 \ 08$	-29 23 04	EPI	M Turner	15.0
GC4	$17 \ 10 \ 00$ $17 \ 46 \ 10$	-28 38 37	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
CC5	17 40 10 17 46 26	-28 51 06	EPI	M. Turner M. Turner	20.0
CC3	17 40 20 17 47 10	-28 28 03	EDI	M. Turner	20.0
	17 47 10		EDI I	M. Turner	15.0
CC1		-28 09 02	EDI EDI	M. Turner	15.0
DCD 11757 9491		-28 05 00 24 21 57	EDI EDI	K Magon	5.0
HD163003	17 57 46	$-24\ 21\ 57$		K. Mason E. Janson	5.0 12.0
NCC 6552		29 14 55 66 26 54	FDI	F. Jansen M. Turpor	12.0
NGO 0552 V2301 Oph	18 00 07	$00 \ 30 \ 34$	EDI EDI	K Magon	10.0 5.0
V2301 Opii DCD D1757 944		$00\ 10\ 12$		K. Mason	5.0
P S R D I 101 - 244	10 01 00	-24 01 29		r. Jansen M. Tunn on	0.0 10.0
GRS 1700-200 DE 11000 + 10	10 01 10	-20 44 29		M. Turner K. Magar	10.0
$\frac{\text{RE J1002+10}}{\text{Compat 10D } / \text{Tempal 2}}$	10 02 07 10 11 04	10 04 40		R. Mason	5.0
Comet IUP / Temper 2	10 11 24 10 11 22			D. Aschenbach	1 40.0
AM Her	10 11 00	-19 20 00	DCG	M. Turner	30.0
AWI HER Compate 10D / Terminal 9	10 10 10	49.02.03	RG5 EDI	D. Drinkinan D. Asabarbaal	80.0
Comet 10P / Tempel 2	$10 \ 10 \ 22$ $10 \ 21 \ 20$	-29 17 40		D. Aschenbach	1 30.0
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	10 21 29	-29 39 31		D. Aschenbach	
$R \cup V = 10217 + 01419$	10 21 07		EP1 EDI	IVI. Watson	0.0
P/Shoemaker	18 24 34		EPI EDI	F. Jansen V. Magan	22.0
P 5R J 1020-0900 V 1000 971	10 20 01	-09 33 23	DP1 OM	K. Mason	5.0
A1022-011 Didma 1	10 20 47 19 95 56	-37 00 18	DM EDI	M. Watson	50.0
Ridge_1	18 20 00	-12 15 55		M. Watson	9.0
Ridge_2	10 20 44	$-11 \ 01 \ 20$		M. Watson	9.0
A quile Diff	10 27 32	-11 29 19		D Agabaphaal	9.0
Aquila nili	10 27 00	-03 30 00		D. Aschenbach	1 20.0
niuge_4	10 20 19			M. Watson	9.0
Kidge_D	18 29 00	-10 45 03	EPI EDI	M. watson	9.0
Aquila Rift 2	18 29 30	-03 30 00	EPI EDI	B. Aschenbach	1 20.0
Aquita nitt ə NCC 6656 (M22)	10 31 12	-U3 3U UU 99 E4 07	בינים נינים	D. Aschendach	1 20.0
$\mathbf{MGU} = 0000 (\mathbf{MZZ})$	10 30 24	-23 34 U/	EPI EDI	WI. LURNER	40.0
ESU 103-G35 V947 D-	18 38 20	-05 25 42	EPI EDI	K. Mason	10.0
V 347 Pav	18 44 48	-74 18 33	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
KX J1846.9+5538	18 46 59	55 38 34	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
PSK J1850+0113	18 56 11	01 13 21	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
KAJ1850.6-3754	18 56 35	-37 54 34	EPI	B. Aschenbach	1 50.0
R CR A	$19 \ 01 \ 54$	$-36\ 57\ 04$	$\rm EPI$	M. Watson	20.0

Documer

issue/nev.:	issue 1.1
Date:	18.01.1999
Page:	162

EP Dra	19 07 06	69 08 42	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
Aql X-1	$19 \ 11 \ 16$	$00 \ 35 \ 06$	EPI	M. Turner	13.0
SS 433	$19 \ 11 \ 50$	04 58 58	RGS	B. Brinkman	150.0
SS433	$19 \ 11 \ 50$	04 58 58	EPI	B. Aschenbach	40.0
SS433 / 2	$19 \ 11 \ 50$	04 58 58	EPI	B. Aschenbach	40.0
RX J1914.4+2456	$19 \ 14 \ 26$	24 56 40	EPI	K. Mason	20.0
RXJ1914.4 + 2456	$19 \ 14 \ 26$	24 56 45	EPI	B. Aschenbach	20.0
GRS $1915 + 105$	19 15 11	10 56 44	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
GRS 1915+105	19 15 11	10 56 44	EPI	M. Turner	10.0
GRS 1915+105	19 15 11	10 56 44	EPI	M. Watson	23.0
ESO 141-G55	$19\ 21\ 14$	-58 40 12	RGS	F. Jansen	33.0
PSR 1929+10	19 32 13	10 59 31	EPI	M. Turner	35.0
M55	19 39 59	-30 57 44	EPI	M. Watson	25.0
RX J1940.1-1025	$19 \ 40 \ 12$	$-10\ 25\ 26$	EPI	M. Turner	25.0
AB Dra	$19 \ 49 \ 07$	$77 \ 44 \ 23$	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	10.0
4P/Faye	19 57 05	-10 28 40	\mathbf{EPI}	F. Jansen	22.0
RE J1957-57	$19\ 57\ 11$	$-57 \ 38 \ 22$	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	5.0
[HB89]2000-330	$20\ 03\ 24$	-32 51 45	EPI	K. Mason	30.0
V2009-65	20 08 56	$-65 \ 27 \ 22$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
A3667_field5	20 10 26	-56 43 60	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	15.0
A3667_field2	20 10 51	$-56 \ 39 \ 10$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	15.0
A3667_field4	$20\ 11\ 25$	-56 33 30	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	15.0
A3667_field6	$20\ 12\ 44$	$-57 \ 01 \ 15$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	15.0
A3667_field1	20 13 15	-56 55 30	EPI	B. Aschenbach	15.0
A3667_field3	20 13 48	-56 49 30	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	15.0
AXJ2019+112	20 19 18	$11\ 27\ 15$	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Turner	40.0
55P/Tempel-Tuttle	$20\ 22\ 14$	$-34 \ 29 \ 30$	\mathbf{EPI}	F. Jansen	22.0
RX J2022-39	$20\ 22\ 37$	-39 54 13	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
Cygnus X-3 (4U1822-3	$20 \ 32 \ 26$	40 57 28	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
VW CEP	$20 \ 37 \ 17$	75 35 35	RGS	F. Jansen	25.0
AE Aqr	$20 \ 40 \ 10$	-00 52 16	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Watson	7.0
AT Mic	$20 \ 41 \ 51$	$-32 \ 26 \ 07$	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
AU Mic	$20 \ 45 \ 09$	-31 20 26	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
MRK 896	$20 \ 46 \ 20$	-02 48 46	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Turner	8.0
HD199178	20 53 53	$44 \ 23 \ 11$	RGS	F. Jansen	6.0
HD199178	20 53 53	$44 \ 23 \ 11$	RGS	F. Jansen	6.0
MS2053.7-0449	20 56 22	-04 37 43	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	10.0
HU Aqr	$21 \ 07 \ 58$	$-05 \ 17 \ 42$	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Watson	26.0
V1500 Cyg	$21 \ 11 \ 37$	$48 \ 09 \ 02$	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	5.0
EUVE J2115.7-5840	$21 \ 15 \ 41$	-58 40 52	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Watson	100.0
PSR J2124-3358	$21 \ 24 \ 44$	-33 58 44	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	70.0
PKS2126-158	$21 \ 29 \ 12$	-15 38 42	\mathbf{EPI}	M. Watson	10.0
PKS 2126-158	$21 \ 29 \ 12$	-15 38 41	\mathbf{EPI}	B. Aschenbach	20.0
CE Gru	$21 \ 37 \ 56$	-43 42 14	\mathbf{EPI}	K. Mason	5.0

XMM Science Operations 7	Гeam
--------------------------	------

Document No.:XMM-PS-GM-14Issue/Rev.:Issue 1.1Date:18.01.1999Page:163

				1 450.	100
SS Cyg	21 42 42	43 35 00	EDI	M Watson	7.0
Cyg X_2	21 42 43 91 44 40	40 00 00 38 10 1/	EDI	R Aschenbach	1.0 21.0
PKS 2149-306	21 11 10	-30 27 54	EDI	B. Aschenbach	21.0
	210100 915227	-50 27 54 17 /1 /5	EDI	M Watson	20.0 20.0
NGC 7158	21 00 07 91 56 56	11 20 20	EDI	R Aschonbach	20.0 5.0
DKS 2155 204	21 50 50	$-11 \ 39 \ 32$	FDI	D. Aschenbach M. Turner	20.0
DKS 2155-304	21 00 01	$-30 \ 13 \ 29$		D Drinkmon	20.0
AD L 200-004	21 00 00	-30 13 12	nGS	D. DHIIKIIIali D. Drinkman	30.0 20.0
AR Lac NCC 7212	22 00 41	45 44 51	nGS	D. DHIIKIIIali D. Drinkman	20.0
I DOS 2212 1750	22 09 10	-47 10 00 17 44 06	nGS	D. DIIIIKIIIAII E. Japaon	40.0
LDQ5 2212-1759 Mark 204	22 10 52 00 17 10	-17 44 00	RGS EDI	r. Jansen D. Aashambaah	10.0
1v1ark 504	22 1/12	$14 \ 14 \ 21$	EFI EDI	D. Aschendach	40.0
11ZW 177	22 19 19		EPI	B. Ascnenbach	5.0
PHL 5200	22 28 30	-05 18 55	EPI	B. Aschenbach	50.0
NGU 7314	22 35 46	-26 03 02	KGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
ESO 602- G 031	22 36 56	-22 13 12	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
NGC 7331	22 37 06	$34 \ 25 \ 19$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0
UGC 12138	22 40 18	$08 \ 03 \ 16$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	5.0
Q2237 + 0305	22 40 30	$03 \ 21 \ 30$	EPI	M. Watson	40.0
EV Lac	$22 \ 46 \ 49$	44 20 49	RGS	B. Brinkman	20.0
REJ2248-511	$22 \ 48 \ 41$	$-51 \ 09 \ 54$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
REJ2248-511(2)	$22 \ 48 \ 41$	$-51 \ 09 \ 54$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
REJ2248-511(3)	$22 \ 48 \ 41$	$-51 \ 09 \ 54$	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
TY PsA	$22 \ 49 \ 40$	-27 06 55	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
A 3921	$22 \ 49 \ 48$	$-64 \ 22 \ 60$	EPI	M. Turner	30.0
$2\mathrm{P}/\mathrm{Encke}$	$22 \ 49 \ 52$	-02 22 53	EPI	F. Jansen	22.0
MR $2251-178$	22 53 59	$-17 \ 33 \ 50$	EPI	M. Turner	5.0
NGC 7469	23 03 16	$08 \ 52 \ 26$	RGS	B. Brinkman	30.0
MCG -2-58-22	$23 \ 04 \ 43$	-08 41 08	EPI	K. Mason	10.0
SC2311-43	23 13 59	-42 43 36	RGS	B. Brinkman	30.0
CP Tuc	23 15 19	$-59\ 10\ 28$	EPI	K. Mason	5.0
NGC 7582	$23 \ 18 \ 23$	-42 22 11	EPI	M. Turner	20.0
Cas A (N)	$23 \ 23 \ 21$	$58\ 49\ 06$	RGS	J. Bleeker	25.0
Cas A (W)	$23 \ 23 \ 21$	$58\ 49\ 06$	RGS	J. Bleeker	25.0
Cas A (SE)	$23 \ 23 \ 26$	$58\ 48\ 28$	RGS	J. Bleeker	25.0
Abell 2597	$23 \ 25 \ 18$	$-12 \ 06 \ 30$	EPI	R. Mushotzky	20.0
EQ Peg	$23 \ 31 \ 50$	19 56 17	EPI	B. Aschenbach	15.0
NGC 7714	$23 \ 36 \ 15$	$02 \ 09 \ 18$	EPI	M. Watson	30.0
lamb And	$23 \ 37 \ 34$	$46\ 27\ 30$	RGS	B. Brinkman	25.0
HD223460	$23 \ 49 \ 41$	$36\ 25\ 33$	RGS	F. Jansen	6.0
HD223460	$23 \ 49 \ 41$	$36\ 25\ 33$	RGS	F. Jansen	6.0
Abell 2670	23 54 10	$-10\ 24\ 18$	EPI	R. Mushotzky	32.0
A 4059	23 57 01	-34 45 47	RGS	B. Brinkman	40.0
AM2354-304S	23 57 28	$-30\ 27\ 39$	EPI	B. Aschenbach	10.0