INITIAL OBSERVATIONS OF SUNSPOT OSCILLATIONS EXCITED BY SOLAR FLARE

A. G. Kosovichev

W. W. Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

AND T. Sekii

National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan *Received 2007 September 21; accepted 2007 October 9; published 2007 November 2*

ABSTRACT

Observations of a large solar flare on 2006 December 13 using Solar Optical Telescope (SOT) on board the *Hinode* spacecraft revealed high-frequency oscillations excited by the flare in the sunspot chromosphere. These oscillations are observed in the region of strong magnetic field of the sunspot umbra and may provide a new diagnostic tool for probing the structure of sunspots and understanding physical processes in solar flares.

Subject headings: Sun: flares — Sun: oscillations

1. INTRODUCTION

Solar flares represent a process of conversion of magnetic energy into heat, kinetic energy of plasma eruptions, and high-energy particles. Solar flares may excite various types of oscillations and waves in various layers of the Sun, from "sunquakes" in the interior (Kosovichev & Zharkova 1998) to coronal Morton waves (Moreton 1960) and coronal loop oscillations (Aschwanden et al. 1999). The mechanisms of these oscillations and waves are not fully understood yet but are obviously related to the energy release and transport properties. For instance, the seismic response(sunquakes) are believed to be related to the hydrodynamic impact on the lower atmosphere and photosphere by shocks generated in the area heated by high-energy electrons. The spatial-temporal properties of the seismic wave source are closely related to the properties of the hard X-ray source (Kosovichev 2006a, 2006b). The sunquakes represent packets of high-frequency acoustic waves traveling through the Sun's interior. The waves propagate through the active regions and sunspots with strong magnetic field without significant distortion of the wave front and large changes in the travel times. The magnetic field effects in the sunquake waves, which to some extent are obviously present, have not been detected.

Here we report on observations from the *Hinode* spacecraft of a different type of oscillations excited by a solar flare. These oscillations are observed in the chromosphere of the sunspot umbra and inner penumbra and thus probably represent some kind of magnetohydrodynamic oscillatory modes. Unfortunately, the relatively low cadence of the observations did not allow us to identify the specific mode of the oscillations. Nevertheless, these oscillations carry potentially interesting information about the flare energy release and transport and properties of sunspots and deserve further observational and theoretical studies.

2. *HINODE* OBSERVATIONS OF 2006 DECEMBER 13 FLARE

Images of the solar flare of 2006 December 13 were obtained by the Solar Optical Telescope (SOT) on board the solar space mission *Hinode* (Kosugi et al. 2007; Tsuneta et al. 2007) in two spectral filters, the molecular line G band and Ca ii H. In each filter the images were obtained every 2 minutes with the spatial resolution of 0.2". The pixel size of the 2048×1024 images was 0.109". The first observations of sunspot oscillations from *Hinode* by Nagashima et al. (2007) have shown that the G-band signal is suppressed in the sunspot umbra, but the Ca II H data reveal high-frequency oscillations with a peak around 6 mHz, originally discovered by Beckers & Tallant (1969). We also use the Ca ii H images in this study (Figs. 1*a*–1*d*). The images were carefully tracked to remove the proper motion of the large sunspot.

A first signature of the flare appeared in the Ca $\scriptstyle\rm II$ H images at about 2:08 UT between two sunspots of the opposite magnetic polarity (Fig. 1*a*). The energy release was probably triggered by strong shearing flows around the following (smaller in size) sunspot (Zhang et al. 2007). Strong shearing flows have also been observed in other flares (e.g., Deng et al. 2006). The flare emission quickly extended during the next 10 minutes, forming a two-ribbon structure (Fig. 1*b*). The ribbons expanded in length and separated from each other, moving into the sunspot areas (Fig. 1*c*). The tworibbon structure is also clearly visible in the G-band images (Fig. 1*g*), indicating that the perturbation extends into the photosphere. At this stage a bright arcade of connecting loops with the footpoints in the ribbons appeared in the Ca ii H images (Fig. 1*d*). A movie of the image differences revealed a characteristic oscillatory pattern in the umbra of the larger sunspot after the start of the flare. The oscillations appear to form traveling waves with a more-or-less regular wave front.

To illustrate the temporal behavior of the oscillations we plot the relative variations of the Ca ii H intensity in 12 points (indicated by their position numbers in Fig. 2) at different distances from the final location of the flare ribbon (Fig. 3) and compare these with the variations of the soft X-ray flux from *GOES-12* and hard X-ray in 50–100 keV energy range from *RHESSI* (the first few minutes of the flare are missing in *RHESSI* observations, which started at 2:28). Evidently, the oscillations in the umbra started immediately after the hard Xray peak and before the soft X-ray maximum. The oscillation amplitude of the flare-excited oscillations exceeds the amplitude of preflare oscillation in the umbra by a factor of 2–4. The rms of the relative intensity variations (Fig. 4) shows that the relative oscillations in the central part of the umbra changes from 0.01–0.03 to 0.04–0.06. The relative amplitude increase is smaller in the outer umbra. The flare oscillation signal is essentially lost in the penumbra.

It can be seen from Figure 3 that the oscillations excited by the flare have higher frequency than the oscillations prior to the flare. The characteristic period of these oscillations is probably shorter than 3 minutes. Unfortunately, the 2 minute cadence of the *Hinode* observations did not allow us to investigate the spectral and dis-

Fig. 1.—Sample of *Hinode* SOT images of the solar flare of 2006 December 13 taken in $(a-d)$ Ca π H line and in $(e-h)$ the G band. To better reveal the flare signal in the G band, the preflare image in panel *e* was subtracted in panels *f–g*.

persion properties of these oscillations. Their amplitude may be significantly underestimated because of the poor sampling.

After the flare, the observations seem to show intrusions of hot flare material into the umbra area in addition to the flare ribbons. These cause variations of the Ca ii H intensity in the umbra after the flare, thus masking and distorting the oscillation signal. Thus, it is rather difficult to follow the evolution of the oscillations and estimate the lifetime. Figure 5 shows the Ca II H intensity for longer than 12 hr in a 10×10 pixel area located in one of the least disturbed regions of the umbra. It seems that the oscillation amplitude returned to the preflare level after 6 hr. However, the lifetime is not yet determined.

Fig. 2.—Image of the sunspot umbra with a portion of the flare ribbon at 04:08:39 near the end of the solar flare. Numbers 1–12 show the position of the intensity variation plots in Fig. 3. The distance between the points is approximately 1.6 Mm. The small rectangular box is used to show long-term intensity variations in Fig. 5.

Fig. 3.—*Top*: Relative intensity variations at 12 positions within the sunspot umbra and penumbra indicated in Fig. 2. *Bottom*: Soft X-ray flux measured by *GOES-10* (*thick smooth curve*) and hard X-ray flux in the range 25–50 keV, measured by *RHESSI* (*thin sharp curve*).

An interesting question is whether the observed oscillations represent traveling or standing waves. Unfortunately, the low cadence of this observing run on *Hinode* did not allow us to convincingly answer this. Nevertheless, there is a hint that the waves were traveling northward from the flare ribbon during a short period after the flare impulse, and then the oscillations became more random. The traveling wave feature can be seen in Figure 3 as a slight positive slope (from vertical) in the wave signals at points 1–6 between 2:30 and 3:00. To get an estimate of the wave speed, these signals were resampled to a 30 s cadence and then cross-correlated with each other. The time lags were measured by fitting second-degree polynomials to the cross-correlation functions and determining their maxima. This procedure was repeated for 10 north-south columns along locations 1–6, numbered in Figure 2, and the mean and standard deviation values of these measurements are shown in Figure 6. It seems that the wave speed is of the order of $50-100$ km s⁻¹. This is much higher than the sound speed in the chromosphere. Thus, these waves must be of

Fig. 4.—The rms of the relative intensity oscillation signals 2 hr before the flare, 0:00–2:00 UT (*triangles*), and 2 hr after the flare, 3:00–5:00 UT (*stars*).

an MHD type. In general, the physics of sunspot oscillations is quite complicated. Understanding of this requires numerical modeling (e.g., Khomenko & Collados 2006).

3. DISCUSSION

The observations of the solar flare of 2006 December 13 from *Hinode* reveal a new type of flare-excited oscillations. The oscillations observed in the Ca II H images appeared in the chromospheric layers of the sunspot umbra immediately after the impulsive phase. They had the amplitude 2–4 times larger than the preflare oscillations in the umbra. Also, their frequency seemed to be higher. There is weak evidence that during the first 30–40 minutes the oscillations represent waves traveling through the umbra in the direction away from the flare ribbon with a speed of $50-100$ km s⁻¹. Then the oscil-

FIG. 5.—*Top*: Ca II H intensity variations in a small umbra area shown by a rectangular box in Fig. 2. *Bottom*: Soft X-ray flux from *GOES-12* satellite.

Fig. 6.—Estimates of the mean wave speed between 2:28 and 3:28 UT at five positions in the sunspot umbra, shown in Fig. 2.

lations become more irregular with some occasional wave packets. The lifetime of these oscillations is probably about 8 hr. The uncertainties in the data analysis and interpretation are caused by the low cadence of the *Hinode* observing program during the flare. The images were taken every 2 minutes, while the characteristic oscillation period is about 3 minutes. Most of the oscillation power is probably even at the shorter periods. Thus, for detailed studies of these oscillations it will be important to increase the image cadence.

The image cadence should be sufficiently high to capture the initial waves traveling with a speed of $~100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ according to our preliminary estimates. This speed indicates that the waves are of an MHD type, and if their speed is of the order of magnitude of the Alfvén speed, then they should propagate rather low in the sunspot chromosphere. Thus, simultaneous observations in photospheric lines would be interesting. The oscillation amplitude was several times higher than the amplitude of preflare umbral oscillations, which can be as high as $5-6$ km s⁻¹ (Yoon et al. 1995) and thus may reach supersonic velocities of $10-20$ km s⁻¹.

Sunspot oscillations have been studied intensively for many years (for a review see Staude 1999), but these *Hinode* observations seem to be the first that show enhanced oscillations in the umbra, associated with a solar flare. Further investigations of these oscillations are of great interest for understanding the processes in solar flares and sunspots.

Hinode is a Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, with NAOJ as domestic partner and NASA and STFC (UK) as international partners. It is operated by these agencies in cooperation with ESA and NSC (Norway). This work was partly carried out at the NAOJ *Hinode* Science Center, which is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Creative Scientific Research "The Basic Study of Space Weather Prediction" from MEXT, Japan (PI: K. Shibata), generous donations from Sun Microsystems, and NAOJ internal funding.

REFERENCES

- Aschwanden, M. J., Fletcher, L., Schrijver, C. J., & Alexander, D. 1999, ApJ, 520, 880
- Beckers, J. M., & Tallant, P. E. 1969, Sol. Phys., 7, 351
- Deng, N., Xu, Y., Yang, G., Cao, W., Liu, C., Rimmele, T. R., Wang, H., & Denker, C. 2006, ApJ, 644, 1278
- Khomenko, E., & Collados, M. 2006, ApJ, 653, 739
- Kosovichev, A. G. 2006a, Sol. Phys., 238, 1
- -. 2006b, in Proc. SOHO 18/GONG 2006/HELAS I, Beyond the Spherical Sun, ed. K. Fletcher (ESA SP-624; Noordwijk: ESA), 134.1
- Kosovichev, A. G., & Zharkova, V. V. 1998, Nature, 393, 317
- Kosugi, T., et al. 2007, Sol. Phys., 243, 3
- Moreton, G. E. 1960, AJ, 65, 494
- Nagashima, K., et al. 2007, preprint (arXiv:0709.0569)
- Staude, J. 1999, in ASP Conf Ser. 184, Third Advances in Solar Physics Euroconference: Magnetic Fields and Oscillations, ed. B. Schmieder, A. Hofmann, & J. Staude (San Francisco: ASP), 113
- Tsuneta, S., et al. 2007, Sol. Phys., submitted
- Yoon, T.-S., Yun, H. S., & Kim, J.-H. 1995, J. Korean Astron. Soc., 28, 245
- Zhang, J., Li, L., & Song, Q. 2007, ApJ, 662, L35